Maryland's DRAFT 2024
Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality

Submitted in Accordance with Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 of the Clean Water Act
Published and distributed by:
Watershed Protection, Restoration and Planning Program (WPRPP)
Water and Science Administration (WSA)

Submitted to:
Water Protection Division
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 3
Four Penn Center
1600 John F. Kennedy Blvd.
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2852

Submittal Date:
EPA Approval Date:

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT
1800 Washington Boulevard | Baltimore, MD 21230 | mde.maryland.gov
410-537-3000 | 800-633-6101 x3000 | TTY Users: 7-1-1

Primary Authors: Jacob Greene and Becky Monahan
Water Quality Standards and Analysis Division
Watershed Protection, Restoration and Planning Program

MDE Contributors:
Grace Antonishek
John Backus
Kathy Brohawn
Jacey L Brooks
Dylan Burgevin
Jeffrey Carter
Melissa Chatham
Lee Currey
Melinda Cutler
Dinorah Dalmasy
Sophia Grossweiler
Nicholas Kaltenbach
Anna Kasko
Kara Kemmerer
Najma Khokhar
Amy Laliberte
Shawn Lowman
Christopher Luckett
Bel Martinez da Matta
Shannon McKenrick
Heather Merritt
Allison O'Hanlon
Matthew Rowe
Allison Samuel
Greg Sandi
Leonard Schugam
Matthew Stover
Kathy Stecker
Angel Valdez
Jeff White
Guido Yactayo
Jay Kilian
Tom Parham
Scott Stranko
Mark Trice

Chesapeake Bay Program Contributors:
Durga Ghosh
Rebecca Murphy
Peter Tango
Richard Tian
Qian Zhang

Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative Contributors:
Liz Chudoba
Alex Fries

EPA Contributors:
Jillian Adair
Leah Ettema
Hunter Pates
Greg Voigt
Taylor Krolik

MD DNR Contributors:
Kyle Hodgson
Mary Genovese
Tomas Ivasauskas
Renee Karrh
Andrew Keppel

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report, developed by the Watershed Protection, Restoration and Planning Program (WPRPP) of the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) would not have been possible without the contributions from countless others. Special thanks go to Amy Laliberte, Bel Martinez da Matta, Heather Merritt, Len Schugam, Mark Trice, Matthew Stover, Melinda Cutler, and Najma Khokhar for their assessment contributions. In addition, the authors would like to thank those who helped write, review, and edit the report including Amy Laliberte, Bel Martinez da Matta, Christopher Luckett, Dylan Burgevin, Grace Antonishek, Heather Merritt, Len Schugam, Kathy Stecker, Matthew Stover, Melinda Cutler, Melissa Chatham, and Sophia Grossweiler. Much of the data compiled by WPRPP was supplied by other Water and Science Administration) programs including Compliance and Field Investigations & Environmental Response. In addition, many data were provided by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources with staff also assisting with water quality assessments.

Finally, MDE would like to thank the Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative, all federal and local government groups and non-governmental organizations like Blue Water Baltimore, Nanticoke Watershed Alliance, South River Federation, and many others who provided data for this report. As in past reports, this report used a variety of chemical, physical, and biological data provided by non-state partners. This information has been invaluable for improving both the spatial and temporal resolution of assessments of state waters. To view a full list of individuals and organizations that provided data during the data solicitation period, please see Appendix A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LISTS OF FIGURES

Main Report:

Appendix C:

Appendix D:

Appendix E:

LISTS OF TABLES

Main Report:

Appendix A:

Appendix C:

Appendix D:

Appendix E:

ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
AUID	Assessment Unit Identifier
BAV threshold	Beach Action Value threshold
BEACH Act	Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health Act
BMP	Best management practices
BSID	Biological Stressor ID
CDC	Center for Disease Control's
CBP	Chesapeake Bay Program
CMC	Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative
CWA	Clean Water Act
CFD	Cumulative frequency distribution
DO	Dissolved oxygen
ELISA	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EJ	Environmental justice
E. coli	Escherichia coli
GHG	Greenhouse gas
HAB	Harmful algal bloom
IBI	Indices of Biotic Integrity
IR	Integrated Report
MD	Maryland
MD DNR	Maryland Department of Natural Resources
MBSS	Maryland's Biological Stream Survey
MOU	Memorandum of understanding
MS4	Municipal separate storm sewer system
NWCA	National Wetland Condition Assessment
PFAS	Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PEPCO	Potomac Electric Power Company

QA/QC	Quality assurance/quality control
QAPP	Quality assurance project plan
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SHA	State Highway Administration
SAV	Submerged aquatic vegetation
SO4	Sulfate
TDS	Total dissolved solids
TMDL	Total maximum daily load
TN	Total nitrogen
TP	Total phosphorus
TSS	Total suspended solids
USGS	United States Geological Survey
DEQ	Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
WSA	Water and Science Administration
WQS	Water quality standards
WSP	Water Supply Program

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Term	Definition
Assessment Unit	A specific waterbody portion that an assessment applies to. Assessment unit sizes differ based on the assessment conducted. These sizes range from large (e.g. Maryland 8-digit watersheds and Chesapeake Bay segments) to small (e.g. from one confluence to another in a stream).
Parameter	The pollutant or characteristic being assessed.
Designated Use	The goal for water quality as determined by the intended uses of a specific waterbody.
Assessment Record	An assessment unit, parameter, and designated use combination. There can be multiple assessment records for a given assessment unit.
Reporting Category	The five-category approach to classifying the attainment status of each assessment record. Detailed descriptions of each category are provided in section A.3.
Impairment	Assessment records that are not meeting water quality standards for their designated use. These are in reporting categories 4 (TMDL not necessary) and 5 (TMDL required).
Listing	A term that refers only to Category 5 impairments. This terminology came from being ‘listed’ or placed on the 303(d) list of impaired waters.
Delisting	When an impairment (Category 4 of 5) comes off the impaired waters list and is supporting the designated use.

INTEGRATED REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Maryland's 2024 Integrated Report (IR) presents the status of water quality in Maryland alongside the State's efforts to monitor, assess, and improve the biological, chemical, and physical integrity of its waters. As with Maryland's previous IRs, it is submitted in compliance with sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA).

In the 2024 IR cycle, The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) collected data from 47 water quality programs for the period between January 1, 2017- December 31, 2021. For a given assessment unit, these data were compared against the water quality standards and thresholds specific to the parameters and designated uses assessed. Assessment records, defined as an assessment unit, parameter, and designated use combination, that do not meet standards are considered impaired (Category 4 and 5). Assessment records that are not impaired include those that are meeting standards (Category 2) and those that do not have sufficient information to make assessment decisions (Category 3). In the 2024 IR cycle, Maryland assessed 798 assessment units and 20 parameters for a total of 919 assessment records.

In comparison to the previous combined 2020-2022 IR, Maryland added a net difference of 250 impaired and 225 not impaired assessment records to its 2024 IR. These net differences reflect the many removals, additions, category changes, and splits that occur during an IR cycle.

Given their regulatory importance, Maryland specifically tracks the additions and removals of impairments included in these net differences. Maryland added 329 new impairments to its IR, including assessment records never assessed before and those changed into impaired categories. Of the impairments added, 293 were Category 5 listings. These are of particular importance and are approved or disapproved by EPA under section 303(d). Table 1 below shows the notable parameters or parameter groups contributing to these Category 5 listings.

Table 1: Notable 2024 IR Category 5 Listings by Parameter or Parameter Group

Parameter	Assessment Record Count	Assessment
Temperature	196	Temperature in Class III and III-P Cold Water Streams
Bacteria	52 (43 shellfish and 9 beach listings)	Shellfish Harvesting and Beaches
PFOS	36	Fish Tissue
Nutrients	6	Lakes

Despite this IR cycle's large number of new impairments, specifically Category 5 listings, there were also 71 delistings, meaning assessment records that moved from an impaired Category (4a, 4b, 4c, 5) to Category 2. Table 2 below shows the notable delistings by parameter.

Table 2: Notable 2024 IR Delistings by Parameter

Parameter	Assessment Record Count	Assessment
Fecal Coliform	28	Shellfish
Sulfate	22	Sulfate Reassessment
Mercury	2	Fish Tissue
PCBs	6	Fish Tissue
Oil	5	Oil Spill

In addition to these delistings, one TMDL was completed in the 2024 cycle for total suspended sediments in the Baltimore Harbor.

Overall, including the 2024 IR cycle changes, Maryland has a total of 2071 assessment records documenting water quality status. Of these assessment records, 59 percent are impaired, 9 percent require more information to assess whether they meet standards, and 32 percent meet some water quality standards. Nutrients, sediment, temperature, and bacteria pollution are some of the leading causes of these impairments across the state. Of the impaired assessment records, 41 percent have a completed Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) meaning a pollution reduction target is already in place.

The following sections of this report provide details on Maryland's IR water quality assessment process; the 2024 assessment results, which expand on the highlights discussed above and includes discussion of water quality trends; the State's challenges and achievements; and an overview of MDE's monitoring and pollution control programs.

This IR document is accompanied by other resources, including a copy of the IR database, that allows users to view detailed information for a single assessment record as well as view every assessment record in the State. Upon EPA approval of the 2024 IR, MDE will publish an updated version of the MDE Water Quality Assessment Map, which displays this water quality assessment information along with watersheds that have TMDLs. The Department will also publish an updated Searchable Integrated Report on the MDE website that enables users to search on a variety of database fields. Lastly, EPA's How's My Waterway provides both summary information for all of Maryland as well as a searchable interface for users to explore areas of interest and see the data spatially.

2025-2032 Vision for Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program

In addition to the typical information provided with the IR, Maryland has developed its 2025-2032 Vision for CWA Section 303(d) Program document and is releasing it alongside the 2024 Integrated Report for public review and comment. This document builds off Maryland's previous Vision covering 2016-2022. This Vision updates MDE's prioritization and planning goals and

identifies Maryland's priorities related to addressing Category 5 listings, along with the rationales for those priorities. Throughout this document, actions towards the other CWA Vision goals are integrated where applicable. The Vision is included as Appendix C in this Integrated Report.

PART A: ASSESSMENT BACKGROUND

Maryland's Integrated Report (IR), when approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), will satisfy Sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA). The CWA requires States, territories, and authorized tribes to 1) develop water quality standards for all jurisdictional surface waters; 2) monitor these waters; and 3) identify and list those waters not meeting water quality standards. A water quality standard is the combination of a designated use for a particular body of water and the water quality criteria designed to protect that use. Designated uses include activities such as fishing, swimming, drinking water supply, and oyster propagation and harvest. Each use has associated water quality criteria, both numeric and narrative (see Code of Maryland Regulations 26.08.02). Waters that do not meet standards may require a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) to determine the maximum amount of an impairing substance or parameter that a particular water body can assimilate and still meet water quality criteria.

Historically, water quality monitoring results were submitted in two separate reports, the annual §305(b) reports and the biennial §303(d) List (list of impaired waters). Since 2002 and in compliance with Environmental Protection Agency guidance on 303(d) listing and 305(b) reporting, these formerly independent responsibilities have evolved into a combined reporting structure called the Integrated Report. Besides being required by EPA, the IR serves many other purposes relating to water quality planning for several state, county, and local agencies. By providing an update on the status of water bodies, the IR helps to prioritize which watersheds should be addressed by restoration and which watersheds need protection.

In Maryland, the Maryland Department of Environment (MDE) and the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MD DNR) are the two principal agencies responsible for water resources monitoring, assessment, and protection. MD DNR is the primary agency responsible for ambient water monitoring. MDE sets water quality standards (WQS), compiles, and assesses water quality data, submits the Integrated Report, regulates discharges to Maryland waters through multiple permits, enforcement, and compliance activities, and develops TMDLs for impaired waters.

As done previously, MDE is submitting this IR to EPA through the Assessment, TMDL Tracking and Implementation System (ATTAINS), an online system for accessing information about the condition of the nation's surface waters. As in previous reports, MDE utilized the ATTAINS reporting function to produce all assessment results and summary calculations in the report. All IR information will be made available in ATTAINS through web reports and other query tools.

