Water Quality Analysis of Mercury in Fish Tissue in Rocky Gorge Reservoir in Howard, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties, Maryland

FINAL



Submitted to:

Watershed Protection Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029

September 2014

Submittal Date: December 4, 2014 Approval Date: February 5, 2015

Rocky Gorge Reservoir Hg WQA Document version: September 29, 2014

Table of Contents

List of Figuresi
List of Tablesi
List of Abbreviationsii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARYiv
1.0 INTRODUCTION 1
2.0 GENERAL SETTING
3.0 WATER QUALITY CHARACTERIZATION 8
3.1 POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCES 10
3.2 WATER QUALITY EVALUATION 11
3.2.1 FISH TISSUE ANALYSIS11
3.2.2 ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION OF MERCURY 12
4.0 CONCLUSION
5.0 REFERENCES
APPENDIX A – MDE Permit InformationA-1
APPENDIX B – Mercury Chemistry B-1
APPENDIX C – Integrated Report Methodology for Determining Toxic Impairments to the
"Fishing" Designated Use of Waterbodies in Maryland C-1
APPENDIX D – Composite Fish Tissue Sampling Data and AnalysisD-1

List of Figures

Figure 1: Location Map of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed	5
Figure 2: Land Use Distribution in the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed	6
Figure 3: Land Use Map for the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed	7

List of Tables

Table 1: Current Physical Characteristics of Rocky Gorge Reservoir	
Table 2: Summary of Fish Tissue Mercury Concentrations in Rocky Gorge Reservoir	11
Table A-1: Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed Process Water Point Source	
Permit Information	A-1
Table A-2: Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed NPDES Stormwater Permit Information	A-1
Table B-1: Physical Properties of Metallic Mercury	B-1
Table C-1: Threshold/Criterion Concentrations for Toxic Contaminants of Concern	C-2
Table D-1: Rocky Gorge Reservoir Composite Fish Tissue Sampling Data	D-1

List of Abbreviations

ASG	Atmospheric Studies Group		
BCDPW	Baltimore City Department of Public Works		
BIBI	Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity		
С	Celsius		
CBP P5.3.2	Chesapeake Bay Program Phase 5.3.2		
Cl ⁻¹	Chloride		
COMAR	Code of Maryland Regulations		
CWA	Clean Water Act		
DNR	Maryland Department of Natural Resources		
E _h	Oxidation Potential		
EGU	Electrical Generating Unit		
EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency		
FIBI	Fish Index of Biotic Integrity		
ft^2	Square feet		
ft ³ /s	Cubic feet per second		
g	Grams		
g/day	Grams per day		
g/yr	Grams per year		
g/cm ³	Grams per centimeter subed		
HAA	Maryland Healthy Air Act		
Hg	Mercury		
Hg0	Uncharged, elemental mercury		
Hg^{+1}	Mercurous ion		
Hg^{+2}	Mercuric ion		
Hg(OH) ₂	Mercuric Hydroxide		
HgCl ₂	Mercuric Chloride		
HgS	Mercury Sulfide		
LMB	Largemouth Bass		
MD 8-Digit	Maryland 8-Digit		
MATS	Mercury and Air Toxics Standards		
MDE	Maryland Department of the Environment		
mg/kg	Milligrams per kilogram		
mm	Millimeters		
mi ²	Square miles		
mol/L	Mols per liter		
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System		
NEI	National Emissions Inventory		
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination		
PCBs	Polychlorinated Biphenyls		
PPRP	Power Plant Research Program		
RfD	Reference Dose		
S^{-2}	Sulfide		

SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SHA	Maryland State Highway Administration
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
WQA	Water Quality Analysis
WQLS	Water Quality Limited Segment
WSSC	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission
WWTP	Wastewater Treatment Plant
µg/kg	Micrograms per kilogram
μg/L	Micrograms per liter
µg/kg-day	Micrograms per kilogram per day
YOY	Young of the Year

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and the US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) implementing regulations direct each state to identify and list waters, known as water quality limited segments (WQLSs), in which current required controls of a specified substance are inadequate to achieve water quality standards. For each WQLS listed on the *Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality in Maryland* (Integrated Report), the State is required to either establish a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) of the specified substance that the waterbody can receive without violating water quality standards, or demonstrate via a Water Quality Analysis (WQA) that water quality standards are being met (CFR 2014a). This document, upon approval by the EPA, presents a WQA of mercury (Hg) for Rocky Gorge Reservoir (Maryland 8-Digit [MD 8-Digit] basin number 02131107) (2012 Integrated Report Assessment Unit ID: MD-021311070941-Rocky_Gorge_Reservoir).

The MD 8-Digit Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed consists of:

- 1) The impoundment created behind the T. Howard Duckett Dam (referred to as "Rocky Gorge Reservoir"), and
- 2) The nontidal tributaries within the watershed that drain to the impoundment from Brighton Dam to the northwest.

The use of the term "Rocky Gorge Reservoir" throughout this report will refer solely to the impoundment created behind T. Howard Duckett Dam. The term "tributaries of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed" will refer to the non-tidal tributaries within the watershed draining to the reservoir.

Maryland's water quality standards specify that all surface waters of the State shall be protected for water contact recreation, fishing, and protection of aquatic life and wildlife (COMAR 2014a). The specific Maryland Surface Water Use Designation in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) for Rocky Gorge Reservoir is Use I-P (*Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Aquatic Life, and Public Water Supply*) (COMAR 2014b, c). The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) has identified Rocky Gorge Reservoir on the State's 2012 Integrated Report as impaired for impacts to biological communities in 1st- through 4th-order streams in the watershed (2004), mercury in fish tissue (2010) and nutrients (1998).

The WQA presented herein by MDE will address the 2010 mercury in fish tissue listing for Rocky Gorge Reservoir, for which a data solicitation was conducted, and all readily available data from the past five years have been considered. A TMDL addressing the 1998 listing for nutrients in Rocky Gorge Reservoir was approved by the EPA in 2008. The listing for impacts to biological communities will be addressed at a future date.

