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I. STATEMENT OF PURPOSE

Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC ("SPT") and the Maryland Department of the Environment, through its Land Management Administration (the "Department" or "MDE"), through its counsel Douglas Gansler, Attorney General, and Matthew Zimmerman and Sari Levin, Assistant Attorneys General, (collectively the "Parties"), hereby represent and acknowledge that they agree and enter into this Administrative Consent Order ("Agreement") pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Department under applicable provisions of Sections 1-301, 7-201 through 7-268 and 9-301 et seq. of the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland ("Environment Article"), and the Code of Maryland Regulations ("COMAR") 26.14.01 and .02.

This Agreement was requested by SPT and is voluntarily entered into by and between the Parties. The Parties acknowledge that this Agreement has been negotiated in good faith. The purpose of this Agreement is to protect public health, welfare and the environment by providing a framework for prompt and effective remedial measures to address, treat, control, prevent or mitigate the presence and/or releases of contaminants of concern at the facility located at 5111 North Point Boulevard, Sparrows Point, Baltimore County, Maryland.

II. RECITALS

WHEREAS, SPT is a limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 7301 Parkway Drive Hanover, Maryland 21076;

WHEREAS, this Agreement concerns a facility commonly known as the "Sparrows Point Facility," with addresses of 1430 Sparrows Point Boulevard and 5111 North Point Boulevard, Sparrows Point, Baltimore County, Maryland and consisting of an approximately
3,100-acre peninsula generally bounded by the Back River, Bear Creek, and the Northwest Branch of the Patapsco River (hereinafter, “Site”);

WHEREAS, the Site is depicted generally on the map attached as Exhibit A;

WHEREAS, the Site was originally owned and operated by Bethlehem Steel Company (“BSC”) as an integrated iron and steel manufacturing operation from 1916 until approximately 2003;

WHEREAS, the Site is currently subject to a Multi-Media Consent Decree between the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), the Maryland Department of the Environment (“MDE”) and BSC, which was entered in 1997 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland, Case Nos. JFM-97-558 and JFM-97-559, captioned United States of America and State of Maryland, Maryland Department of the Environment v. Bethlehem Steel Corporation, as amended (“BSC Consent Decree”), which sets forth, among other obligations, certain requirements for investigating contamination associated with historical iron and steelmaking operations and implementing interim measures to address such contamination;

WHEREAS, MDE and EPA have been overseeing the investigation of releases of Waste Materials from the Site conducted in accordance with the BSC Consent Decree and have received numerous reports regarding the Site-Wide Investigation efforts, including but not limited to the BSC Site-Wide Investigation: Release Site Characterization Study (2002) and the Site-Wide Investigation: Report of Nature & Extent of Releases to Groundwater from the Special Study Area (2005);

WHEREAS, MDE and EPA have approved and overseen the implementation of remedial measures at the Site under the BSC Consent Decree, which include: groundwater
extraction at the former Rod and Wire Mill, stabilization of Grey’s Landfill, and installation and operations of Cells 1 through 6 in the Coke Oven Area;

WHEREAS, SPT seeks to acquire the Site from its current owner, Sparrows Point LLC ("SPLLC") and remediate and redevelop the property into a transportation, manufacturing, and logistics industrial campus;

WHEREAS, SPT applied to Maryland’s Voluntary Cleanup Program ("VCP") as an Inculpable Person, on June 26, 2014;

WHEREAS, under this Agreement, SPT agrees to cause to be performed certain investigations, response and remedial actions at the Site in accordance with Environment Article, Titles 7, 5, 9 and RCRA, as well as the terms of the BSC Consent Decree;

WHEREAS, in order to resolve uncertainty as to SPT’s liability if any, for offshore contamination, MDE agreed to accept the sum of $3 million, which will be used for the specific and dedicated purpose of investigating, and to the extent necessary, remediating Existing Contamination which is located offshore of the Site. Recognizing that scarce resources must be efficiently allocated in order to swiftly achieve Site-wide remediation and redevelopment that is protective of human health and the environment, MDE, EPA and SPT have agreed that SPT will transfer the $3 million to EPA, to be used exclusively for the offshore work described above. EPA will assume primary responsibility for implementing work offshore, working closely with and acting in consultation and cooperation with MDE. The $3 million offshore contribution will be managed in accordance with the Prospective Purchaser Agreement ("PPA") to be entered into between SPT and EPA. MDE will assume primary responsibility for overseeing SPT’s implementation of the onshore work, working closely with and acting in consultation and cooperation with EPA;
WHEREAS, it is expressly understood that this Agreement pertains to addressing known and unknown contamination on the Site, and that the Parties have made no promises or representations other than those contained in this Agreement and that no other promises or representations will be made unless in writing;

