

**Maryland Department of the Environment  
Comprehensive Flood Management Grant Ranking FY 2025**

Project Name \_\_\_\_\_

Jurisdiction/County \_\_\_\_\_

Category	SCORE	Points Possible	Description
<b>State Priorities</b>		25	<p>Activity meets the State’s priorities. Scored on a sliding scale. The State’s priorities are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: Structural community-based risk reduction projects.</li> <li>• 5 points: Increase resiliency to climate change</li> <li>• 5 points: Property protection measures.</li> <li>• 5 points: Infrastructure protection</li> <li>• 5 points: Counted toward Watershed Implementation Plan</li> </ul>
<b>Risk Reduction of Hazards</b>		15	<p>Reduction of risk to natural hazards.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 15 points: Multiple hazards</li> <li>• 7 points: One hazard</li> <li>• 1 point: Emerging hazard or a hazard not identified in the HMP</li> </ul>
<b>Construction</b>		20	<p>The activity reduces the risk and benefits a community (more than 1 property or individual). Identified hazardous areas are those geographical locations identified in the State and/or local hazard mitigation plan. For residential, acquisition must be owner occupied.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 20 points: Protects critical infrastructure, reduces risk for more than 1 property located within identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 15 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for more than 1 property located outside of identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 10 points: Protects critical infrastructure and reduces risk for only 1 property.</li> <li>• 5 points: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located within identified hazardous area.</li> <li>• 1 point: Protects or reduces risk for only 1 property located outside identified hazardous area.</li> </ul>
<b>Hazard Mitigation Planning</b>		5	<p>Does the community have a Hazard Mitigation Plan in effect? Participate in the National Flood Insurance Program? Participate in the Community Rating System (CRS)?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points: participate in all three</li> <li>• 3 points: Participate in two</li> <li>• 1 points: Participate in one</li> </ul>
<b>Performance Measurement</b>		15	<p>The application describes the overall anticipated results in qualitative and/or quantitative terms. The application identifies how the activity will be accomplished and how the activity’s results will be evaluated and who will evaluate them.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1 point: Application is signed by Authorized Agent</li> <li>• 2 points: Problem and cause clearly identified</li> <li>• 2 points: Scope of work clearly identifies tasks, methods and/or machinery required, identifies staff, and activity alternatives.</li> <li>• 2 points: Success metrics are identified</li> <li>• 2 points: Budget is fully described, mirrors workplan, funding participants are identified (source, availability, value)</li> <li>• 2 points: Proposes a long-term solution to issue</li> <li>• 2 points: Activity can begin within 90 days after award</li> <li>• 2 points: Community and/or governmental support is documented</li> </ul>

## Comprehensive Flood Mitigation Grant Ranking FY 2025

**Project Name** \_\_\_\_\_

**Jurisdiction/County** \_\_\_\_\_

Category	SCORE	Points Possible	Description
<b>Vulnerability Consideration</b>		15	Identifies vulnerable population, Environmental Justice areas for special attention based on socioeconomic risk or vulnerability in the event of an emergency. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>15 points: High</li> <li>12 points: Above Average</li> <li>9 points: Average</li> <li>6 points: Below Average</li> <li>3 point: Low</li> </ul>
<b>Other</b>		5	Scored on a sliding scale. Factors for consideration: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistency with other State Agency objectives and initiatives</li> <li>Ranking by local jurisdiction</li> <li>Local jurisdiction coordination</li> <li>Positive impact on people or the environment</li> <li>Other activities completed or proposed in the community</li> <li>Efficient use of funds</li> </ul>

TOTAL POINTS

0

100

Reviewer Comments

Reviewer Signature and Date \_\_\_\_\_