MDE measures fish tissue concentrations of total mercury. Methylmercury, which is the form that represents a human health risk, comprises 90 to 95% of total mercury found in fish tissue. Thus, as a conservative assumption, total mercury as measured by MDE in fish tissue is assumed to consist entirely of its methylated form. Therefore, for the purpose of this WQA, the terms "total mercury", "methylmercury", and "mercury" are used interchangeably to refer to the impairing substance, except when a distinction is made for computational purposes.

An analysis of recent fish tissue monitoring data in Rocky Gorge Reservoir demonstrates that the "fishing" designated use of the reservoir (COMAR 2012d) is supported to allow for the consumption of fish that is protective of human health, as it relates to mercury levels in fish tissue, thus indicating that the reservoir is not impaired for mercury in fish tissue. The EPA recommended, and the State adopted, a numeric criterion for methylmercury in fish tissue of 300.0 micrograms per kilogram (μ g/kg). This numeric criterion is deemed to be protective of human health relative to the consumption of fish. The conclusion that the "fishing" designated use of Rocky Gorge Reservoir is being supported is based on two composite tissue samples of trophic-level four fish (in this case, largemouth bass) taken from the reservoir in September 2013, which indicate that the median fish tissue mercury concentration is less than MDE's numeric criterion concentration for methylmercury in fish tissue, which is deemed to be protective of human health relative to the consumption of fish. In addition to the composite samples, individual samples of the fish tissue were also tested. Total mercury in fish tissue concentration of 300 μ g/kg.

As stated above, the analysis presented in this report supports the conclusion that a TMDL for mercury is not necessary to achieve water quality standards in Rocky Gorge Reservoir. Although Rocky Gorge Reservoir does not display signs of an impairment due to mercury in fish tissue, the State reserves the right to require future controls if evidence suggests that mercury from the reservoir is contributing to increases of methylmercury in fish tissue downstream. Barring the receipt of contradictory data, this report will be used to support the revision of the Integrated Report listing for mercury in fish tissue in Rocky Gorge Reservoir from Category 5 ("waterbody is impaired, does not attain the water quality standard, and a TMDL is required") to Category 2 ("waterbody is meeting some [in this case mercury in fish tissue related] water quality standards, but with insufficient data to assess all impairments").

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and the US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) implementing regulations direct each state to identify and list waters, known as water quality limited segments (WQLSs), in which current required controls of a specified substance are inadequate to achieve water quality standards. For each WQLS listed on the *Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality in Maryland* (Integrated Report), the State is required to either establish a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) of the specified substance that the waterbody can receive without violating water quality standards, or demonstrate via a Water Quality Analysis (WQA) that water quality standards are being met (CFR 2014a). The most common scenarios that would obviate the need for a TMDL are:

- (1) Analysis of more recent data indicating that the impairment no longer exists (i.e., water quality standards are being met);
- (2) Results of more recent and updated water quality modeling demonstrate that the segment is attaining water quality standards;
- (3) Refinements to water quality standards or to the interpretation of those standards accompanied by analysis demonstrating that the standards are being met;
- (4) Identification and correction of errors made in the initial listing.

Based on recent data, this document, upon approval by the EPA, presents a WQA of mercury (Hg) in Rocky Gorge Reservoir (Maryland 8-Digit [MD 8-Digit] basin number 02131107) (2012 *Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality in Maryland* Assessment Unit ID: MD-021311070941-Rocky_Gorge_Reservoir), which indicates that a mercury impairment no longer exists in the reservoir.

The MD 8-Digit Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed consists of:

- 1) The impoundment created behind the T. Howard Duckett Dam (referred to as "Rocky Gorge Reservoir"), and
- 2) The nontidal tributaries within the watershed that drain to the impoundment from the Brighton Dam to the northwest.

The use of the term "Rocky Gorge Reservoir" throughout this report will refer solely to the impoundment created behind T. Howard Duckett Dam. The term "tributaries of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed" will refer to the non-tidal tributaries within the watershed draining to the reservoir.

Maryland's water quality standards specify that all surface waters of the State shall be protected for water contact recreation, fishing, and the protection of aquatic life (COMAR 2014a). The specific Maryland Surface Water Use Designation in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) for Rocky Gorge Reservoir is Use I-P (*Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Aquatic Life, and Public Water Supply*) (COMAR 2014b, c). The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) has identified Rocky Gorge Reservoir on the State's 2012 Integrated Report for impacts to biological communities in 1st- through 4th-order streams in the watershed (2004), mercury in fish tissue (2010) and nutrients (1998).

The WQA presented herein by MDE will address the 2010 mercury in fish tissue listing for Rocky Gorge Reservoir, for which a data solicitation was conducted, and all readily available data from the past five years have been considered. A TMDL for phosphorus in Rocky Gorge Reservoir was approved by the EPA in 2008. The listing for impacts to biological communities will be addressed at a future date.

This report provides an analysis of recent fish tissue monitoring data that supports the removal of the mercury in fish tissue impairment listing for Rocky Gorge Reservoir. The remainder of this report lays out the general setting of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed, presents a discussion of the reservoir's water quality characteristics relative to established water quality standards related to mercury and the applicable designated uses of the reservoir, and provides conclusions with regard to the characterization.

2.0 GENERAL SETTING

Location

The Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed is located in the Patuxent River sub-basin of the Chesapeake Bay watershed, within Maryland. The reservoir's watershed drains 84,480 acres of Howard, Montgomery, and a small portion of Prince George's Counties (see Figure 1). The T. Howard Duckett Dam was completed on the Patuxent River in 1952, creating Rocky Gorge Reservoir, which is owned by the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC). Water supply intakes in the reservoir feed the WSSC's Patuxent Water Filtration Plant near Burtonsville, MD, which provides drinking water to Howard and Montgomery Counties. The reservoir is primarily fed by the Patuxent River, a portion of which is impounded by the Britghton Dam to form the Triadelphia Reservoir, and by Hawlings River to the northwest. There are several "high quality," or Tier II, stream segments [Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity (BIBI) and Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (FIBI) aquatic life assessment scores > 4 (scale 1-5)] located within the watershed requiring the implementation of Maryland's anti-degradation policy (COMAR 2014e). These include two unnamed tributaries to the reservoir and the Patuxent River mainstem watershed that includes the Triadelphia and Rocky Gorge Reservoirs (MDE 2011a). Approximately 4% percent of the watershed area is covered by water (i.e., streams, ponds, etc).