WHEREAS, as set forth in Section XIX (Reservation of Rights), nothing contained herein, nor SPT’s admittance into the VCP, shall constitute a waiver of the rights of MDE to proceed in an administrative or judicial civil action for violations of the terms of this Agreement, or of applicable statutes or regulations. MDE may bring any action authorized by law to enforce this Agreement. Unlike the VCP, under this Agreement, SPT is voluntarily subjecting itself to the possibility of stipulated penalties, agreeing to pay for reasonable and necessary MDE oversight costs and consenting to the fact that it cannot withdraw from cleanup obligations as set forth in Environment Article § 7-512;

WHEREAS, the Parties intend that this Agreement is entered into without (i) any admission or finding of liability, fault, wrongdoing, or violation of any law, regulation, permit, order, requirement, or standard of care of any kind whatsoever; or (ii) any obligation or liability associated with work not consistent with Section VII of this Order (Work to be Performed);

NOW THEREFORE, in order to protect the public health and welfare and the environment by addressing, treating, controlling, preventing or mitigating releases or threatened releases of contaminants of concern at the Site and the mutual and valuable consideration exchanged in this Agreement, the following is hereby AGREED TO by MDE and SPT:

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

1. Bethlehem Steel Corporation owned the Site from approximately 1916 until 2003. Following the BSC bankruptcy in 2001 until 2012, the Site was owned by a succession of owners operating under different names, the last of which, RG Steel Sparrows Point LLC, filed
for bankruptcy in 2012. On August 7, 2012, Sparrows Point LLC ("SPLLC") purchased the Site through the bankruptcy sale. Under the asset purchase agreement that governed the sale from RG Steel to SPLLC, SPLLC agreed to assume certain, but not all, environmental liabilities of RG Steel.

2. SPT has agreed to purchase the real property from SPLLC through a Purchase and Sale Agreement (the "PSA"). Pursuant to the PSA, SPT and SPLLC have allocated, among themselves, various liabilities and obligations associated with the Site. The transaction contemplated in the PSA is scheduled to close on or about September 18, 2014.

3. SPT requested that the Department provide an expedited "inculpable person" determination pursuant to Environment Article § 7-505(a) with respect to the Site. The Department found that SPT qualifies as an "inculpable person" with respect to the Site in accordance with Environment Article § 7-501(j).

4. On June 26, 2014, SPT submitted an application to place the Site into the VCP. The MDE is reviewing the application for completeness and accepting public comment. MDE’s approval of the application shall not be unreasonably withheld.

5. On May 22, 2014, SPT submitted a Site Conceptual Cleanup Plan, attached as Exhibit B, regarding the Site to the MDE and the EPA for review. The Site Conceptual Cleanup Plan is intended to serve as a guide for future remediation work at the Site. MDE’s review of or concurrence with any aspect of the Site Conceptual Cleanup Plan is not binding approval of the Site Conceptual Cleanup Plan or any portion thereof.

6. On May 19, 2014, pursuant to Section 33 of the BSC Consent Decree, SPLLC and SPT requested that EPA and MDE approve the removal of approximately 2,400 acres of the Site from the definition of "Facility or Site" for purposes of the BSC Consent Decree. If MDE
and EPA approve the carve-out, they each reserve all their rights under RCRA and Titles 7 and 9 of the Environment Article with respect to any areas removed.

IV. DEFINITIONS

7. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Agreement, which are defined in the Maryland Code Annotated or in regulations promulgated thereunder, shall have the meaning assigned to them in said Code or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Agreement, the following definitions shall apply.

8. “BSC Consent Decree” shall mean the BSC Consent Decree, as it has been or may be amended from time to time.


10. “Department” or “MDE” means the Maryland Department of the Environment, its successors, employees, assigns and agents.

11. “Environmental Law” shall mean any applicable foreign, federal, state or local law or order relating to pollution or the protection of the environment, including without limitation, CERCLA, RCRA, the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act, the Oil Pollution Act, the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland and its implementing regulations and any other law or order (including the common law) relating to the presence, use, production, generation, movement, handling, transportation, treatment, storage, disposal, distribution, labeling, testing, processing, discharge, release, threatened release, control or cleanup of any Waste Material, each as amended.