ATTAINS data are made available to the public through EPA's How's My Waterway interactive webpage and mapping tool and the ATTAINS homepage contains general information about the ATTAINS reporting system. MDE will also continue to make Maryland's IR information available to the public in several user-friendly formats on MDE's webpages. Accessible via the web, MDE will provide a full copy of the IR database in excel format for users to query. Users can also query MDE's searchable IR database tool or clickable map to find individual assessments or groups of assessments that are of interest. MDE will also continue to maintain the

water quality assessment map, which displays water quality assessment information and TMDL watersheds. Users should note that the MDE's searchable database tool and water quality assessment map will only be updated following EPA approval of Maryland's IR. MDE also hosts a TMDL Data Center webpage online that contains documents, maps, and additional information on TMDLs.

A.1 Total Waters

Maryland is fortunate to have an incredible diversity of aquatic resources. The low-lying, coastal plain region in the eastern part of the State includes the oceanic zone as well as the estuarine waters of both the Coastal and Chesapeake Bays. Moving further west and up through the rolling hills of the Piedmont region, the tidal influences give way to flowing streams and the Liberty, Loch Raven, and Prettyboy reservoir systems. Along the western borders of the State is the Highland region where the state's highest peaks are located, and which includes three distinct geological provinces (the Blue Ridge, the Ridge and Valley province, and the Appalachian Plateaus). Estimates of Maryland's total surface waters across these regions are given in Table 3.

Table 3: Scope of Maryland's Surface Waters.

		Value	Scale	Source
State population		6,177,224	N/A	U.S. Census Bureau, 2020
Surface Area	Total (square miles)	12,193	Unknown	MD DNR 2001
	Land (square miles)	9,844		
Rivers and streams (miles)		19,127	1:24,000 NHD Coverage	National Hydrography Dataset, 2012
Impoundments	All Lakes/Reservoirs (number/acres)	947 lakes / 77,965	1:100,000 (RF3)	EPA, 1991
	Significant Publicly owned (number/acres)	60 lakes / 21,876	1:24,000 NHD Coverage	United States Geological Survey (USGS), MDE, 2012
Estuaries/Bays (square miles)		2,451	1:24,000	Chesapeake Bay Program, MDE, 2012
Ocean coast (square miles)		107	1:24,000	MDE, 2012
Wetlands	Freshwater (acres)	528,877	Unknown	Genuine Progress Indicator, 2013
	Tidal (acres)	237,042	Unknown	Genuine Progress Indicator, 2013

*Most of these numbers are based on the use of the 1:24,000 scale, USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD) coverage.

A.2 Monitoring Program

In December 2009, Maryland completed the last update of its comprehensive water monitoring strategy. Maryland's water quality monitoring programs are designed to support State WQS (Code of Maryland Regulations Title 26, Subtitle 08) for the protection of both human health and aquatic life. This strategy identifies the programs, processes and procedures that have been institutionalized to ensure state monitoring activities continue to meet defined programmatic goals and objectives. The strategy also discusses data management and quality assurance/quality control procedures implemented across the state to preserve data integrity and guarantee that data are of sufficient quality and quantity to meet the intended use. Finally, this document serves as a road map for assigning monitoring priorities and addressing gaps in current monitoring programs. It has proven to be especially useful as declining monitoring budgets have increased the need for greater monitoring efficiency.

A.3 Reporting Categories

EPA utilizes five reporting categories to classify whether assessment units meet standards, require a TMDL, or need additional monitoring. These reporting categories can be generalized to entire assessment units or to specific assessment unit -parameter combinations. Maryland uses the assessment unit-parameter categories as reported from ATTAINS which include four of these categories. Doing this often causes a single assessment unit to have assessment records in multiple categories for different parameters. For example, Loch Raven Reservoir is listed in Category 4a (impaired, TMDL completed) for sedimentation/siltation and in Category 2 (meets WQS) for having levels of copper that meet WQS. This helps Maryland track the status of each parameter for which the assessment unit has been assessed. These categories are:

Category 2: Assessment record meeting WQS.

Category 3: Insufficient data and information are available to determine if a water quality standard is being attained. This can be related to having an insufficient quantity of data and/or an insufficient quality of data to properly evaluate an assessment record's attainment status.

Category 4: Assessment record does not meet WQS and is impaired, but a TMDL is not required or has already been established. The following subcategories are included in Category 4:

- **Subcategory 4a**: TMDL already approved or established.
- **Subcategory 4b**: Other pollution control requirements (i.e., permits, consent decrees, etc.) are expected to attain WQS; and,
- **Subcategory 4c**: Impairment is not caused by a pollutant (e.g., habitat is limiting, dam prevents attainment of use, etc.).

Category 5: Assessment record is impaired, does not attain the WQS, and a TMDL or other acceptable pollution abatement initiative is required. This is the part of the IR historically known as the 303(d) List.

Subcategory 5s: Assessment record impairment is caused by chloride from road salt. Waters assessed in Category 5s are high priority to be addressed through pollution control requirements and restoration approaches, and lower priority for TMDL development. This category is a Maryland subcategory, meaning that it is counted simply as Category 5 in ATTAINS, How's My Waterway reporting, and the charts shown in Part B: Assessment Results of this report.

A.4 Designated Uses

For a given parameter assessed, a water body is considered "impaired" when it does not support a designated use [see Code of Maryland Regulations §26.08.02.02]. Maryland's water quality standards (WQS) assign use classes or groupings of specific designated uses to each body of water. The following is a generalized list of the four primary classes. Each of these may also be given a "-P" suffix which denotes that the water body also supports public water supply.

Class I waters: Water contact recreation, and protection of non-tidal warm water aquatic life.
Class II waters: Support of estuarine and marine aquatic life and shellfish harvesting.
Class III waters: Non-tidal cold water.
Class IV waters: Non-tidal Recreational trout waters.

Each class then has an appropriate subset of specific designated uses. Water bodies assigned a use class are expected to support the entire subset of designated uses for that class. Each of the designated uses has associated water quality criteria that are then used to determine if the designated use is being supported. Such criteria can be narrative or numeric. Numeric Water Quality Criteria establish threshold values, usually based upon risk analyses or dose-response curves, for the protection of human health and aquatic life. These apply to parameters that can be monitored and quantified to known levels of precision and accuracy, such as toxins concentrations, pH, and dissolved oxygen. Narrative criteria are less quantitative in nature but generally prohibit any undesirable water quality conditions that would preclude a water body from supporting a designated use.

Maryland has uses and standards specific to the Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries to protect both aquatic resources and to provide for safe consumption of shellfish. The current aquatic resource protection standards are subcategories under Class II waters and establish five designated uses for Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries, including Migratory Fish Spawning and Nursery, Shallow-Water Submerged Aquatic Vegetation, Open Water Fish and Shellfish, Deep-Water Seasonal Fish and Shellfish, and Deep-Channel Seasonal Refuge.

For more information see MDE's Designated Uses Webpage, or use MDE's Designated Uses/Use Class Map to view an interactive map of Maryland's Designated Use Classifications. For more information on the Designated Uses in the Chesapeake Bay, see MDE's webpage on Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Standards.

The Federal CWA and its amendments require that states update their WQS every three years in what is referred to as the Triennial Review of WQS. This action includes a robust public comment process and is subject to review and approval by EPA. Maryland's WQS are updated through changes to the regulatory language in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR). For more information please visit MDE's Water Quality Standards Webpage.

A.5 Data Sources

Section 130.7(B)(5) of the CWA requires that states "assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information" when compiling their Integrated Report. To provide the most comprehensive report, the Department relies on water quality data from a variety of sources including federal and state agencies, local government agencies, researchers, students, and watershed organizations. Because the IR is a regulatory document, data quality is a critical component of the evaluation and assessment process. Some data received may not be suitable for water quality assessments in the report. For the purposes of evaluating data submitted for Maryland's IR, MDE adopted a three-tier data quality system in alignment with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and the Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative (CMC). MDE's data tiers are based on data quality and the authorized uses of the data provided to the agency. The tiers increase from Tier I to Tier III in conjunction with greater data standardization and quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) protocols.

See Appendix A for the list of organizations and/or programs that submitted data to MDE for the 2024 IR. For more information on data quality tiers, please see MDE's webpage for submitting water quality data.

For the 2024 IR, MDE solicited for data collected for the period of January 1, 2017, through December 31, 2021. MDE used data outside this period in select instances where additional data was required by the assessment methodology or was necessary to make sound regulatory decisions.

Quality Control and Review of Water Quality Datasets

Data quality in Maryland's water monitoring programs is of the highest importance and defined through implementation of the agency's quality control program, Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for each monitoring program, and field and laboratory Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). Water monitoring programs supported in part or whole by EPA funding must have QAPPs approved by the EPA Regional or Chesapeake Bay Program Quality Assurance (QA) Officer prior to initiating monitoring activities.

Water monitoring programs conducted by a local agency, educational institution, consultant, or citizen group that intend to have their data used for regulatory decisions (Tier III data) should also have a QAPP consistent with EPA data guidance specified in Guidance for QAPPs (U.S. EPA 2002a). For state analysts to review these contributed data with any confidence, the quantitative aspects of these data need to be defined.

Once a QAPP or other reports defining monitoring objectives and quality control have been evaluated, the data are then reviewed for sufficient sample size, data distribution (type and outliers/errors) and spatial and temporal distribution in the field before they are assessed for regulatory use.

Please see MDE's webpage for submitting water quality data for more information on quality control and water quality data review.

A.6 Assessment Methodologies

Maryland has developed Assessment Methodologies to document the decision-making process by which water body impairment determinations are made. The assessment methodologies document the minimum data requirements, analytical/statistical methods, and other standard operating procedures used to determine if water quality standards are attained. These methodologies are designed to provide consistency and transparency in integrated reporting so that the public and other interested stakeholders understand how assessment decisions are made and can independently verify assessment decisions. The assessment methodologies are living documents that can be revised as new statistical approaches, technologies, or other improved methods are identified.

New for the 2024 reporting cycle, MDE held a separate public comment period for the draft assessment methodologies and responded to comments separately from the Integrated Report. For the 2024 reporting cycle, the Department made changes to three assessment methodologies. The Listing Methodology for Identifying Waters Impaired by Bacteria in Maryland's Integrated Report, The Fish Tissue Assessment Methodology section which is part of the Methodology for Determining Impaired Waters by Chemical Contaminants for Maryland's Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality, and the Temperature Assessment Methodology for Use III (-P) Streams in Maryland were all updated. The public was invited to review and comment on the methodologies between October 11, 2023, and November 12, 2023.

To see all of Maryland's current assessment methodologies, view the summary of the 2024 IR cycle assessment methodology updates, and review the 2024 assessment methodology comment response document, please see MDE's Assessment Methodology Webpage.

A.7 Assessment Results Disclaimers

Given the complexity of MDE's 2024 assessments, the presentation of results in the following sections has a few important caveats including net change reporting, impairment assessment bias, MDE's assessment unit size, and ATTAINS reporting category and use. Figures in the Assessment Results, sections B.1 and B.2, where these disclaimers apply reference this section.

Net Changes

In the Assessment Results section, MDE presents 'net change' assessment record statistics between 2022 and 2024. These calculations do not differentiate between new assessment records,

existing assessment records that may have changed reporting category, and a select few removed assessment records in 2024. However, reporting the net change allows for a simplified summary to track the overall progress between the 2022 and 2024 cycles. This report also breaks down important individual additions and changes in its B.1 New Impairments- 2024 and Delistings- 2024 subsections.

Impairment Assessment Bias

The following section also compares Category 2 assessment records to impairments, a useful practice to measure water quality progress in the state. However, historically, impairments were better tracked than Category 2 assessment records. This difference in documentation is due to more stringent 303(d) CWA regulations, which was referred to as 'The impaired waters list' rather than the 305(b) list. Going forward, Maryland is committed to tracking not only impairments but also non impairments.