Reservoir Characteristics

Table 1 lists the Rocky Gorge Reservoir's physical characteristics.

	Howard County, MD
	Montgomery County, MD
Location:	Prince George's County, MD
Latitude - At Dam:	39 [°] 07' 00" N
Longitude - At Dam:	76 [°] 52' 36" W
Surface Area:	733 acres $(33.67 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^2)^2$
Normal Reservoir Depth:	74.0 feet
Designated Use:	I-P (Water Supply/Recreation) (COMAR 2012b)
Average Volume:	17,000 acre-feet
Drainage Area to Reservoir:	$132 \text{ mi}^2 (84,480 \text{ acres})^3$
Average Discharge: ⁴	85.9 ft ³ /s

Table 1: Current Physical Characteristics of Rocky Gorge Reservoir¹

Notes: ¹ Sources: Water Resources Data Maryland and Delaware Water Year 2000 (USGS 2000).

² ft²: square feet.

³ mi²: square miles.

⁴ ft³/s: feet cubed per second.

Geology/Soils

The Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed lies within the north-central Piedmont Plateau physiographic province of Maryland, which is characterized by a gentle to steep rolling topography. The surficial geology of the watershed is composed of hard, crystalline igneous and metamorphic of Late Precambrian age (Edwards 1981). The headwaters of the Patuxent River lie in the schists and metasedimentary rock of the Marburg Formation. Almost all of the rest of the watershed lies in the Wissahickon Formation of gneisses and schists. Lower Pelitic schist is the primary underlying bedrock of the direct drainage to Rocky Gorge and Triadelphia Reservoirs.

The soils found in the reservoir watersheds are primarily deep and well-drained to excessively drained (Matthews and Hershberger 1968; Brown and Dyer 1995). The soils in the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed belong primarily to the Glenelg-Manor-Chester and the Glenelg-Gaila-Occoquan associations (USDA 2006). The Baile soil series consists of soils that are very deep and poorly drained. The Glenelg-Chester-Manor association forms the dominant soils of Cattail Creek and areas northwest of Triadelphia Reservoir, while the Mt.Airy-Glenelg-Chester association is dominant in the Patuxent River watershed draining into Triadelphia Reservoir.

Soil type for the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed is also characterized by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) into four hydrologic soil groups: Group A soils have high infiltration rates and are typically deep well drained/excessively drained sands or gravels; Group B soils have moderate infiltration rates and consist of moderately deep-to-deep and moderately well-to-well drained soils, with moderately fine/coarse textures; Group C soils have slow infiltration rates with a layer that impedes downward water movement, and they primarily have moderately fine-to-fine textures; Group D soils have very slow infiltration rates consisting of clay soils with a permanently high water table that are often shallow over nearly impervious material. The Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed is comprised primarily of Group B soils (76%) with smaller portions of Group C and Group D soils (18% and 3% respectively) (USDA 2006).

Land Use

Based on the Chesapeake Bay Program's (CBP) Phase 5.3.2 watershed model 2009 Progress Scenario, the land use distribution in the watershed is 44.9% forested, 18.4% urban, 3.8% water, and 32.9% agricultural. The land use distribution is displayed and summarized in Figures 2 and 3.



Figure 1: Location Map of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed

5



Figure 2: Land Use Distribution in the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed



Figure 3: Land Use Map for the Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed

7

3.0 WATER QUALITY CHARACTERIZATION

A water quality standard is the combination of a designated use for a particular body of water and the water quality criteria designed to protect that use. Designated uses include support of aquatic life, primary or secondary contact recreation, drinking water supply, and shellfish propagation and harvest. Water quality criteria consist of narrative statements and numeric values designed to protect the designated uses. Criteria may differ among waters with different designated uses.

Maryland's water quality standards specify that all surface waters of the State shall be protected for water contact recreation, fishing, and the protection of aquatic life (COMAR 2014a). The specific Maryland Surface Water Use Designation in COMAR for Rocky Gorge Reservoir is Use I-P (*Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Nontidal Warm Water Aquatic Life and Public Water Supply*) (COMAR 2014b, c).

MDE interprets the "fishable" designated use under section 101(a) of the CWA to mean the protection of human health, as it relates to the consumption of fish and shellfish. Thus, "fishable" implies that when fish and shellfish are harvested, they can be safely consumed by humans (COMAR 2014d). The impairment listing in the 2012 Integrated Report indicates that Rocky Gorge Reservoir does not support its "fishing" designated use due to elevated mercury levels in fish tissue.

MDE measures fish tissue concentrations of total mercury. Methylmercury, which is the form that represents a human health risk, comprises 90 to 95% of total mercury found in fish tissue. Thus, as a conservative assumption, total mercury as measured by MDE in fish tissue is assumed to consist entirely of its methylated form. Therefore, for the purpose of this WQA, the terms "total mercury", "methylmercury", and "mercury" are used interchangeably to refer to the impairing substance, except when a distinction is made for computational purposes.

Mercury chemistry in the environment is complex and not fully understood. Mercury exhibits the properties of a metal, specifically its persistence in the environment, and it does not chemically break down beyond its elemental, uncharged form (Hg^0) or its ionic mercurous (Hg^+) and mercuric (Hg^{+2}) forms. However, it also has properties similar to a hydrophobic organic chemical, due to its ability to methylate via a bacterial process. Methylation of mercury can occur in water, sediment, and soil matrices under anaerobic conditions and, to a lesser extent, under aerobic conditions. In water, methylation occurs mainly at the water-sediment interface and at the oxic-anoxic boundary within the water column. Methylmercury is readily taken up by organisms and subsequently bioaccumulates, as it has a strong affinity for muscle tissue. It is effectively transferred through the food web, with tissue concentrations magnifying at each trophic-level. This process can result in elevated levels of methylmercury in organisms high on the food chain, despite nearly immeasurable mercury/methylmercury concentrations in the water column. Appendix B discusses mercury chemistry, including methylation, in greater detail.