13. "Existing Contamination" shall mean: (i) any Waste Material present or existing at, on or under the Site as of the Effective Date; (ii) any Waste Material that migrated from the Site before the Effective Date; and (iii) any Waste Material presently at the Site that migrates onto or under or from the Site after the Effective Date.


15. "VCP" shall mean Maryland’s Voluntary Cleanup Program set forth in Title 7, subtitle 5 of the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland.

16. "Waste Material(s)" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14) or Environment Article § 7-201; (2) any "pollutant" or "contaminant" under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33), or Environment Article § 9-101; and/or (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27) or Environment Article § 7-201.

17. The Parties recognize that other terms are defined throughout this Agreement in various sections.

V. PARTIES BOUND

18. This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon MDE, and its officials, representatives, agents, and successors, and SPT, its authorized representatives, agents, officers, successors and assigns. No change in ownership or legal status of SPT and, other than provided for in Paragraph immediately below, no change in ownership of the Site or part of the Site will in any way alter SPT’s obligations under this Agreement.

19. SPT shall notify MDE in writing of its intent to convey any interest in the Site through a sale of the majority of the assets or other transfer of a majority interest, filing a petition
for bankruptcy protection, or conveyance of fee simple title of any portion of the Site, at least thirty (30) days in advance of such transfer or petition. SPT shall also provide a copy of this Agreement to the successor(s) in interest prior to executing any agreement for transfer or bankruptcy filing. The agreement for transfer shall further require that the successor(s) comply with the provisions of this Agreement. No conveyance of fee simple title or other majority interest in the Site shall be executed by SPT without complete provision, acceptable to MDE, for the fulfillment of all requirements of this Agreement, including but not limited to, all work to be performed and access provisions. Such transfer shall not release SPT from its obligations under this Agreement. No conveyance shall require for the purchaser to assume any obligations under this Agreement, other than those set forth in any environmental covenant(s) recorded on the deed to the Site, without MDE’s express written consent.

20. SPT may, through contract, lease, agreement of sale, or other instrument, transfer responsibility for performance of some or all of the work required under this Agreement to a third party; however, SPT remains responsible for the work to be performed under this Agreement in the event that the third party does not, to the satisfaction of MDE, fully comply with the terms of this Agreement. Except with respect to SPLLC, SPT must notify the MDE ten (10) days before entering into such an agreement with a third party. MDE may require that a third party doing substantial work shall agree to report directly to MDE. Except with respect to SPLLC, MDE shall approve the terms of any such transfer of responsibility to a third party, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. This paragraph is not intended to apply to SPT’s retention of contractors or consultants to perform, or assist SPT in performing, the work.

21. The Parties recognize that SPLLC has assumed certain liabilities and responsibilities associated with the Site pursuant to various agreements and has agreed to retain
and/or be responsible for liabilities associated with portions of the Site pursuant to the PSA, including the performance of the work contemplated by this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall impact any of SPLLC’s existing or future liabilities or responsibilities under any agreement, the BSC Consent Decree or any Environmental Law.

VI. EFFECTIVE DATE

22. This Agreement becomes effective only upon and simultaneously with the actual closing of that transaction through which SPT acquires fee simple title to the Site ("Closing"). The “Effective Date” of this Agreement shall be the same as the Closing date.

VII. WORK TO BE PERFORMED

23. SPT agrees to investigate and remediate the entire 3100 acre Site, including areas currently covered by the BSC Consent Decree, which for these purposes include Site Wide Groundwater, the Coke Oven Area, Coke Point Landfill, Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area, Humphreys Impoundment, Rod and Wire Mill Area and Greys Landfill (collectively, the “BSC Consent Decree Areas”), as well as work at areas that are subsequently carved out of the definition of Facility or Site in the BSC Consent Decree ("Carved Out Areas"). The Parties agree that some of the investigatory and remedial work (e.g., Interim Measures) has previously been performed as part of the BSC Consent Decree and that further remediation work may not be required on certain parts of the Site.

24. SPT desires to designate a certain area of the Site for investigation, remediation and/or development on a priority basis, and accordingly the Department agrees that it may delineate by metes and bounds and plat, a contiguous area to be known as Area A (also known as the “Development Area”), and the remainder of the Site shall be known as Area B. An Area may include BSC Consent Decree Areas, Carved Out Areas or both. In order to delineate Area A from Area B, SPT shall submit Voluntary Cleanup Program ("VCP") application for Area A in
accordance with Environment Article § 7-506 by submitting an application identifying the Area by metes and bounds and plat, and paying the $2,000 application fee. SPT’s VCP application for the entire 3,100 acre Site was submitted to the Department on June 26, 2014 shall satisfy the application for Area B.