Assessment Unit Count vs Size Statistical Bias

The Assessment Results section provides summary statistics of assessment both in assessment unit counts (e.g. for new impairments) and in assessment unit size (e.g. miles of streams by reporting category). In Maryland, assessment unit segment size depends on the given parameter. This differential complicates comparisons across parameters for these summary statistics. For instance, temperature assessment units span from one confluence to another confluence of a river whereas all biological, most inorganic, many sediment, and many bacteria assessment records are assessed at a watershed scale. Similarly, tidal nutrient assessments are for entire Chesapeake Bay segments whereas bacteria and sediment assessment records are much smaller sub segments that represent Shellfish and Submerged Aquatic Vegetation use areas in the Bay. Thus, parameter counts are biased towards parameters with smaller assessment unit sizes, like temperature assessment records. Whereas parameter size comparisons are biased towards parameters with larger assessment unit sizes, like biological, chloride, and nutrient assessment records. Despite this limitation, MDE varies the reporting to include comparisons by assessment unit counts, assessment unit size, and other ratios, revealing important assessment changes and water quality summaries that are discussed alongside these statistics.

Parameter and Use Reporting Using ATTAINS

Reporting categories and use attainment described in Part B: Assessment Results are calculated using EPA's ATTAINS system. MDE uses the four categories specific to assessment records as described in section A.3 along with ATTAINS's use attainment definitions.

Reporting the categories by assessment record means that water bodies with more than one parameter assessed are counted multiple times in count and size summary statistics. For instance, if a Chesapeake Bay segment has both a phosphorus and nitrogen assessment records, this assessment unit is counted twice in count statistics. Perhaps more significantly, the assessment unit's size is also duplicated in size statistics. Similarly, if a Chesapeake Bay segment has multiple phosphorous assessment records for different uses, that assessment unit would be counted multiple times. This reporting method explains why the chart showing parameter reporting categories shows that nutrient assessment records cover more than 12,000 square miles of estuary when there are only 2,451 square miles of bays and estuaries in Maryland.

Assessment units that overlap compound this issue. For instance, the chart showing use attainment for rivers shows over 22,000 miles of streams assessed for Aquatic Life and Wildlife use even though Maryland only has 19,127 total miles. This discrepancy is because if an assessment unit is assessed for more than one parameter, those parameters and associated assessment records can overlap each other since assessment scale is parameter specific and therefore, would be counted multiple times in the summary.

Additionally, charts showing use attainment default to the worst-case use attainment scenario for a given assessment unit. This means that if a certain assessment unit's use is being met for three parameters but not meeting standards for one, this assessment unit would be considered not meeting standards overall.

These approaches make total assessment statistics difficult to calculate. However, the advantage of this approach is that MDE can more accurately interpret the extent of certain parameters across an assessment unit and its uses.

PART B: ASSESSMENT RESULTS 2024

In the 2024 Integrated Report, Maryland assessed a total of 919 assessment records, almost double the amount in the combined 2020-2022 IR cycle. Table 4 below shows the number of assessments conducted in the combined 2020-2022 cycle vs. the 2024 cycle.

Table 4: Assessment Comparison– 2020-2022 vs 2024

Assessment Metric	Assessed in 2020-2022	Assessed in 2024
Assessment records	531	919
Assessment units	413	798
Parameters	20	20

These assessments led to many new and changed assessment records, meaning additions or alterations of assessment unit parameter combinations. This part of the report first summarizes these 2024 assessment record decisions and then provides a wider analysis of the status of Maryland's waters. A spreadsheet with all assessment records accompanies this section for users to explore specific waters of interest.

B.1 Overall Assessment Record Changes 2024

For the 2024 Integrated Report, Maryland added a net difference of 476 assessment records to its Integrated Report. The following figure breaks these changes down by reporting categories specific to assessment unit parameter combinations.



Figure 1: Reporting Category Changes Summary

Table 5: Reporting Category Changes Summary

Reporting Category	2020-2022 Counts	2024 Counts	Net Difference
2	505	659	+ 154
3	118	189	+ 71
4A	568	576	+ 8
4B	10	6	-4
4C	34	34	0
5	360	607	+ 247
Total	**1595**	**2071**	**+476**

See section A.8 for information on assessment results disclaimers.

Of the 476 net changes between the 2020-2022 IR cycle and 2024 IR cycle, 250 are impairments, which are Category 4 and 5 assessment records. 247 of those impairments are Category 5 listings, meaning impairments that are not covered by existing TMDLs. There is also a net difference of 154 assessment records that meet criteria for the parameter they were assessed for and were placed in Category 2. These Category 2 and 5 additions come from assessment records assessed for the first time that were placed directly into the respective categories and existing assessment records that moved into Category 2 or 5 after they met or failed water quality standards in 2024 assessments. Finally, a net change of 71 Category 3 assessment records were added in assessments where there was not enough information to determine if an assessment unit met the relevant water quality standard.

As previously mentioned, summarizing the net change doesn't differentiate between brand new 2024 assessment records, category changes, splitting/merging assessment units, or any other detailed change that take place during the two-year cycle, but instead allows for a simplified summary to track the overall progress between the 2022 and 2024 cycles. For details on the specific 2024 changes, see the results sections below.

Despite the increase in assessment records in 2024, the ratio of impaired to not impaired assessment unit parameter combinations remain relatively the same compared to the state's combined 2020-2022 IR assessment as shown in the following table.

Table 6: Impaired Ratio Comparison– 2020-2022 vs 2024

Assessment Record Status	2020-2022	2024
Impaired (Categories 4 and 5)	61%	59%
Not Impaired (Categories 2 and 3)	39%	41%

See section A.8 for information on assessment results disclaimers.

Maryland's waters are neither significantly more impaired nor less impaired than in 2020-2022, as demonstrated by similar ratios between the two cycles. However, the distributions of impairments and assessment records new and changed in 2024 are not evenly distributed across parameters. The following sections discuss these changes for new impairments and delistings. While the sections below highlight some of the main differences in the 2024 IR cycle, for a complete table of all the assessment records and changes in the 2024 IR cycle, please see the excel spreadsheet of the 2024 IR cycle assessment records.

B.1.1 New Impairments-2024

Maryland's monitoring focus on particular parameter groups was a driving reason behind the increase in new impairments this cycle. There were 329 assessment records added or changed into impairment categories (4 or 5) for the 2024 IR. This number doesn't include the delistings that moved out of impairment categories, which accounts for the total net difference of impairment categories detailed in the tables above. Of these new impairments, 293 were new Category 5 listings. The following table shows 2024 impairments by parameters, highlighting Maryland's monitoring and assessment work on temperature, Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS), and shellfish bacteria data in the latest Integrated Report cycle.



Figure 2: New Impairments by Parameter- 2024 (Includes Reporting Categories 4 and 5)
See section A.8.2 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

One of the main reasons for the increase in new impairments, and particularly Category 5 listings, was MDE's comprehensive 2024 temperature assessment. This cycle, MDE used 10 years of continuous temperature data to assess Maryland use class III and III-P cold water streams. Data used for this assessment was provided by MD DNR's Maryland's Biological Stream Survey (MBSS) program, MD DNR Fisheries, the Antietam Conococheague Watershed Alliance, Trout Unlimited, and MDE Field Investigations and Environmental Response Program. The assessment of this much data was only possible due to updates to and automation of the assessment methodology. Overall, this assessment led to 196 new Category 5 impairments, 14 new Category 3 assessment records, 92 new Category 2 assessment records, and 1 delisting for temperature in use Class III and III-P waters. This thorough temperature assessment was necessary to assess the status of MD's waterways. Doing so may protect cold-water aquatic life from thermal impacts caused by climate change, stormwater, point source discharge, and other sources. For more information on the work MDE is doing to protect against climate change, see section C.3.

The monitoring and assessment of Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) and perfluorooctane sulfonates, one of the more widely studied PFAS compounds, has also been a top priority for Maryland. MDE's field collection team sampled extensively for PFOS in fish tissue, resulting in 36 new Category 5 listings, 1 new Category 3 assessment record, and 14 new Category 2 assessment records. PFAS and PFOS monitoring and assessment will continue to be a focus for the department. For more information on MDE's work on PFAS and PFOS, see section C.2.

Bacteria impairments, including fecal coliform, enterococcus, and Escherichia coli (E. coli) were the other largest contributors of new impairments. Nine of these bacteria impairments resulted from the 2024 updated bacteria assessment methodology which assesses beaches for long term bacteria issues described further in section B.2.4 Beach Assessment Results. Out of these 9 beach impairments, there are eight beaches not meeting enterococcus standards and one new beach not meeting E. coli standards.

The remaining 59 fecal coliform impairments are for the shellfish harvesting designated use. Of these new impairments, 43 were Category 5 listings and 16 went directly into Category 4A since these assessment units were covered by an existing fecal coliform TMDL. Many of these new impairments were the result of splits in assessment units, which are necessary to accurately track which sections of the shellfish harvesting areas are meeting bacteria criteria and which are not. Tracking shellfish harvesting assessments and impairments is difficult due to the changes in assessment units necessary to accurately reflect the data, as well as the variability in the bacteria data. As such, these shellfish harvesting assessment records often change from cycle to cycle. MDE will continue to update its methodologies to better track these impairments in future cycles.

B.1.2 Delistings- 2024

In the 2024 IR cycle, MDE delisted 71 waters that were previously listed as impaired and are now meeting water quality standards. The rationale for most of these delistings was new data that indicated improved conditions. However, 22 of these delistings, for Sulfate specifically, were the result of an assessment method change. The following table breaks down these delistings by parameter and delisting reason.



Figure 3: 2024 Delistings by Parameter (Composed of those delisted from Categories 4 and 5)

See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

In the 2024 cycle, MDE reevaluated all Maryland 8-digit watershed sulfate listings. These impairments were the result of the Biological Stressor ID (BSID) process that identified sulfate as the stressor to biological impairments. The listings were reevaluated this cycle due to inappropriately low sulfate thresholds in the BSID. Based on an extensive literature review, and in consultation with EPA, MDE replaced the previous BSID threshold with an ultra-conservative screening threshold. The assessment is further outlined in Appendix D. Of the 26 watersheds that were impaired based on the original BSID assessments, 22 watersheds passed the new conservative screening threshold. MDE delisted these 22 watersheds and moved them to Category 2 due to the assessment methods threshold update. The 4 remaining watersheds did not meet the updated thresholds and will remain impaired by sulfates. MDE will revisit them in the future for TMDL development.

MDE also reassessed all five previous Category 4b Patuxent River oil spill impairments, from the Potomac Electric Power Company (PEPCO) oil spill on April 7th, 2000, based on new data from the Qualitative Long Term Monitoring Plan. Persimmon Creek, Trent Hall Creek, and Indian Creek assessment units were all delisted since all stations meet criteria. These stations were also discontinued from monitoring. The other two delistings, Ramsey Creek and Swanson Creek, required splits, since each were monitored using two stations that had conflicting results. Thus, Ramsey Creek and Swanson Creek remain with a subsegment in Category 4b awaiting further monitoring. Based on these delistings and the two existing Category 2 assessment

records, there are now seven assessment records meeting criteria out of nine total assessment records.

MDE also progressed with more delistings for both polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and Mercury in fish tissue. Two impairments for mercury reached attainment as concentrations in fish have been steadily declining throughout Western Maryland due to natural attenuation. Mercury emissions from coal and oil-fired power plants, the predominant source of Hg in the environment responsible for bioaccumulation in fish, have declined substantially due to the implementation of Maryland's Healthy Air Act and EPA's Mercury and Air Toxics Standards. Many coal fired power plants have also been decommissioned since the 2010's as electrical energy production has shifted to natural gas and renewables. While PCBs remain persistent in the environment, levels have been steadily declining since their production and use in many commercial and industrial applications were banned in 1979. This decline may be explained by PCB natural attenuation, where PCB concentrations in soil and water decline as PCB contaminated sediments are slowly buried by the deposition of cleaner materials over time. In the 2024 cycle, six impairments for PCBs in fish tissue reached water quality standard attainment, which could be caused by this process.

Based on five years of tidal shellfish bacteria data, MDE also delisted 28 assessment units for fecal coliform. Of these delistings, 14 moved from Category 5 to Category 2 and 14 moved from Category 4a to Category 2. Many of these changes were the result of splits in assessment units. All assessment decisions followed the shellfish assessment methodology that was updated in the 2024 IR cycle as mentioned in section A.6.