In fish tissue, mercury is not usually found in concentrations high enough to cause fish to exhibit signs of toxicity, but the mercury in sport (trophic-level four) fish can present a potential health risk to humans. The health risk to humans posed by the mercury content in consumed fish tissue

8

FINAL

is due to methylmercury. Typically, almost all of the mercury found in fish tissue (90 to 95%) is in the form of methylmercury.

For public health purposes, MDE has the responsibility to monitor and evaluate the contaminant levels in Maryland's fish, shellfish and crabs, to determine if contaminant levels are within the limits established as safe for human consumption. In fulfillment of this public health responsibility, MDE issued a statewide fish consumption advisory for mercury in fish in 2001. This original 2001 advisory was established statewide as a precautionary measure, because the primary source of mercury is understood to be atmospheric deposition, which is widely dispersed (MDE 2001). This advisory has subsequently been revised, and is updated on a regular basis, using actual monitoring data of fish tissue mercury concentrations. The updated advisory provides guidelines on fish consumption (allowable meals per month) for recreational anglers and their families (not including commercially harvested fish) and includes fish species in publicly accessible lakes, impoundments, rivers, etc. (MDE 2011b). The fish consumption guidelines were developed, in part, to protect against the possible neurobehavioral damages that could occur during human fetal development and early childhood.

To determine if the "fishing" designated use of a waterbody is impaired for a particular contaminant, the contaminant fish tissue concentration from a composite sample of fish fillets of any single common species of recreational fish is compared to the criterion concentration or established fish consumption advisory threshold concentration (for contaminants that do not have an existing criterion as per Maryland's water quality standards) for that contaminant. Appendix C describes in further detail MDE's methodology for fish tissue sampling and subsequent assessment of impairment relative to the "fishing" designated use of waterbodies throughout the state. Maryland collects composite samples of trophic-level four fish, such as largemouth bass, of legally harvestable size on a regular basis to determine whether or not the fish are safe for human consumption. If the numeric criterion or fish consumption advisory threshold concentration for a given contaminant is exceeded, the waterbody's "fishable", or "fishing", designated use is not being attained, and the waterbody is considered to be impaired for the presence of that contaminant in fish tissue.

As a state water quality standard (i.e., numeric criterion for a specific designated use), MDE has adopted the EPA recommended concentration of 300 micrograms per kilogram ($\mu g/kg$) as the methylmercury fish tissue concentration considered to be the highest possible concentration, or threshold concentration, that still supports the "fishable" designated use of a waterbody (US EPA 2001; COMAR 2012f). This numeric criterion represents the maximum allowable methylmercury concentration in the tissues of both freshwater and estuarine fish, as it relates to the protection of human health due to fish consumption amongst the general population. A waterbody with methylmercury fish tissue concentrations greater than 300 $\mu g/kg$ is therefore not in attainment of its "fishing" designated use and is thus impaired for mercury in fish tissue.

Both the fish consumption guidelines and numeric criterion were developed based on methylmercury concentrations; however, the analysis presented in this document, and in general, MDE's analysis of fish tissue monitoring data and resultant fish consumption advisories, are conducted using total mercury. Therefore, they incorporate a conservative assumption. Based on fish tissue data collected in 2007 and 2010, Maryland first identified the Rocky Gorge Reservoir as impaired due to elevated levels of mercury in fish tissue on the 2010 Integrated Report (MDE 2010a). These samples will not be included in this WQA, however, since they do not meet MDE's data requirements (See Appendix C for further details on data requirements). They are not considered to be representative of current water quality conditions in the reservoir (i.e., they are considered to be out-dated) and would not be representative of mercury concentrations in consumable fish in the reservoir.

3.1 POINT AND NONPOINT SOURCES

Scientists have linked methylmercury concentrations in fish tissue with atmospheric mercury deposition, and it is estimated that two-thirds of this atmospheric deposition is derived from anthropogenic sources (Hammerschmidt and Fitzgerald 2006). Furthermore, EPA considers coal-fired electric power generating plants to be the largest anthropogenic source of mercury emissions in the nation. Thus, while a portion of the total mercury loading to Rocky Gorge Reservoir may be transported by National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) regulated urban stormwater conveyance systems, it can be assumed that the origin of any urban stormwater mercury loadings is from atmospheric deposition, since there are very few land sources of mercury. The same rationale also applies to both non-NPDES regulated urban stormwater small contribution of mercury loadings that is derived from on-land sources can be attributed to the improper management of mercury-containing products.

The contribution of mercury to the reservoir from NPDES process water point sources is assumed to be minimal, as well. In 2008, MDE sampled the effluent of a large number of municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) in Maryland to determine the representative mercury concentrations in municipal WWTP discharges. This analysis was specifically conducted to aid in the development of mercury TMDLs in Maryland. Based on this study, in the majority of watersheds in Maryland, the total mercury contribution from process water point source loads is be considered insignificant (MDE 2010b). Therefore, all NPDES regulated sources are considered to be insignificant contributors of mercury to the Rocky Gorge Reservoir. For informational purposes, Appendix A presents a summary of discharge permits in the watershed.

3.2 WATER QUALITY EVALUATION

A data solicitation for information pertaining to the mercury in fish tissue impairment in Rocky Gorge Reservoir, as identified in the 2012 Integrated Report, was conducted by MDE in 2014. All readily available data from the past five years has been considered.

3.2.1 FISH TISSUE ANALYSIS

For this WQA, fish tissue concentrations of total mercury – instead of methylmercury – will be compared to the 300 µg/kg numeric criterion. MDE measures fish tissue concentrations of total mercury. Methylmercury, which is the form that represents a human health risk, comprises 90 to 95% of total mercury found in fish tissue. Thus, as a conservative assumption, total mercury as measured by MDE in fish tissue is assumed to consist entirely of its methylated form. Therefore, for the purpose of this WQA, the terms "total mercury", "methylmercury", and "mercury" are used interchangeably to refer to the impairing substance.

Two, five-fish composite samples of trophic-level four fish – largemouth bass – were collected from Rocky Gorge Reservoir and analyzed for total mercury fish tissue concentrations. The physical characteristics of the fish that were collected (see Appendix D) confirm that all of the fish were of legal, "keepable" size (i.e., greater than 12 inches long). By only including fish that were larger than 12 inches in length, the median fish tissue mercury concentration of the sampling data is indicative of long-term mercury accumulation in fish that are several years old. Thus, the fish tissue sampling data reflects any and all seasonal variations and critical conditions in water quality that have occurred over the life of the fish in the reservoir. The results of this analysis are shown below in Table 2.

Species	Trophic Level	Composite Sample Count	Number of Fish per Composite	Total Mercury Median Concentration (µg/kg)	MDE Human Health Criterion for Mercury in Fish Tissue (µg/kg)
Largemouth Bass	4	2	5	282	300

Table 2: Summary of Fish Tissue Mercury Concentrations in Rocky Gorge Reservoir

The median mercury concentration in the composite fish tissue samples is $282 \mu g/kg$. Thus, MDE's 300 µg/kg fish tissue mercury concentration, numeric criterion for the protection of human health via fish consumption is not being exceeded in Rocky Gorge Reservoir. In addition to the composite samples, individual samples of the fish tissue were also tested. Total mercury in fish tissue concentrations for eight of ten of these individual fish tissue samples were also less than MDE's criterion concentration of $300 \,\mu g/kg$. Therefore, based on this fish tissue sampling data, the "fishable" designated use of the Rocky Gorge Reservoir is not impaired due to mercury in fish tissue.

3.2.2 ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION OF MERCURY

As discussed previously in Section 3.0, the atmospheric deposition of mercury has been identified as the only significant source of mercury to the Rocky Gorge Reservoir watershed. Therefore, it is the primary source of mercury found in the tissue of the reservoir's fish population. Most of this atmospherically deposited mercury is believed to have originated from stationary combustion sources, and of the mercury loading to the reservoir watershed from these stationary combustion sources, a large portion comes from electric generating units (EGUs). The Maryland Healthy Air Act (HAA) was put into effect in July of 2007 and was expected to reduce mercury loadings to watersheds throughout the State by requiring EGUs covered under the act to reduce their mercury emissions. An 80% reduction in mercury emissions, from 2002 levels, was required from these EGUs by 2010, and a 90% reduction, from 2002 levels, was required by 2013 (COMAR 2012g).

Because the HAA mandated EGUs reduce mercury emissions 80% by 2010 and 90% by 2013, significant decreases in mercury emissions have already been achieved. It follows that the deposition of mercury to various watersheds across the State should have decreased as well. However, there is a lag-time between: (a) the reduction of mercury emissions, (b) the reduction of mercury loadings to the reservoir watershed, and (c) the corresponding uptake, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification of mercury through the food web in the reservoir. As fish tissue samples are from legal, "keepable" size mature fish, decreases in fish tissue mercury are not immediate. Therefore, the full benefits of the HAA may continue to become apparent as time progresses, and mercury concentrations in fish tissue samples are expected to continue to decrease. Further reductions to mercury loadings, particularly due to a reduction in mercury emissions from non-Maryland EGUs, could occur with the eventual implementation of the federal Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) (U.S. EPA 2012).

4.0 CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis presented in this report, it is concluded that the State water quality standards (i.e., numeric criterion) for mercury in fish tissue are being met within Rocky Gorge Reservoir. Thus, the "fishing" designated use of the reservoir, which allows for the consumption of fish that is protective of human health, is being supported. This conclusion is based on two composite fish tissue samples collected from Rocky Gorge Reservoir in September 2013. The composite samples had a median mercury concentration (281.8 μ g/kg) that was substantially less than MDE's numeric criterion for the protection of human health via fish consumption (300 μ g/kg). In addition to the composite samples, individual samples of the fish tissue were also tested. Total mercury in fish tissue concentrations for eight of ten of these individual fish tissue samples were also less than MDE's criterion concentration of 300 μ g/kg. Therefore, it is concluded that the impoundment is not impaired for mercury in fish tissue.

MDE maintains the authority to re-list Rocky Gorge Reservoir as impaired for mercury in fish tissue in the future if new data indicate that the "fishing" designated use of the reservoir is no longer being met. Monitoring of the reservoir will continue through MDE's Fish and Shellfish Monitoring Program. This program will sample fish tissue from Rocky Gorge Reservoir at least once every five years to determine whether various species of fish are safe for human consumption. If the results of this sampling indicate that fish from the reservoir are unsafe to eat because of mercury concentrations in their tissue, the reservoir would be re-listed as impaired for mercury in fish tissue on the Integrated Report.

Also, beginning in 2008, MDE in conjunction with DNR began commissioning yearly "youngof-the-year" (YOY) fish surveys. For the State's freshwater impoundments, largemouth bass were selected as the indicator species. Since the fish sampled in this study are yearlings and have therefore only had half a year of exposure to methylmercury, they are not representative of consumable fish and could not be used as data for a new listing. They should, however, show medium- and long-term mercury trends in fish tissue far sooner than would adult fish. Therefore, this sampling should be useful in determining the effectiveness of state and federal programs, such as the HAA and MATS, in reducing the atmospheric deposition of mercury. However, because YOY sampling began in 2008, at this point, there is not sufficient data to support any meaningful conclusions.

Barring the receipt of contradictory data, this report will be used to support the revision of the Integrated Report listing for mercury in fish tissue for Rocky Gorge Reservoir from Category 5 ("waterbody is impaired, does not attain the water quality standards, and a TMDL is required") to Category 2 ("waterbody is meeting some [in this case mercury in fish tissue related] water quality standards, but with insufficient data to assess all impairments") when MDE proposes the revision of Maryland's Integrated Report.