In addition to the 71 delistings, four impairments for Enterococcus in the Port Tobacco Watershed for the water contact designated use were removed from Category 5 and from the Integrated Report all together because they were determined to be erroneous listings. In consultation with EPA, a weight of evidence approach, including more recent data in the surrounding area, was used to demonstrate that the original listings were not appropriate and there is not a bacteria impairment in those specific assessment units.

In addition to these delistings, MDE approved one TMDL for total suspended sediments in the Baltimore Harbor. As discussed more in section D.3 and Appendix C of this report, MDE will continue working on TMDLs and other restoration plans in the coming years to improve the quality of Category 5 impaired waters.

B.2 The State of Maryland Waters

The 2024 impairment changes, highlighted above, get added to MD's larger IR database that tracks the status of all of Maryland's surface water quality. The following figure shows the total current reporting categories by different parameters for all of Maryland, including the 2024 updates.



Figure 4: Reporting Category Results by Parameter Group
See section A.8 for information on assessment results disclaimers.

In 2024, nutrients and sediments remain some of the most persistent pollutants in Maryland, with few assessment records marked as meeting criteria. Nevertheless, these impairments, as well as the bacteria impairments, are mostly in Category 4a, meaning they have TMDLs already in place.

Elevated water temperature emerges as another notable impairment in MD as water temperatures have been increasing statewide and resulted in 196 new temperature impairment listings being added in the 2024 cycle. This brings the total number of temperature impairments to 369 listings across the state. See section B.3 for more information on increasing water temperature trends throughout the State.

For many impairments, Maryland has tracked predominant sources of pollution either through the TMDL or the BSID process. The sources for assessment records that have not gone through these processes are typically designated as 'source unknown', which is what is currently noted in 61% of impaired assessment records. However, for the 445 impairments that have been tracked,

the following chart shows a breakdown of grouped sources by parameter. This table shows the primary or largest source of the parameter as identified through TMDL or other source tracking processes. There are typically many sources that could be contributors to each parameter and as such, it may be difficult to draw specific conclusions. In this figure, similar colors roughly denote similar types of sources.



Figure 5: Primary Known Sources by Parameter Group

From MDE's data of the primary sources for given impairments, a few trends emerge. Non-point sources such as agriculture and urban runoff are some of the most prominent primary sources of pollution. This trend is especially true for nutrients and sediments, two of the Chesapeake Bay's most significant parameters. Bacteria pollution arises primarily from agricultural livestock waste, with waste from pets and wildlife contributing significantly as well. Unsurprisingly, habitat-based impairments tend to arise from habitat alteration; some common examples of these changes include channelization, hydrological modifications, or riparian development. Chloride pollution is largely caused by urban runoff and more specifically road salt runoff. Acid mine discharge along with atmospheric deposition are the predominant primary sources behind pH issues. Finally, toxics come from an array of sources; two primary sources listed are upstream or downstream sources and contaminated sediments. MDE not only tracks these sources of impairments but also works to control them through a variety of programs discussed in more detail in section D.3.

The next four sections break the overall assessment results seen above into information based on assessment unit waterbody type which includes estuaries, rivers/streams, lakes/impoundments, and beaches.

B.2.1 Estuary Assessment Results

Maryland's estuarine waters are the waterbody type most covered by TMDLs due to the Chesapeake Bay TMDLs and Coastal Bays TMDLs. In the summer of 2023, the Chesapeake Bay experienced the smallest dead zone on record. While this success is in part due to the relatively low amount of precipitation in 2023, decreased dead zone area over the last several years may indicate progress towards Chesapeake Bay restoration. The Integrated Report assessment records for estuaries emphasize this water quality progress but show that there remains much work to do. The following chart shows the square miles of given reporting categories in estuaries for different parameter groups.



Figure 6: Estuary Reporting Category by Parameter Group
See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

In Maryland, nutrients remain the most widespread estuarine parameter assessed and listed as impaired. All segments of the Bay are covered by nutrient TMDLs. There are a few designated uses in some of the segments that have never been assessed and are listed in Category 3, but they too are covered by a nutrient TMDL.

Sediments in the Chesapeake Bay are assessed by comparing submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) presence to restoration goals and water clarity data for each segment. Sediment impairments for estuaries are also already covered by the Bay TMDLs. There are also some Category 2 assessment records which meet SAV restoration goals and Category 3 assessment records that don't meet SAV goals and don't have water clarity data which require more information. Overall, most estuarine waters remain impaired for nutrients and sediments. Nevertheless, as further described in section C.1, nutrient and sediment loads continue to improve in the Chesapeake Bay as TMDL implementation takes place.

Toxics, bacteria, and biological impairments are also leading contributors of Category 5 impaired listings in estuaries. Prior to developing TMDLs, Category 5 biological listings will need to have specific stressors or parameters causing the biological impairment identified. Then a control plan can be developed for the specific stressor. Bacteria and toxics impairments are listed as a high priority for the development of TMDLs in the coming years, given their prevalence and adverse effects on human and ecosystem health.

Another important part of assessments is the support of the designated use of the assessment unit. The following chart shows the square miles of standard attainment by designated use in estuaries.



Figure 7: Estuary Use Attainment
See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

MD has detailed WQS and designated uses for the Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries to protect aquatic resources and to provide for safe consumption of shellfish. As identified in the figure above, there are six additional designated uses that are subcategories for estuarine waters that include Shellfishing, Seasonal Migratory Fish Spawning and Nursery, Seasonal Shallow-Water Submerged Aquatic Vegetation, Seasonal Deep-Channel Refuge Open-Water Fish and Shellfish, and Seasonal Deep-Water Fish and Shellfish. Overall, almost all these uses remain impaired due to nutrient impairments. For these nutrient impairments, Maryland has been unable to assess all applicable short-duration dissolved oxygen (DO) criteria which is necessary to delist these segments for certain designated uses. As previously mentioned, all designated uses in each assessment unit default to the worst-case scenario. Therefore, many of the estuarine waters remain impaired. MDE is currently conducting a pilot study in the Fishing Bay segment to assess all applicable dissolved oxygen criteria for each designated use within the segment for the first time. MDE is collaborating with the MD DNR, Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP), EPA Region 3, and VADEQ to develop a methodology to properly assess all uses for nutrients for Fishing Bay as well as other segments in the Bay in the coming years.

B.2.2 River and Streams Assessment Results

Maryland's rivers and streams span more than 19,000 miles and are a primary focus of MDE's assessments. The following chart breaks down the miles of reporting categories by parameter groupings.



Figure 8: River and Stream Reporting Categories by Parameter Group
See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

In 2024, the largest contributors to Category 5 stream miles are biological, inorganic (mostly chloride), and sediment impairment listings. The biology (cause unknown) listings will require further analysis to identify the specific parameters causing the biology to become impaired prior to being addressed through TMDLs or other actions. Chlorides are an example of one of the stressors or parameters identified through the Biological Stressor Identification (BSID) process and listed as one of the impairing parameters impacting the biology. MDE is actively targeting these chloride impairments through a statewide pollution reduction program. This approach will more effectively and rapidly address chloride pollution through immediate implementation methods like reducing road salt application, rather than traditional methods, such as TMDLs. MDE lists these impairments in their own subcategory, 5S, to reflect this alternative approach which can be noted in this report's accompanying spreadsheet. Overall, MDE has seen great success in its chloride reduction strategy and will continue working to improve road salt management activities. See appendix E for more information.

Toxics and temperature also have Category 5 listings that have not been covered by TMDLs yet since many of these listings are new for the 2024 IR cycle. Again, for temperature, although the size of the assessment unit remains small given the assessment methodology, the total number of assessment records is much larger than any other parameter, as highlighted in the new 2024 impairment section above. Moreover, trend analysis emphasizes that temperature is increasing across streams all over Maryland as further discussed in Section B.3. In rivers, nutrients and sediments also have many miles of impairment. However, like tidal waters, a large portion of these waters are covered by TMDLs.

2024 delistings for zinc in sediments as well as mercury in fish tissue show improvements in metals pollution in Maryland. A water quality analysis was approved in 2022 for zinc in sediments in the Baltimore Harbor which led to the zinc delistings.

From a use attainment standpoint there is still a lot of work to be done in Maryland riverine systems. However, as with estuaries, use attainment status defaults to “not supporting” in ATTAINS reporting if any parameters in the assessment unit are impaired and therefore, doesn’t tell the entire story. The following chart shows the miles of water quality standard attainment for rivers by designated use.



Figure 9: River and Stream Use Attainment
See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

Aquatic Life and Wildlife is the designated use most assessed in rivers, with almost the entire state's flowing freshwater assessed. Fish and benthic Indices of Biotic Integrity (IBI) are used as indicators of the health of the biological communities and are one of the main ways that the Aquatic Life and Wildlife use is assessed in rivers and streams. Maryland DNR's Biological Stream Survey Program conducts a random probabilistic IBI (biological) survey that allows MD to make unbiased estimates of stream conditions with known precision. This stratified random design is a cost-effective way to characterize Maryland's 10,000+ miles of freshwater streams and support assessments of the aquatic life designated use at the 8-digit basin level.

B.2.3 Lake Assessment Results

On top of long-standing ad hoc lake monitoring to address fish kills, investigate algal bloom complaints, and provide input for parameter loading models, MDE and MD DNR have recently prioritized lake monitoring because of recent funding allocations and a recognition that more routine monitoring was needed. One of the primary goals of this monitoring effort is to monitor and assess all significant (>5 acres surface area), publicly owned lakes, also referred to as impoundments, in Maryland for impacts due to nutrients. To inform current and future lake monitoring efforts, MDE and MD DNR have jointly developed a lake prioritization list to identify a strategy for sampling all of Maryland's lakes.

In addition to lakes monitored as part of the fish tissue monitoring program, MDE assessed five lakes for nutrient pollution in the 2024 IR cycle. All were listed in Category 5 for phosphorus for the Aquatic Life and Wildlife use; Piney Run Reservoir was also listed as Category 5 and Savage Reservoir listed as Category 2 for chlorophyll-a for their Public Water Supply designated uses. For the other three lakes, chlorophyll-a assessments are not conducted since they only have the Aquatic Life and Wildlife designated use and not the Public Water Supply Designated Use. The following table shows these assessment results.

Table 7: 2024 IR Lake Assessments

Lake Name	Reporting Category	Parameter	Designated Use
Piney Run Reservoir	5	Phosphorous	Aquatic Life and Wildlife
Piney Run Reservoir	5	Chlorophyll-a	Public Water Supply
Savage Reservoir	5	Phosphorous	Aquatic Life and Wildlife
Savage Reservoir	2	Chlorophyll-a	Public Water Supply
Allen Pond	5	Phosphorous	Aquatic Life and Wildlife
Higgins Mill Pond	5	Phosphorous	Aquatic Life and Wildlife
Lake Lariat	5	Phosphorous	Aquatic Life and Wildlife

For all existing lake assessment records, the following chart displays the acreage of lakes assigned to each reporting category by major parameter grouping.



Figure 10: Lake Reporting Categories by Parameter Group
See section A.8 for information on assessment results disclaimers.

In lakes, toxics and nutrients make up many impairments. Common toxics include PCBs, PFOS, and mercury in fish tissue. Phosphorus and chlorophyll-a impairments are the predominant forms of nutrient impairment. Overall, lakes also have many assessment records that are meeting water quality standards and thus in Category 2, especially among metals, some toxics, and bacteria pollution.

The following chart shows the acres of water quality standard attainment by designated use. Like other water body types, use attainment defaults to the worst-case reporting category.



Figure 11: Lake Use Attainment
See section A.8 for more information on assessment results disclaimers.

In lakes, the Fishing and Aquatic Life and Wildlife designated uses are the most assessed designated uses. Due to the overlapping parameters assessed within the same lake assessment unit, the assessment records default to the worst-case scenario and many of the designated uses are not supported. Although the use is not supported, many of the parameters that are impaired are covered by TMDLs already. It will take time and continued implementation efforts to achieve the improvements necessary to delist an entire lake. MDE will continue monitoring lakes to assess and reduce impacts due to nutrients, especially where public health is concerned. MDE and MD DNR are working together to routinely monitor MD's lakes and have developed a Lake Monitoring Prioritization Strategy for determining sampling needs and monitoring order. Also, see MDE's Lake Monitoring webpage for more information.

B.2.4 Beach Assessment Results

Under EPA's Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health (BEACH) Act, Maryland aims to protect public health at all recognized public bathing beaches. MDE's Beaches program facilitates the collection of bacteria data and determines whether beaches are safe for swimming during the summer recreational season. See section D. 2 for more information on MDE's Beaches program. The data collected for the Beaches Program is also used for IR assessment decisions. As the 2024 updated bacteria assessment methodology details, the IR assessments cover a longer time frame to reveal any potential chronic bacteria impairments versus the daily

and weekly swimming advisories determined by the Beaches program. The first chart below shows the count of beaches in given reporting categories by the two types of bacteria measured. The second chart below displays summary counts of beach attainment status of the water contact sport use.



Figure 12: Beach Reporting Categories by Parameter



Figure 13: Beach Use Attainment

Due to the high spatial and temporal variability of bacteria indicators, the 2024 updates to the assessment methodology suggest that weekly sampling is necessary to adequately characterize the assessment unit for chronic bacteria issues. 77 state recognized beaches under the Beach Act were assessed in the 2024 IR cycle. Out of those, 9 beaches are not meeting bacteria water quality criteria and are listed as impaired (Category 5) while 38 of them are meeting bacteria water quality criteria and are supporting the Water Contact designated use (Category 2). The remaining 30 beaches that were assessed and the 4 beaches that were assessed in previous cycles had insufficient data to determine attainment status and were placed in Category 3. Bacteria monitoring for all beaches continues to be a priority for MDE to protect public health.

B.3 Water Quality Trends

Although water quality trend analysis results are not used in the state's water quality assessment methodologies or listing process, they can be useful metrics for quantifying the changes in pollutants over time and tracking progress of restoration efforts. Typically, water quality information must be collected over sufficiently long temporal periods so as not to draw conclusions from changes caused by natural variability. As DNR presents in its Chesapeake Bay Restoration Spending Report SFY 2023, one notable trend in MD is increasing surface water temperatures.

In the report, DNR notes that 89% of all stations have increased surface water temperatures, as highlighted in their figure replicated below.



Figure 12. Trends in flow-adjusted surface water temperature 1999–2022.

- 0% of stations (0 of 125) have cooling surface water temperatures compared to 1999
- 89% of stations (111 of 125) have warming surface water temperatures compared to 1999
- 11% of stations (14 of 125) do not have surface water temperatures that are significantly different from 1999

Figure 14: Warming Temperatures Across MD DNR Long-term Monitoring Stations

Additionally, in the report MD DNR shows that warming rates vary across Maryland water bodies. Overall, 69% of non-tidal and tidal stations are one degree Fahrenheit or warmer as highlighted in their figure replicated below.



Figure 13. Magnitudes of change in surface water temperature 1999–2022.

- 4% of stations (5 of 125) are less than 0.5 degree F change
- 16% of stations (20 of 125) are between 0.5 and less than 1 degree F warmer
- 55% of stations (69 of 125) are between 1 and less than 2 degrees F warmer
- 9% of stations (11 of 125) are between 2 and less than 3 degrees F warmer
- 5% of stations (6 of 125) are between 3 and less than 4 degrees F warmer

Figure 15: Warming Temperature Magnitude Across MD DNR Long-term Monitoring Stations

These temperature increases have negative effects on flora and fauna. For instance, higher temperatures decrease dissolved oxygen concentrations in the water, decreasing habitat suitability for fish, crabs, and other organisms. For more information on how these warming trends are impacting Maryland's waterways and how MDE is addressing them, see section C.3 of this report.

Although temperature trends are increasing, nutrient and sediment pollution has improved in Maryland. According to MD DNR's analysis, between 1999-2022, nitrogen concentrations at

63% of stations, phosphorus concentrations at 50% of stations and sediment concentrations at 26% of stations have statistically significantly improved. These statewide improvements contribute to larger load reductions to the Chesapeake Bay discussed in section C.1.

For more information on MD DNR's trend analyses along with trend analyses of a variety of other key pollutants and water quality indicators see MD DNR's The Chesapeake Bay Restoration Spending Report: A Report to the Maryland General Assembly pursuant to the 2023 Joint Chairmen's Report. Also see Chesapeake Bay Program's STAC report on Rising Water Temperatures.

Additionally, MD DNR analyzes trends for a variety of other water quality parameters in both the tidal and non-tidal waters of Maryland. For non-tidal trends, see DNR's report on non-tidal long-term monitoring program trends results through 2022. For tidal water quality status and trends, see DNR's Eyes on the Bay webpage.

USGS and The Chesapeake Bay Program conduct Bay-wide trend analyses. The USGS trend monitoring program includes stations in all 7 of the Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions (Delaware, D.C., Maryland, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and West Virginia). The primary purpose of this monitoring program is to assess the trends in loads that are delivered downstream to the Bay. See USGS's report describing Summary of Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Suspended-Sediment Loads and Trends Measured at the Chesapeake Bay Nontidal Network Stations for Water Years 2011-2020 or their accompanying Chesapeake Bay Nontidal Monitoring Network Loads and Trends StoryMap.

Finally, the CBP's Integrated Trends Analysis Team, composed of members from the Chesapeake Bay Program, state and local agencies, and nonprofits, has enhanced the trends analysis provided by MD DNR, by supplementing the analysis with additional information on land use, criteria attainment, and predicted outcomes to produce Bay-wide trend summaries. For access to these tributary summaries and more information please visit the Integrated Trends Analysis Team's website.

PART C: MARYLAND'S CHALLENGES, ACCOMPLISHMENTS, AND PRIORITIES

C.1 The Chesapeake Bay

Chesapeake Bay water quality improvement remains a critical focus for Maryland. A healthier Bay contributes not only to the health of Maryland's citizens and communities, but also provides outdoor recreation and strengthens industries that contribute to our State's economic health. Overall, nutrients and sediment remain two of the most significant pollutants in the Bay, creating unsuitable oxygen and water clarity conditions for aquatic life among other detrimental effects. Now and in the future, higher precipitation rates caused by anthropogenic climate change, as well as urbanization throughout the watershed, will exacerbate these issues.

To address these nutrient and sediment loads, Maryland continues to decrease pollution input in the Bay. Since 1985, Maryland has reduced the Bay's annual pollution loads by an estimated 35 million pounds of nitrogen, 3.7 million pounds of phosphorus, and 757 million pounds of sediment. As of 2022, pollution control solutions, called Best Management Practices (BMP), achieved 51% of the nitrogen reductions, 60% of the phosphorus reductions, and 100% of the sediment reductions compared to the 2009 baseline. As Maryland continues its efforts to reduce nutrients, it will continue targeting pollution from the leading sources of nutrient pollution: agriculture, wastewater systems, and stormwater from developed areas. Alongside these efforts, MDE incentivizes restoration and BMPs that not only reduce nutrient and sediment loads but also provide additional benefits to Maryland's water quality, economy, and historically disenfranchised communities. For more information on Maryland's Chesapeake Bay cleanup, please see MDE's Chesapeake Cleanup Center website and Chesapeake Bay Annual Progress Story Map.

C.2 PFAS

The risk posed by exposure to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) is an emerging state public health concern. Since the 1940s, United States manufacturers have used PFAS chemicals for their unique heat, water, and oil resistant properties. These same traits make PFAS persistent in the environment and harmful to humans. Current science suggests that exposure to PFAS, which often occurs through consumption of contaminated fish or drinking water, can lead to adverse human health effects such as increased cholesterol levels, pregnancy complications, increased risk of certain cancers, among others. Given this concern, Maryland has targeted these pollutants through increased monitoring, exposure prevention, and pollution source control.

MDE has conducted intensive PFAS monitoring in fish tissue to document and prevent risk to these harmful chemicals. Between 2020 and 2022, the agency collected 150 PFOS fish composites, prioritizing water bodies with frequent subsistence fishing and nearby potential sources of PFAS. MDE also recently updated PFOS thresholds for fish tissue consumption advisory to align with the Center for Disease Control's (CDC) more stringent reference doses. With these limits, new fish tissue data have resulted in 106 fish consumption advisories for PFOS. This led to 36 new Category 5 listings, 14 new Category 2 assessment records, and one new Category 3 assessment record for PFOS in fish tissue on the 2024 IR. WPRPP has made

TMDL development for these 36 impaired listings a high priority which will reduce future PFOS impacts and improve already affected water bodies.

MDE's drinking water and wastewater programs similarly prevent PFAS exposure through monitoring and effective response. In 2024, MDE completed PFAS sampling at all 473 Maryland Community Water Systems (CWS). Maryland continues this effort by sampling all Non-Transient Non-Community Water Systems, which include schools, office buildings, and day care centers. MDE also notified customers of the 73 community water systems where PFOA or PFOS concentrations were higher than EPA's final regulatory limit of 4 parts per trillion. Wherever possible, systems used an alternate source, installed a treatment process, or had the affected water treatment facility go offline. MDE also requires PFAS monitoring at wastewater treatment facilities that create biosolids to be used as fertilizer which can also contain these contaminants. MDE continues to provide technical assistance to these facilities and connects them to state and federal funds.

Maryland has also taken action to prevent further use of these chemicals. In 2020, Maryland banned the use of Class B fire-fighting foams containing PFAS for training and testing purposes after 2021. The George "Walter" Taylor Act, passed in 2022, expanded this ban to Class B fire-fighting foams containing PFAS at airports, ports, refineries, or chemical plants after September 2024 and at terminals after December 2027. MDE is working with the Maryland Environmental Service to facilitate a take back program to recover and dispose of these fire-fighting foam products. MDE is collaborating with the Department of Defense and EPA as well to assess and remediate sites with PFAS present to prevent these parameters from entering waterways.

MDE will continue PFAS monitoring, response, and pollution reduction across the state to reduce the risk of these contaminants for all Marylanders. For more information, please see MDE's PFAS website.

C.3 Climate Change

Maryland is engaged in the global and local concerns that climate change poses. With 3,100 miles of shoreline, Maryland is the fourth most vulnerable state to suffer the effects of sea-level rise associated with climate change. As mentioned in section B.3 on trend monitoring, data shows that Maryland's tidal and nontidal water bodies are warming. This change stresses aquatic species, particularly cold water obligate species. Increased precipitation in the state will also exacerbate runoff issues, intensifying pollution in Maryland's waterways. In response, Maryland is taking a leading role in the nation to prevent and adapt to these climatic changes.

The state is actively fighting to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, the source of many of these ecological issues. Maryland has already reduced GHG emissions faster than almost any other state in the nation, decreasing emissions by 30% between 2006 and 2020. To add to this progress, Maryland recently set the country's most aggressive GHG emissions reduction goals as of 2022. Under the Climate Solutions Now Act, it targets a 60% reduction by 2031 and net-zero emissions by 2045. As documented in Maryland's Climate Pollution Reduction Plan, Maryland will achieve this goal through a series of large investments. Some of these projects include home

and building electrification, electric vehicle incentives, infrastructure investments, and nature-based carbon reduction projects like tree plantings. These new policies will not only push the state towards its climate goals but also generate up to $1.2 billion in public health benefits, $2.5 billion in increased personal income, and a net gain of 27,400 jobs between now and 2031 as compared against current policies. For more information on The Department's work, see MDE's Climate Change Program Webpage.

MDE's WPRPP Program is taking a leading role in protecting cold water streams as well. MDE formed a Cold Water Advisory Committee composed of stakeholders and subject matter experts, which spurred clarification of water quality standards to protect cold water streams from rising temperatures. Notably, 19 existing use determinations were made during the 2019 Triennial Review of Water Quality Standards that add additional cold water protection to waters that contain cold water obligate species and that have a thermal regime that is cooler than the criteria specified under their currently recognized designated use. MDE and MD DNR have renewed emphasis on monitoring and assessments as well by deploying continuous temperature sensors and updating the temperature assessment methodology. In combination, this standards, monitoring, and assessment work led to 196 new Category 5 temperature impairments identified in the 2024 IR. This change brings the total number of temperature impairments in Use Class III and III-P waters to 369. WPRPP is also currently developing temperature modeling tools for temperature TMDL development to ultimately guide local restoration and management actions.