5.0 **REFERENCES**

- Brown, J. H. and S. T. Dyer. 1995. Soil Survey of Montgomery County, Maryland. Natural Resource Conservation Service. Washington, DC.
- CFR (Code of Federal Regulations). 2014a. 40 CFR 130.7. http://ecfr.gpoaccess.gov/cgi/t/text/text-idx?c=ecfr&tpl=%2Findex.tpl (Accessed July, 2014).
- COMAR (Code of Maryland Regulations). 2014a. 26.08.02.07 A. http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.07.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014b. 26.08.02.02 B(2). http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.02.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014c. 26.08.02.08-*M*(1)(*b*). http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.08.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014d. 26.08.02.02 B(1)(c). http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.02.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014e. 26.08.02.04. http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.04.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014f. 26.08.02.03-2 G(1). http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/getfile.aspx?file=26.08.02.03-2.htm (Accessed July, 2014).

_____. 2014g. 26.11.27. <u>http://www.dsd.state.md.us/comar/SubtitleSearch.aspx?search=26.11.27</u> (Accessed July, 2014).

Dean, J. A. 1992. Lange's Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 14th Ed. New York, NY: McGraw Hill.

- Edwards, J. 1981. *A Brief Description of the Geology of Maryland*. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Geological Survey. Also Available at: <u>http://www.mgs.md.gov/esic/brochures/mdgeology.html</u>.
- Gavis, J., and J. F. Ferguson. 1972. The Cycling of Mercury Throughout the Environment. *Water Research* 6: 986 1008.
- Gilmour, C. C., and E. A. Henry. 1991. Mercury Methylation in Aquatic Systems Affected by Acid Deposition. *Environmental Pollution* 71: 131–169.
- Hammerschmidt, C. R., and W. F. Fitzgerald. 2006. Methylmercury in Freshwater Fish Linked to Atmospheric Mercury Deposition. *Environmental Science & Technology* 40: 7764 7770.

Rocky Gorge Reservoir Hg WQA Document version: September 29, 2014 14

- James, R. W., R. W. Saffer, and A. J. Tallman. 2001. Resources Data Maryland and Delaware, Water Year 2000 Volume 1: Surface-Water Data WDR-MD-DE-00-1. Baltimore, MD: U.S. Geological Survey. Also Available at: <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/wdr/wdr-md-de-dc-00-1/WDR-MD-DE-DC-2000v1.pdf</u>.
- Matthews, E. D. and M.F. Hershberger. 1968. Soil Survey of Howard County, Maryland. Soil Conservation Service. Washington, DC.
- MDE. 2001. *Press Release: MDE Issues New Fish Consumption Advisories*. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment. Also Available at: http://www.mde.state.md.us/programs/PressRoom/Pages/100.aspx.
 - ______. 2010a. *The 2010 Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality in Maryland*. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment. Also Available at <u>http://www.mde.state.md.us/programs/Water/TMDL/Integrated303dReports/Pages/Final_app_roved_2010_ir.aspx</u>.

_____. 2010b. Summary of Total Mercury Measured in Selected Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants in Maryland. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment.

_____. 2011a. *Maryland Tier II Dataset*. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment.

______. 2011b. Maryland Fish Consumption Advisories: Statewide Freshwater, Estuarine, and Marine Waters. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment. Also Available at: <u>http://www.mde.state.md.us/programs/marylander/citizensinfocenterhome/pages/citizensinfo</u> center/fishandshellfish/index.aspx.

______. 2012a. *The 2012 Integrated Report of Surface Water Quality in Maryland*. Baltimore, MD: Maryland Department of the Environment. Also Available at <u>http://www.mde.state.md.us/programs/Water/TMDL/Integrated303dReports/Pages/2012_IR.</u> <u>aspx</u>.

- PPRP (Maryland's Power Plant Research Program). 1994. *Power Plant Contributions to Environmental Mercury in Maryland*. Annapolis MD: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Power Plant Research Program.
- Shimomura, S. 1989. Analytical Behavior and Some Physical Properties of Mercury: A Review. *Analytical. Sciences* 5: 633 639.
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 2006. *State Soil Geographic (STATSGO) Database for Maryland*. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Also Available at <u>http://soils.usda.gov/survey/geography/statsgo</u>.

- U.S. EPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency). 1997. *Mercury Study Report to Congress* (*The Mercury Study*). Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
 - _____. 2000. *Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories; Third Edition.* Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Also Available at: http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/fishshellfish/techguidance/.
 - _____. 2001. Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Also Available at: http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/criteria/aqlife/pollutants/methylmercury/d ocument.cfm.
 - _____. 2012. *Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS)*. <u>http://www.epa.gov/mats/</u> (Accessed July 2014).

_____. 2010. *Chesapeake Bay Phase 5 Community Watershed Model*. Annapolis MD:Chesapeake Bay Program Office. <u>http://www.chesapeakebay.net/about/programs/modeling/53/</u> (Accessed March, 2013)

Weisberg, S. B., K. A. Rose, B. S. Clevenger, and J. O. Smith. 1985. *Inventory of Maryland Dams and Assessment of Hydropower Resources*. Columbia, MD: Martin Marietta Environmental Systems.

FINAL

APPENDIX A – MDE Permit Information

Table A-1: Rocky Gorge Reservoir Watershed Process Water Point Source Permit Information

NPDES #	Facility Name	Permit Type	
MDG766896	TANTERRA SWIM CLUB ¹	INDUSTRIAL	GENERAL
MDG766471	OLNEY MILL SWIM CLUB ¹	INDUSTRIAL	GENERAL

Notes: ¹ Swimming pool discharge permits.