C.4 Chlorides

Another persistent water quality challenge facing the state is the increase of chloride in non-tidal streams. There are 28 watersheds impaired by chlorides across Maryland. Winter salt runoff is the primary source of these impairments, which poses a risk not only to freshwater species but also drinking water quality and green infrastructure meant to mitigate other stormwater pollutants. Once salt flows into waterways, it is very difficult to remove; the residence time of road salt in a watershed can be as long as 40-70 years. Thus, MDE is promoting a widespread, decreased use of winter salts through its chloride reduction strategy. This plan includes public outreach, salt application training and certification, permit conditions in Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permits aimed at reducing salt application, and collaboration with the State Highway Administration (SHA), which is one of the state's largest applicators. Since adopting MDE's salt reduction practices, the SHA has already reduced its total salt usage on roadways by almost 50% while simultaneously maintaining safe roads.

For more information on MDE's chloride reduction strategy, see appendix E, MDE's Salt Webpage, or MDE's Salt StoryMap.

C.5 Environmental Justice

Pollution disproportionately harms low income, minority, and limited English proficiency communities both historically and today. In Maryland, state law defines environmental justice (EJ) as "equal protection from environmental and public health hazards for all people regardless of race, income, culture, and social status." MDE recognizes current inequity and injustice in Maryland and has made addressing them a key part of its mission.

One significant step towards this goal is MDE's development and release of the Maryland EJ Screen in June 2022. This tool leverages data not available in federal screening tools by combining demographic and socioeconomic data with MDE data on potential pollution sources such as industrial facilities, wastewater treatment plants, and active or historic coal mining sites. Community consultation was critical in the development of this tool and helped guide what pollution exposure metrics were included. Currently, permit applicants are required to use this tool in their permit applications. MDE staff use this information to make EJ oriented decisions for not only permitting but also pollution control and resource distribution. By providing all Marylanders equal access to information about potential environmental hazards in their communities, the tool enables communities to participate in the decision-making process of environmental permits and supplemental environmental projects.

WPRPP has used this tool to guide where to invest its limited resources. WPRPP uses EJ scores as a parameter to prioritize impairments for TMDL, as described and shown in the 2025-2032 Vision for Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Program in Appendix C. In preparation for MDE's 2024-25 lake nutrient sampling, WPRPP staff ranked prospective sampling sites by EJ score and prioritized locations with high scores. Doing so provides water quality data for at risk communities.

Maryland's nonpoint source pollution program's (CWA §319 program) most recent work includes funding restoration and outreach projects within underserved communities in the Middle Gwynns Falls, Lower Jones Falls, and Upper Choptank River watersheds. Notably, WPRPP's nonpoint source pollution program has coordinated with Envision the Choptank to promote water quality improvements in the underserved areas that it helps manage. The program is looking to provide funding to build local capacity and provide technical support needed to achieve this goal.

For more information on MDE's EJ initiatives, including many not discussed here such as its work alongside the Curtis Bay community to secure the largest environmental crime fines in State history, visit MDE's EJ Landing Page. For more information on Maryland's 319 work see Maryland's 2021-2025 Nonpoint Source Management Plan or MDE's Nonpoint Source Program Webpage.

C.6 Participatory Science

Maryland continues to make significant efforts to engage and include the public with respect to their monitoring efforts, especially as they relate to the Integrated Report process. MDE included more community-based data in the 2024 IR assessments than ever before. Three organizations, Blue Water Baltimore, Nanticoke Watershed Alliance, and Arundel Rivers Federation collected and submitted high quality, Tier III, tidal DO data that was incorporated into the Chesapeake Bay Program's, and subsequently Maryland's IR, DO Assessments for the Chesapeake Bay. An additional seventeen different non-governmental organizations submitted data for the 2024 IR to support assessment decisions. For the complete list of organizations that submitted data for the IR and to see how their data was used in assessments, see appendix A.

To facilitate this use of community science data, MDE decreased the barriers to submitting data and information for the Integrated Report by creating a data submittal webpage and by allowing multiple different formats of data submission, including gathering data from existing external databases. For the 2024 IR, MDE again partnered with the Chesapeake Monitoring Cooperative (CMC) to obtain community science and volunteer-based data for water quality assessments. Partnering with the CMC has allowed MDE to compile a greater quantity and spatial coverage of water monitoring data by sharing the workload of organizing, storing, evaluating, and ensuring quality data. MDE incorporated the majority of the volunteer-based water quality data into the IR by retrieving data from the CMC's Chesapeake Data Explorer for this 2024 IR cycle and plans to continue working closely with the CMC in future cycles.

MDE is also working with the CMC and community scientists to decrease the barriers in achieving and documenting the data quality necessary for regulatory decisions. MDE has adopted a data quality tier system consistent with VADEQ and the CMC to make it easier for the public to understand how their data can be used and what the requirements are for use in the regulatory decisions of the IR. Additionally, MDE, the CMC, and community science groups are working together to identify current limitations to data submittal and data use in the IR and develop solutions that work for all parties involved. MDE will continue to work towards incorporating volunteer-based water quality data in ways that increase the resolution of the state's water quality assessments.

PART D: STATE WATER QUALITY EFFORTS

D.1 Cost/Benefit Assessment

One specific reporting requirement of the CWA under §305(b), is a cost-benefit analysis of water pollution control efforts to ensure that the benefits of these programs are worth the costs. Economists have defined various ways to measure water quality benefits (e.g., Smith and Desvousges, 1986) and several agencies have produced estimates of water quality values based on uses (e.g., flood control value of wetlands – Leschine et al., 1997) or specific activities (e.g., recreational fishing - US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1998). MDE evaluates the cost effectiveness of reducing nutrient pollution in the Chesapeake Bay Annual Progress Report. Data for these efforts are often difficult to obtain, the results are complex or often address only a single use, and comparability between states or regions can be difficult. There are increasing efforts, led primarily by the academic community, to establish ecosystem service values for a variety of attributes provided by natural areas and waters. However, it is difficult currently to apply values broadly across a range of regional and jurisdictional boundaries.

A substantial level of federal funding for water pollution control efforts comes from some agencies (EPA) while funding for aquatic resource protection and restoration may be substantially provided by other federal agencies (e.g., US Fish and Wildlife Service). Funds usually are transferred to states through a variety of appropriations – for example, certain provisions of the federal Water Pollution Control Act and its amendments provide for grants to states, including Sections 104(b) (National parameter Discharge Elimination System), 106 (surface and ground water monitoring and permitting), 117 (Chesapeake Bay Program), 319 (nonpoint source pollution control), and 604(b) (water quality planning). These funds often provide seed money or low-interest loans that must be matched by state or local funds or documented in-kind efforts used on the project. A summary of federal water quality/aquatic resource-related grants (CWA §106, §319, §104b planning, wetlands, targeted watersheds, public water supply, and beach monitoring) to state agencies is shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16: Federal Budget Appropriations to Water Programs (2004-2024). (Source: Association of Clean Water Administrators President's FY24 Budget Request Funding Chart, Updated 8-15-23)

Although federal funding to water programs has generally increased over the past few years, what each state and program receives fluctuates. An example of the impact of national funding variance can be seen in Figure 17 below which shows EPA's §319 funding appropriation and what Maryland received over that same time.



Figure 17: Federal nonpoint source total budget allocation including the Maryland totals. (Sources: Association of Clean Water Administrators FY24 Report and MDE's 319 Annual Report)

As the federal funding for water programs varies and program costs increase annually, maintenance of nearly every water program activity requires either an increased share from state/local budgets or reductions in program function.

Clean water offers many valuable uses to individuals and communities as direct and indirect economic benefits. Beautiful beaches, whitewater rivers, and calm, cool lakes add to aesthetic appeal and contribute to the recreation and tourism industry. A plentiful supply and good quality drinking water encourages economic growth and development, increased property values, water-based recreational opportunities, and commerce. Though environmental quality ranks high in the public's perception of livable communities, an economic valuation of each of these benefits is difficult to develop.

Most often, economic benefits are determined for single uses (e.g., fishing). For example, in 2022 MD DNR data shows there were 277,638 unique anglers in MD and 75.16% of them were MD residents. According to the US Fish and Wildlife Services 2022 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife-Associated Recreation, in 2022, anglers in the nation spent $99.4 billion on fishing related expenses- an average of $2,490 per angler per year. Most of these expenses ($40.7 billion- 41 percent) were equipment-related which included things like fishing equipment, clothing, boats, tents, etc. Trip-related costs (food, lodging, transportation, equipment rental) accounted for another large portion ($36.6 billion- 37 percent) and other items (membership dues, magazines, permits, stamps, and leases) amounted to $22.1 billion (22 percent). In the South Atlantic Geographic Division, there were 8,386,234 Anglers which is 21% of the total population in the region.

In summary, water pollution control efforts are very costly. Much of the federal funds provided to the State, and cost-shared with additional state and local funds, are used to implement local pollution control and/or restoration programs. On an annual basis, the funds available are but a fraction of the estimated cost.

EPA needs to clearly define meaningful and comparable cost/benefit information that would enable states to assess the value of implementing directives of the CWA. A pilot state or regional program or a national study with recognized economists and federal and state participation could help simplify the complexities of this economic analysis.

D.2. Monitoring Programs and Public Health Protection

Maryland's monitoring programs provide not only public health protection from water quality parameters but also much of the data necessary for the IR's assessments. Many of these programs and projects are described below with relevant links for additional information.

Toxic Contaminants Fish Consumption Advisories

MDE is responsible for monitoring and evaluating contaminant levels in recreationally caught fish (includes fish, shellfish, and crabs) in Maryland waters. The tissues of interest for human health include the edible portions of fish (filet), crab (crabmeat and "mustard"), and shellfish ("meats"). Such monitoring enables MDE to determine whether the specific contaminant levels in these species are within safe limits for human consumption. Results of such studies are used to issue consumption guidelines for fish, shellfish, and crab species in Maryland. Additionally, since fish, shellfish, and crabs have the potential to accumulate inorganic and organic chemicals in their tissues (even when these materials are not detected in water), monitoring of these species becomes a valuable indicator of environmental pollution in each water body. More information about these programs can be found at the Fish and Shellfish Programs webpage.

Fish Tissue Monitoring

MDE has monitored chemical contaminant levels in Maryland's fish since the early 1970s. The current regional sampling areas divide the State waters into five regions:

- Eastern Shore water bodies,
- Harbors and Bay,
- Baltimore/Washington urban waters,
- Western Bay tributaries, and
- Western Maryland water bodies.

Maryland routinely monitors watersheds within these five zones on a 5-year cycle. When routine monitoring indicates potential hazards to the public and environment, additional monitoring of the affected area may be conducted to verify the initial findings and identify the appropriate species and size classes associated with harmful contaminant levels. MDE has routinely monitored for PCBs and Mercury in fish tissue and in 2021, began monitoring for PFAS in fish tissue. Findings from such studies are the basis for the fish consumption guidelines published on the Fish Consumption Advisory webpage.

Shellfish Monitoring

In the 1960s, MDE began surveying metal and pesticide levels in oysters and clams from the Chesapeake Bay and its tributaries. Prior to 1990, this effort was conducted every one or two years. In response to low levels of contaminants found and very little change from year to year, shellfish are not monitored routinely for chemical contaminants. This allows MDE to devote its limited resources toward intensive surveys in areas where contamination is more likely. MDE

also analyzes water and shellfish meats as needed for Harmful Algal Bloom (HAB) toxins during certain potentially toxic blooms.

While monitoring has shown no chemical contaminants at levels of concern in any of the oysters sampled, recreational harvesters should still be aware of possible bacterial contamination and avoid shell-fishing in areas that are closed to commercial shellfish harvesting.

Crab Monitoring

Between 2001 and 2003 a study of blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) tissue revealed elevated levels of polychlorinated biphenyls and other contaminants in the "mustard" (hepatopancreas) of crabs caught from the following locations:

- Cedar Point,
- Fairlee Creek,
- Hart-Miller Island,
- Middle River, and
- Patapsco River/Baltimore Harbor.

Crabmeat was found to be low in contaminants. Specific recommendations for crab "mustard" have not been developed for all locations. However, in general, it is advised that the "mustard" from crabs taken from the Northern Chesapeake Bay (above Magothy River) should be consumed in moderation, while "mustard" from the previously mentioned locations should be eaten sparingly and avoided for the crabs from the Patapsco River/Baltimore Harbor area.

Shellfish Harvesting Area Closures

Maryland's Chesapeake Bay waters have long been known for their plentiful shellfish. MDE is responsible for regulating shellfish harvesting waters to safeguard public health. This effort has three parts: 1) identifying and eliminating pollution sources, 2) collecting water samples for bacteriological examination; and 3) examining shellstock samples for bacteriological contamination and chemical toxicants. MDE also conducts some harmful algal bloom (HAB) surveillance in shellfish harvesting waters and uses the Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method for toxin testing in water and shellfish as needed to protect public health.

Information about shellfish harvesting areas that have conditional closures is updated daily on the Maryland Shellfish Advisory and Maps webpage and via a phone message at 1-800-541-1210. MDE has also created an online interactive Shellfish Harvesting and Closure Area Maps that provides timely information showing approved shellfish harvesting areas, conditionally approved areas, and closed or restricted areas.

Bathing Beach Closures

In October 2000, EPA passed the Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health Act (BEACH Act) and provided funding to improve beach monitoring in coastal states. The BEACH Act allows states to define and designate marine coastal waters (including estuaries) for use for swimming, bathing, surfing, or similar water contact activities. The State of Maryland defines beaches in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) as "natural waters, including points of access, used by the public for swimming, surfing, or other similar water contact activities." Beaches are places where people engage in, or are likely to engage in, activities that could result in the accidental ingestion of water. In Maryland, the beach season is designated from Memorial Day to Labor Day. Maryland's WQS and regulations for beaches, including the Beach Action Value (BAV) thresholds, are published in COMAR 26.08.09 and 26.08.02.03. Some important points are:

1. *E. coli* and Enterococci are the bacteriological indicators for beach monitoring.
2. Prioritization of monitoring of beaches is based on risk.
3. All beaches, whether permitted or not, now receive protection.
4. MDE does algae bloom sampling and ELISA work using EPA threshold guidance, to protect bathers from cyanotoxins and issue contact advisories as needed.

MDE works with local health departments to enhance beach water quality monitoring and improve the public notification process to protect the health of Marylanders at public bathing beaches. The State Beaches program is administered by MDE; however, the responsibility of monitoring and public notification of beach information is delegated to the local health departments, whose phone numbers are provided at MDE's Local Health Departments webpage. In addition to the application of the BAV, the local health department may consider other factors and environmental conditions in making public health decisions such as a beach advisory or closure. MDE conducts algae bloom sampling and ELISA work, using EPA threshold guidance, to inform local health departments in case a water contact advisory is needed to protect bathers from cyanotoxins.

To protect the health of citizens visiting beaches across Maryland, MDE's Beaches Program is working to standardize and improve recreational water quality monitoring. In addition, MDE provides access to timely information to inform the public of beach closures, advisories, and risks associated with natural water contact before they head to the beach. This information is accessible at MDE's Beach Status webpage.

Waterborne Disease

The 1996 Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments mandated that EPA and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention conduct five waterborne disease studies and develop a national estimate of waterborne disease. Additional information on national estimates and waterborne diseases can be found on CDC's waterborne disease webpage.

Combined and Sanitary Sewer Overflows

MDE requires and tracks reports of sewage overflows by owners and operators of sewage systems in the State. These sewage overflows can adversely impact State waters and pose a risk to public health from raw or partially treated sewage containing elevated levels of bacteria and disease-causing pathogens. MDE maintains an online sewer overflow database of reported sanitary sewer overflows, combined sewer overflows, and bypasses.

Harmful Algal Blooms

Algae are a natural and critical part of Maryland's non-tidal, Chesapeake and Coastal Bays, and Atlantic Ocean ecosystems. However, algae may become harmful if they occur in an unnaturally high abundance or if they produce toxins. In Maryland, the Department of Health (MDH), MD DNR, and MDE collaborate to manage a state-wide harmful algae bloom (HAB) surveillance program which includes issuing health advisories as warranted. MDE and MD DNR conduct algal bloom complaint response and monitoring that provides useful water quality data, a priori data related to fish kills, and protection for recreational water users and shellfish consumers. MDE also employs ELISA technology to test water and shellfish tissue for ambient and bio-accumulated toxins in support of this effort.

From 2015-2022, the State identified and investigated 84 potential harmful algae bloom events where significant risk to human health from contacting or ingesting water existed and 15 no-contact advisories were initiated. Both MDE and MD DNR will continue to work with the Bay Program and MDH to develop, where appropriate, standards or other measures to protect both human health and aquatic life from harmful algal blooms. The following table shows the number of water samples tested for microcystin, number of samples that exceeded an older threshold of 10 parts per billion or, more recently, the newer threshold of 8 micrograms per liter, and the number of no-contact advisories issued to protect human health.

Table 8: Maryland HAB Sampling, Elevated Toxins, and Advisories

Year	Number of Samples Tested	Number of Samples with Elevated Toxins	Number of Advisories Issued
2015	3	3	3
2016	53	26	5
2017	15	8	2
2018	37	5	5
2019	50	7	6
2020	75	16	4
2021	123	12	2
2022	38	5	3
Total	**332**	**42**	**15**

For more information on the science of HABs and how they are managed in Maryland please visit the **MDE HAB webpage**, **MDH HAB webpage**, and **MD DNR HAB webpage**.

Fish Kills

Fish kills occur for a variety of reasons such as natural water chemistry, biological changes, chemical pollution, or miscellaneous human activity. MDE is the lead agency with the responsibility for investigating, responding, and reporting on fish kills throughout the state. MD DNR jointly investigates when fish kills are the result of disease and provides other support as needed. MDE releases an annual summary report of fish kills. The most recent report is the 2022 Fish Kill Summary.

For more information on fish kills, please visit MDE's Fish Kills webpage.

Drinking Water

MDE is charged with ensuring that all Marylanders have a safe and adequate supply of drinking water. MDE's programs oversee both public water supplies, which serve about 84 percent of the population's residential needs, and individual water supply wells, which serve citizens in the most rural areas of the State. Marylanders use both surface water and ground water sources to obtain their water supplies. Surface water sources such as rivers, streams, and reservoirs serve approximately two-thirds of the State's 6.2 million citizens. The remaining one-third of the State's population obtains their water from underground sources.

County Environmental Health Departments implement the State's well construction program and respond to water quality concerns of individual well owners. MDE's regional consultants assist County Environmental Health Departments in addressing water quality issues from individual well owners. See MDE's webpage on Consumer Confidence Reports for specific information provided by water systems on customers satisfaction and the Well Construction program webpage, which is the primary regulatory mechanism for protecting new individual water supplies. For more details on the State's drinking water program, go to MDE's Water Supply webpage.

National Aquatic Resource Surveys (NARS)

EPA, in partnership with the states, assesses the condition of the nation's waters using a standardized statistical survey that encourages data consistency and allows water quality results to be comparable across states and over various years. The resource surveys include the National Coastal Condition Assessment (NCAA), the National Lakes Assessment (NLA), the National Rivers and Streams Assessment (NRSA), and the National Wetland Condition Assessment (NWCA). For more information on each assessment and to see the most recent results and reports, see the National Aquatic Resource Surveys website.

D.3 Water Pollution Control Programs

Maryland implements several water pollution control programs to ensure that water quality standards are attained, many of which are funded by federal dollars under the CWA. Some of

the programs administered by MDE are briefly cited below and web links are provided for access to more detailed information.

Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) and Prioritization

Waters listed on Category 5 of this Integrated Report may require a TMDL. A TMDL is an estimate of the amount or load of a particular parameter that a water body can assimilate and still meet WQS. After a TMDL has been developed, upstream discharges will be further regulated to ensure the prescribed loading amounts are attained. Maryland only added one TMDL in the 2024 Integrated Report Cycle as identified in section B.1.2.

However, Maryland continues to make progress in establishing TMDLs for the state's impaired water bodies. The following table shows anticipated TMDL submissions in 2024 and 2025. For more information on how these specific impairments were targeted for TMDLs, see Appendix C for Maryland's 2025-2032 CWA Section 303(d) Vision Long-Term Planning and Prioritization.

Table 9: Anticipated Submissions to Address Category 5 Integrated Report Listings in FFY 2024 and 2025

Listing Year	Listed Waterbody	Impairing Substance	2022 303(d) List Count
1998	Baltimore Harbor	Metals	4
1996	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Toxics	1
2002	Lower Susquehanna River	PCBs	1
2006	Middle River	PCBs	1
2008	Susquehanna River/Conowingo Dam	PCBs	1
2014	Prettyboy Reservoir	Temperature	2
2012	Deep Creek Lake	Sediment	1
2014	Gwynns Falls	Temperature	3
	Total Listings Addressed from 2022 303(d) List		**14**

MDE has created a TMDL data webpage to make it easier for the public to search for applicable TMDLs and waste load allocations, and to see the geographic extent of waters addressed by TMDLs. This webpage also has links to the Stormwater Toolkit, other stormwater documents, and information about the Chesapeake Bay and tidal tributary Phase 6 model development process, all to assist stakeholders engaged in implementing TMDLs and restoring their waters.

See the MDE's Maryland TMDL Data Center or Maryland's TMDL program webpage for more information.

Permits

MDE is responsible for administering several permit programs to reduce the impacts of surface water and groundwater discharges to state waters. More detailed information on the State's water permits is available at Maryland's Water Permits webpage.

Grant Programs

Several financial assistance programs are offered and/or facilitated by MDE. Funding may be in the form of grants, low interest loans, or direct payments for specific projects. MDE's Water Infrastructure Financing webpage contains more detailed information on the range of financial assistance administered by MDE.

Drinking Water Source Protection

MDE's Water Supply Program (WSP) is responsible for the implementation of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA). In Maryland, the CWA and the SDWA are aligned very closely, promoting a holistic approach toward protection, usage, and management of the State water resources. The WSP oversees numerous activities to make sure public water systems that serve about 84% of Marylanders provide a safe and adequate supply of drinking water.

To do so, they promote and encourage local governments and water suppliers to protect the watershed areas contributing to their surface water supplies and the areas providing recharge to their groundwater supplies. For more information on MDE's Source Protection efforts visit MDE's Source Water Assessment webpage.

To protect the sustainability of the State water resources for present and future generations, the Program additionally administers the Water Withdrawal Appropriation and Use Permitting Program. Maryland law requires that water users do not unreasonably impact the State's water resources for other users. The WSP implements testing and evaluation procedures to ensure that the potential impact from a proposed use is well understood, and that an appropriate permit decision can be made. More information on Water Appropriation and Use Permits may be found at MDE's Water Appropriations or Use Permits webpage.

Additional information on Maryland's WSP can be found at MDE's Water Supply webpage.

Tier II Waters and Antidegradation

Tier II, high-quality waters are those that have existing water quality that is better than the requirements specified by water quality standards (COMAR 26.08.02.04). Maryland continues to implement antidegradation regulations to better protect these high-quality waters from degradation. MDE has recently updated its web resources to clarify how these regulations are implemented and created web pages designed to assist permit applicants in understanding what is expected during a Tier II review of their proposed project. The antidegradation program aims to

protect high quality waters by requiring more rigorous permit application reviews for projects impacting Tier II waters. The reviews identify practices that avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate the effects of the project. Maryland's Tier II webpage contains more information on this process.

Functional Stream Assessment for Stream Restoration Projects in Maryland

Due to increases in proposals to restore or enhance streams and wetlands to meet watershed restoration objectives in the Chesapeake and Coastal Bays, MDE had a need to improve assessment methodologies for assessing both adverse impacts and benefits of restoration projects.

To meet this need, MDE's Wetlands and Waterways Program entered into an interagency agreement with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to tailor their functional pyramid approach to stream restoration for Maryland restoration projects. This approach assesses potential adverse impacts and benefits of proposed restoration projects in Maryland. Detailed, rapid assessments and a restoration process were developed, as well as specific checklists according to the type of stream restoration. These practices include natural channel design, valley restoration, regenerative stormwater conveyance, and analytical design approaches. The project was field tested, revised and completed in 2016 along with its final guidance documents.