NPDES Permit # ^{1,2}	Facility Name ³	NPDES Regulated Stormwater Permit Type ^{1,2}
MD0068322	HOWARD COUNTY MS4	HOWARD COUNTY PHASE I MS4
MD0068349	MONTGOMERY COUNTY MS4	MONTGOMERY COUNTY PHASE I MS4
MD0068284	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY MS4	PRINCE GEORGES COUNTY PHASE I MS4
MD0068276	STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION MS4 (PHASE I)	SHA PHASE I MS4
MD0025566	FEMA WWTP	OTHER NPDES REGULATED STORMWATER
MDR000264	OAKS LANDFILL	OTHER NPDES REGULATED STORMWATER

Notes: ¹ Although not listed in this table, some individual permits from Table A-1 incorporate stormwater requirements, and there are additional, general, permitted Phase II MS4s, such as military bases, hospitals, etc., within the watershed.
 ² MS4: Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
 ³ SHA: State Highway Administration

APPENDIX B – Mercury Chemistry

Mercury is a Group IIB (Periodic Table) element, as are zinc and cadmium. Elemental metallic mercury exists as a high luster silver-colored liquid at room temperature. Some key physical properties of metallic mercury are listed in Table B-1. Varied industrial and consumer uses of mercury include electrical apparatuses, such as fluorescent light tubes, and control instruments including thermometers and barometers. It is also used in the manufacture of pharmaceuticals, antifouling paints, mercury fulminate, electrolytic cells, and dental amalgams. Mercury is also a constituent of a number of antiseptics such as mercurochrome, merthiolate and mercressin.

Mercury and all its compounds are toxic. Mercury fulminate, $Hg(CNO)_2$, is used as a detonator for initiating the explosion of smokeless powder and various high explosives (i.e., TNT, dynamite, etc.). Mercury fulminate is very unstable and can be exploded by shock; its explosion causes the main explosive to be detonated. Mercury electrolytic cells are used in a manufacturing process for chlorine/alkali production. Liquid mercury dissolves many metals, especially the softer ones such as copper, silver, gold, and the alkali elements. The resulting alloys, which may be solids or liquids, are called amalgams. Dental amalgam is an alloy of mercury and silver.

Atomic Number	80
Atomic Weight	200.59
Density ^{2,3}	13.5 g/cm ³ @ 25°C
Melting Point	-39°C
Boiling Point	357°C
Water Solubility (molarity) ⁴	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁷ (mol/L) @25°C
Water Solubility (mass basis) ⁵	60 μg/L @ 25°C

 Table B-1: Physical Properties of Metallic Mercury¹

Notes: ¹ Source: (Dean 1992) 2 g/cm³ = grams per centimeters cubed ${}^{3}C = Celcius$

 4 Mol/L = mols per liter

 5 µg/L = micrograms per liter

Mercury chemistry in the environment is complex and not fully understood. Mercury exhibits the properties of a metal, specifically its persistence in the environment, and it does not chemically break down beyond its elemental, uncharged form or its ionic forms. Mercury exists in three oxidation states: the metallic, uncharged (elemental) state (Hg⁰); the mercurous (ionic) state (Hg^{+1}) ; and the mercuric (ionic) state (Hg^{+2}) . These states are separated by only a small oxidation potential (E_h), and the metal readily participates in redox chemical reactions. In particular, Hg^{+1} salts disproportionate under many conditions to yield the Hg^{+2} salt and metallic mercury. Reduction of both the mercurous and the mercuric salts normally yields the metal state (PPRP 1994).

Mercury in natural waters may appear in the form of any of its three oxidation states. The predominate state is determined by the hydrogen ion concentration (described as pH) and the reduction potential of the water. Since chloride and sulfide complex Hg^{+1} and Hg^{+2} ions, concentrations of these compounds also affect the relative species distribution (Gilmour and

FINAL

Henry 1991; Shimomura 1989). Ammonium, carbonate, bicarbonate, and phosphate concentrations do not affect speciation (PPRP 1994).

In natural systems, pH is generally in the range of 5 to 8 and the reduction potential is typically less than 0.5 Volts. For these systems, mercury sulfide (HgS) and metallic mercury are the most likely solids to be found in equilibrium with saturated solutions of mercury salts at moderate chloride (Cl^{-1}) and sulfide (S^{-2}) concentrations. The predominant species in the corresponding solutions will be mercuric hydroxide (Hg(OH)₂) and mercuric chloride (HgCl₂) in well oxygenated waters and Hg metal in poorly oxygenated waters (Gavis and Ferguson 1972). In reducing sediments, HgS will predominate the solid phase (PPRP 1994).

Mercury also has properties similar to a hydrophobic organic chemical due to its ability to be methlyated through a bacterial process. Methylation of mercury can occur in water, sediment, and soil matrices under anaerobic conditions, and to a lesser extent, under aerobic conditions. In water, methylation occurs mainly at the water-sediment interface and at the oxic-anoxic boundary within the water column. Methylated mercury is thought to be thermodynamically unstable in water; thus, organic mercury found in surface waters is probably preserved through reaction barriers that prevent degradation.

Methylation does not occur in the presence of moderate to high sulfide concentrations, which immobilize Hg^{+2} ions (PPRP 1994). In fish tissue, mercury is not usually found in concentrations high enough to cause fish to exhibit signs of toxicity, but the mercury in sport (trophic-level four) fish can present a potential health risk to humans. This health risk to humans posed by the mercury content in fish tissue, if consumed, is due to methylmercury. Typically, almost all of the mercury found in fish tissue (90 to 95%) is in the form of methylmercury.

Methylmercury is readily taken up by organisms and subsequently bioaccumulates, as it has a high affinity for muscle tissue. It is effectively transferred through the food web, with tissue concentrations magnifying at each trophic-level. This process can result in elevated levels of methylmercury in organisms high on the food chain, despite nearly immeasurable mercury/methylmercury concentrations in the water column.

FINAL

APPENDIX C – Integrated Report Methodology for Determining Toxic Impairments to the "Fishing" Designated Use of Waterbodies in Maryland

<u>Fish Tissue</u>

Section 101(a)(2) of the CWA established as a national goal the attainment of "water quality which provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and recreation in and on the water." This is commonly referred to as the "fishable/swimmable" goal of the Act. Additionally, Section 303(c)(2)(A) of the CWA requires water quality standards to protect public health and welfare, enhance the quality of water, and serve the purposes of the Act. The EPA, along with MDE, interprets these regulations to mean that not only should waters of the State support thriving and diverse fish and shellfish populations, but they should also support fish and shellfish which, when caught, are safe to consume by humans.

Some of the toxic contaminants that are present in various waterbodies throughout Maryland tend to bioaccumulate (primarily Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and mercury) in the tissues of gamefish (e.g., largemouth bass) and bottom-feeders (e.g. catfish), often at elevated levels. When the concentration levels of any one specific contaminant in fish tissue are elevated to such a degree that it increases the risk of chronic health effects in humans, if consumed regularly, the State has the responsibility to issue a fish consumption advisory for that particular contaminant in the specific species of fish, in which the contaminant concentrations were found to be elevated. Fish consumption advisories are designed to protect the general public as well as sensitive populations (i.e., young children and women who are or may become pregnant). In addition to such advisories, which stop at four meals per month, the Department also provides fish consumption recommendations, which stop at 8 meals per month. These additional recommendations are issued in order to protect the more frequent fish consumers.

When a fish consumption advisory (not a recommendation) is issued for a waterbody, the designated use of that waterbody (i.e., the "fishing" designated use) is <u>usually</u> not being supported. This <u>may</u> result in the identification of a waterbody as impaired on the Integrated Report for the specific contaminant that is found at elevated levels in fish tissue. To determine if a waterbody is impaired, the median contaminant concentration in the edible portion of the common recreational fish species is compared to the established fish consumption advisory threshold or numeric criterion concentration, when applicable. If the threshold/criterion concentration is exceeded, the waterbody's designated use is not being met, and the waterbody is identified as impaired. The existing fish tissue numeric criteria are used as the impairment identification thresholds (i.e., determines if the "fishing" designated use is supported), where applicable (e.g., the methylmercury numeric fish tissue criterion is 300 μ g/kg). For contaminants that do not have an existing criterion (e.g., PCBs), MDE has defined "fishable" as the ability to consume <u>at least</u> four meals per month (i.e., the threshold number of allowable meals per month for a fish consumption advisory) of common recreational fish species by an individual that has a mass of 76 kilograms (kg) (see Contaminant Thresholds Section below).

Data Requirements

The data requirements for identifying a waterbody as impaired are very similar to the data requirements for issuing a fish consumption advisory, with only slight variations. The data requirements for identifying a waterbody as impaired are as follows:

- 1. All available data should be reviewed when making decisions regarding waterbody impairments.
- 2. Only contaminant concentrations that are measured in the part of the fish or shellfish that are typically consumed will be used for assessment purposes. Maryland publishes fish consumption advisories based on contaminant concentrations found in fillets only; therefore, only data collected from fillets are to be considered when making decisions regarding waterbody impairments. For shellfish, only data collected from the soft tissue portions of the organisms will be considered.
- 3. The fish tissue data needs to be collected from the specific waterbody in question.
- 4. The size of the fish sampled should be within the legal slot limit. If no slot limit exists for a specific species, best professional judgment for a minimum size of a given species will be applied.
- 5. Minimum data requirement: five fish (individual or composite of the same resident species) for a given waterbody. At times, in order to protect more sensitive populations, MDE might issue a fish consumption advisory that is based on an incomplete dataset (less than five fish of the same species). However, the publication of such an advisory does not automatically result in the identification of a waterbody as impaired. Thus, the minimum data requirement needs to be met in order to identify a waterbody as impaired.
- 6. All fish that comprise a composite sample must be within the same size class (i.e., the smallest fish must be within seventy-five percent of the total length of the largest fish).
- 7. Species used to determine impairment should be representative of the waterbody. Migratory and transient species may be used if they are the dominant recreational species, but they should only be used in conjunction with resident species, especially in the case of the tidal rivers of the Chesapeake Bay.
- 8. To ensure that the impairment is temporally relevant, impairments based on the minimum required samples should be re-sampled prior to TMDL development.

Contaminant Thresholds

The contaminant threshold and criterion concentrations are based on a risk assessment calculation that incorporates numerous risk parameters such as contaminant concentration, reference dose/cancer slope factor, exposure duration, lifetime span, and for some contaminants, cooking loss.

Contaminant	Threshold/Criterion	Basis	Group
Mercury	300 µg/kg – wet weight	EPA/MDE Human Health Fish Tissue Consumption Criteria	General Public ¹
PCBs	39.0 µg/kg – wet weight	4 meals/month concentration level	General Public ¹

Table C-1: Threshold/Criterion Concentrations for Toxic Contaminants of Concern

Note: ¹ General Public: Individual with a mass of 76 kg.

FINAL

Over time, advances in science may require changes in risk assessment parameters that may increase or decrease the currently used contaminant thresholds/criterion, and consequently the concentrations used to make decisions regarding impairments. If this occurs, waterbodies that were previously identified as impaired may no longer be considered impaired, or new waterbodies may need to be identified as impaired.

APPENDIX D – Composite Fish Tissue Sampling Data and Analysis

This appendix presents all of the fish tissue sampling data applied in the analysis.

Sample ID	Trophic- Level	Species ¹	Collection Date	Composite #	Length (mm)	Weight (g) ²	Total Mercury Tissue Concentration (µg/kg)
0918_Rock-01	4	LMB	9/18/13	1	425	860	-
0918_Rock-02	4	LMB	9/18/13	1	420	1010	-
0918_Rock-03	4	LMB	9/18/13	1	400	865	-
0918_Rock-04	4	LMB	9/18/13	1	385	820	-
0918_Rock-05	4	LMB	9/18/13	1	380	845	-
Composite #1 ³					402	880	329
	F	r	r	r	r		r
0918_Rock-06	4	LMB	9/18/13	2	340	660	-
0918_Rock-07	4	LMB	9/18/13	2	350	600	-
0918_Rock-08	4	LMB	9/18/13	2	310	345	-
0918_Rock-09	4	LMB	9/18/13	2	325	410	-
0918_Rock-10	4	LMB	9/18/13	2	310	380	-
Composite #2 ³		•			328	479	235
MEDIAN ⁴					365	680	281.8

Table D-1: Rocky Gorge Reservoir Composite Fish Tissue Sampling Data

Notes: 1 LMB = Largemouth Bass

 2 g = grams ³ Composite length and weight are averages from the individual fillets. ⁴ The total length, weight, and mercury tissue concentration are medians of the two composites.

An analysis of the length and weight of these fish indicates that they were of legal, "keepable" size.