Corsica River Targeted Watershed

The Corsica River Watershed Project is a long-standing dedicated program designed to demonstrate that a tidal tributary of Chesapeake Bay can be successfully restored with a highly focused watershed restoration effort. This project was initiated in 2005 after both a TMDL (2000) and Watershed Restoration Action Strategy were developed. Using a variety of funding mechanisms and restoration practices, great strides have been made in reducing the estimated loads of nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediments coming from both point and nonpoint sources in the watershed. The most recent data show long-term (2006-2018) statistically significant downward trends for both nitrogen and phosphorus loads for all three non-tidal tributaries in the Corsica River Watershed. Partners to the Corsica River Targeted Program include MD DNR, MDE, Queen Anne's County Soil Conservation District, the Town of Centreville, Queen Anne's County, and the Corsica River Conservancy. More detailed progress information on this project can be found in the 2005-2011 Progress report, the Section 319 brief, and the 2019 monitoring report. For other information related to the restoration of the Corsica River, please visit the Corsica River Conservancy website.

Maryland Coastal Bays

The Maryland Coastal Bays program is a non-profit partnership that aims to improve the long-term water quality of Maryland's six coastal bays which include the Sinepuxent Bay, Chincoteague Bay, Assawoman Bay, Isle of Wight Bay, Newport Bay, and St. Martin River. The partnership addresses water quality challenges, supports fish and wildlife, and monitors restoration progress in these water bodies while promoting community development, economic development, and coastal resilience in the region. For more information see the Maryland

Coastal Bays website, the 2022 Maryland Coastal Bays Report Card, or the Comprehensive Conservation & Management Plan for Maryland's Coastal Bays (2015–2025).

D.4 Groundwater Monitoring and Assessment

Groundwater is a finite natural resource that sustains Maryland's natural ecosystems in addition to supporting significant and growing human water supply demands. Approximately one third of Maryland's population currently depends on groundwater as a source for drinking water. As the population in Maryland continues to grow, the demand for groundwater for drinking, irrigation, industry, and other uses is increasing, along with threats to groundwater quality.

Senate Joint Resolution No. 25 of 1985 requires the MDE to provide an annual report on the development and implementation of a Comprehensive Groundwater Protection Strategy in the State and on the coordinated efforts by state agencies. Since the development of the original strategy, a variety of state programs at MDE, the Maryland Department of Agriculture, and MD DNR have endeavored to protect ground water resources and characterize the quality and quantity of these resources.

The most recently approved Groundwater Protection Program Report provides an overview of the 2021 activities and accomplishments of state programs that are designed to implement Maryland's Comprehensive Groundwater Protection Strategy.

D.5 Wetlands Program

Maryland has an estimated 757,000 acres of mapped vegetated wetlands that provide both socio-economic and ecological benefits to the State and its waterways. MDE's Wetlands and Waterways Program works to protect and restore MD's wetlands and developed a Maryland Wetland Program Plan in 2021 that describes Maryland's wetland regulation; wetland restoration and protection; wetland monitoring and assessment; and wetland quality standards. This plan updates the Maryland Wetland Conservation Plan of 2003 and the Wetland Monitoring Strategy of 2010. The document also outlines four main objectives for the program, aimed to be completed by 2025.

The first goal aims to enhance the efficiency of wetland regulation methods and management by updating screening systems, evaluating the effectiveness of restorations, and reviewing coordination with other resource agencies. The second goal proposes developing tools to improve wetland condition, function, and vulnerability assessments. The third goal is to strengthen the function of 150,000 acres of wetlands and conserve an additional 225,000 acres with the assistance of the new mapping tool BUILD (Beneficial Use Identifying Locations for Dredge). The fourth, and final, objective involves revising the water quality certification review process to ensure that federal wetland water quality standards are met.

Additionally, MDE's Wetlands and Waterways Program has included a nontidal wetland mitigation section since the program's inception in 1991. Maryland's Nontidal Wetlands Act requires a "no net

loss" of wetland acreage and function. To achieve this goal, compensatory mitigation is required when wetland impacts are unavoidable. The mitigation section is tasked with ensuring that the compensatory mitigation is successfully completed.

For further information, see MDE's Maryland's Wetland Program Plan, Wetland Compensatory Mitigation webpage, or Wetlands and Waterways webpages.

Maryland is also a participant in the National Aquatic Resources Survey program and completed the field work for the National Wetland Condition Assessment in 2016. MDE and its subcontractor, Riparia, at Pennsylvania State University, sampled fifteen sites with broader distribution across Maryland than what was previously sampled in 2011. See the National Wetland Condition Assessment for more information.

D.6 Program Coordination

Program coordination both within MDE and across agencies is imperative in maintaining the framework necessary to monitor, assess, protect, and restore Maryland's surface waters. State agency staff participate in many work groups, committees, task forces, and other forums. Coordination with the Chesapeake Bay Program and participation in the associated subcommittees and goal implementation teams continues to be a nexus for Maryland's water quality restoration activities. MDE staff also communicate regularly with other state agencies and stakeholders on topics including WQS development, water quality monitoring and assessment, TMDL development, and permitting. State staff participate in groups such as the Maryland Water Monitoring Council, to ensure program coordination with local and federal government agencies, as well as the private sector, academia, non-governmental organizations, and Maryland's citizens.

PART E: MARYLAND'S CONTINUED INTEGRATED REPORT PROJECTS

Maryland continues its efforts to improve assessment methodologies and assess data to properly characterize the health of Maryland's waters and provide accurate information for restoration action. This section briefly describes ongoing projects Maryland has undertaken to reach these goals. Note that these projects are specific to the assessment process for future IRs and are in progress. They will be fully described in future IR cycles when completed. Maryland continues to separately innovate and achieve success across other components of the Clean Water Act, such as criteria adoption, TMDL development, or restoration implementation.

E.1 Dissolved Oxygen Criteria Assessment

MDE is currently conducting a pilot study in Fishing Bay to assess all applicable dissolved oxygen (DO) criteria for each designated use within the segment. This work will inform MDE's ongoing collaboration through the Chesapeake Bay Partnership to develop a methodology to properly assess all uses for the effects of nutrients on DO. As mentioned in section B.2, up till now, Maryland has been unable to monitor and assess all applicable short-duration dissolved oxygen (DO) criteria. Assessing these criteria is necessary to not only better understand conditions for aquatic life in the Bay, but also to measure and document improvements and changes within the Bay systems because of nutrient reductions. Successes in this project can be used to guide work for other segments in the Bay in the coming years.

E.2 Biological Assessment and Biological Data Integration

Maryland DNR's Biological Stream Survey Program conducts a random probabilistic biological survey that allows MD to make unbiased estimates of stream conditions with known precision. This stratified random design is a cost-effective way to characterize Maryland's 10,000+ miles of freshwater streams and support assessments of the aquatic life designated use at the 8-digit basin level.

MDE is currently working with MD DNR on updates to the Biological Assessment Methodology for Non-Tidal Wadeable Streams. These updates include data vetting processes, guidance on MBSS data collected at different map scales, and assessment procedures for incorporating high quality biological data collected by local governments as part of their Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permits. In the future, MDE is working to include targeted sampling into these watershed-based assessments to find and document areas of good biological quality. For more information on the delisting methods created for biology specifically, see MDE's delisting methodology for biological assessments.

E.3 The Whole Watershed Act

In the 2024 legislative session, the Watershed, Stream, and Floodplain Restoration - Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Restoration and Stream and Floodplain Restoration Funding (Whole

Watershed Act) was passed (see brief description below). The Whole Watershed Act (Senate Bill 969/House Bill 1165) is a pilot project that will establish a Whole Watershed Restoration Partnership (WWRP) composed of local and state representatives to select and provide funding for specific restoration projects that result in accelerated improvements in water quality, provide additional co-benefits to the environment or surrounding community, are cost effective, and are supported by the local government and communities. MDE will be part of the State Management Team that will implement the Whole Watershed Act and will incorporate data collected at these restoration projects into future IR assessments where appropriate.

PART F: PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

MDE utilizes a public participation process for the IR similar to that used for promulgation of new regulations. The Administrative Procedures Act mandates that a minimum of 30 days from the date of publication in the Maryland Register must be allowed for public review and comment. MDE's public review of the draft 2024 IR of Surface Water Quality will begin on May 31, 2024 and end on July 1, 2024. Besides posting an announcement on the Department's home web page, MDE will also post announcements through the following outlets:

- MDE's IR web page,
- Several of MDE's social media outlets (e.g. Facebook),
- The Maryland Water Monitoring Council Announcement web page (http://dnr.maryland.gov/streams/Pages/MWMC/BulletinBoard.aspx), and
- Targeted emails to the TMDL contact list (approximately 500+ contacts) which includes representatives of federal, state, and local government, academia, and other non-government organizations.

The draft IR is being made available in electronic format to the public via MDE's IR webpage https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/water/TMDL/Integrated303dReports/Pages/2024IR.aspx and in hard copy format by special request to Becky Monahan at becky.monahan@maryland.gov or 410-537-3947. *Please note that MDE charges a fee (36¢/page) for printing and shipping hard-copy reports.*

During the open comment period for the IR, an informational public meeting will be held virtually at 6pm on Thursday, June 13, 2024 to facilitate dialogue between MDE and stakeholders concerning the format, structure, and content of the draft IR. The public meeting will be recorded and shared with stakeholders that may not be able to attend the virtual public meeting. Please register for the virtual meeting at https://forms.gle/zRyGWxXzxVMGUJAS8.

All comments or questions should be directed in writing to the Department. All comments submitted during the public review period will be fully addressed in the comment response section below which will be included with the final IR submitted for EPA approval.

F.1 Informational Public Meeting Announcement

Maryland
Department of the Environment

Wes Moore, Governor
Aruna Miller, Lt. Governor

Serena McIlwain, Secretary
Suzanne E. Dorsey, Deputy Secretary

Department of the Environment
Informational Public Meeting Announcement:
Maryland's Draft 2024 Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality

The Federal Clean Water Act requires that States assess the quality of their waters every two years and publish a list of waters not meeting the water quality standards set for them. This list of impaired waters is included in the State's biennial Integrated Report (IR) of Surface Water Quality. Waters identified in Category 5 of the IR are impaired and may require the development of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs). The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) is announcing the availability of the Draft 2024 IR for public review and comment. The 2024 IR includes the 303(d) 2025-2032 Vision, which provides a long-term vision for assessment, restoration, and protection under the Clean Water Act Section 303(d). Maryland's 2025-2032 Vision focuses on TMDL prioritization for current impairments. The Vision is being released for public review and comment along with the 2024 IR.

The public review and comment period for both the IR and the 2025-2032 Vision will run from **May 31, 2024- July 1, 2024**. The Draft IR is posted on MDE's website at https://mde.maryland.gov/programs/water/TMDL/Integrated303dReports/Pages/2024IR.aspx Hard copies of the Draft IR may be requested by calling Becky Monahan at (410) 537-3947. *Please note that the Department charges a fee to cover printing and shipping costs.* Public comments or questions on the IR and the 2025-2032 Vision may be directed in writing to Becky Monahan, MDE, Water and Science Administration, 1800 Washington Blvd., Baltimore, Maryland 21230, or emailed to Becky.Monahan@maryland.gov, on or before **July 1, 2024**.

The Department is hosting a virtual informational public meeting for both the IR and the 2025-2032 Vision at **6 pm on Thursday, June 13, 2024**. Please register for the virtual meeting at https://forms.gle/zRyGWxXzxVMGUJAS8 Any hearing-impaired person may request a closed caption option for the meeting in advance. An in-person meeting may also be requested in advance, if necessary. The virtual meeting will be recorded, and a copy will be posted on the IR webpage. After addressing all comments received during the public review period, a final IR will be prepared and submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency for approval. Please contact Becky Monahan at Becky.Monahan@maryland.gov or (410) 537-3947 with any meeting requests or questions.

Public Meeting Announcement
Date: June 13, 2024.
Start Time: 6:00 p.m.
Virtual Registration: https://forms.gle/zRyGWxXzxVMGUJAS8
Phone Number: United States 1 209-850-2368
Attendee- Pin: 383 350 004#