25. At SPT’s discretion, SPT may submit VCP applications for other portions of the Site for purposes of expedited remediation. The entire 3,100-acre Site and all VCP applications for various Areas must be for the same future property use, as defined in Section VII of the VCP application. A subsequent VCP application must include the metes and bounds and plat for the Area, any updates necessary to the Phase I report, a Phase II Plan and Phase II Report specific to that Area in accordance with this Section. SPT has been declared an Inculpable Person for the VCP application for the entire Site, and shall remain an Inculpable Person for all subsequent VCP applications submitted for Area A and any subsequent Areas.

26. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement or in the BSC Consent Decree, the Parties agree that the SPT shall take measures as part of the work to address ongoing migration of Waste Material that may be coming from the Site, but that this Agreement shall not require SPT to do any work off the Site associated with Existing Contamination or impacts from Existing Contamination. The Parties agree that SPT will provide $3,000,000 to EPA for the specific and dedicated purpose of investigating and, to the extent necessary, remediating Existing Contamination which is located offshore of the Site, as set forth above in this Agreement and the PPA. In the event that the PPA between SPT and EPA is not executed, SPT will provide $3,000,000 to MDE to be used for the same purpose of investigating and, to the extent necessary, remediating Existing Contamination which is located offshore of the Site.
27. For each of the Areas, including Area A and Area B, the following work must be performed, but each Area may proceed on a separate schedule, as outlined below. SPT shall implement the investigation and remediation for the Coke Oven area, as defined in Exhibit ___, on a schedule equal to that of Area A.

**Phase II Investigations:**

28. SPT shall prepare and submit simultaneously to MDE and EPA a comprehensive Phase II investigation plan ("Phase II Plan") to complete any remaining investigation needed to fully characterize the nature and extent of contamination in an Area. SPT shall identify in the Phase II Plan any part of the Area for which further investigation is not required. This Phase II Plan shall include a comprehensive Phase II investigation for the Area in accordance with ASTM standards, and shall include: 1) planned sampling locations, sample depth intervals and sample laboratory analytical parameters; 2) planned laboratory analytical results evaluations, including risk assessment methodology to identify specific media and contaminants of concern in order to develop risk-based remedial objectives; 3) plans for any necessary supplemental investigation activities; 4) an outline for presentation of the information within a Phase II Report; and 5) an endpoint for completing the characterization work no longer than six (6) months from MDE and EPA's approval of the plan. In consultation with EPA as to BSC Consent Decree Areas, MDE shall review the Phase II Plan and provide SPT with comments, requests for changes, requests for supplemental investigations, and approval of the Phase II Plan.

29. After MDE and EPA approve the Phase II Plan, SPT shall execute the Phase II Plan in accordance with the schedule set forth in the approved document. MDE's approval of the Phase II Plan shall not be unreasonably withheld.

**Phase II Report:**
30. Within sixty (60) days of completing the investigatory work set forth in the Phase II Plan, SPT shall submit simultaneously to MDE and EPA a Phase II investigation report (“Phase II Report”) summarizing the work performed and fully characterizing the Area. SPT may identify in the Phase II Reports sub parts of an Area SPT believes require no remediation or no further remediation, subject to MDE’s review and concurrence.

31. In consultation with EPA as to BSC Consent Decree Areas, MDE shall review the Phase II Report and either (i) approve the report, or (ii) require SPT to conduct additional work, if necessary. MDE’s approval of the Phase II Report shall not be unreasonably withheld.

**Risk Assessments:**

32. If an Area requires further remediation, upon approval of the Phase II Report for an Area, SPT will perform a risk assessment based on the land use selected in the VCP application, including risk assessment methodology approved by MDE in the Phase II Plan. The risk assessment must be conducted within 60 days of approval of the Phase II Report by MDE and EPA.

**Work Plans:**

33. Following MDE’s approval of the Phase II Report and risk assessment, the Area shall be accepted into the VCP. MDE shall notify SPT of its acceptance into the VCP. After such notification and EPA’s approval of the Phase II Report, SPT shall propose a comprehensive Work Plan (“Area Work Plan”) to address the unacceptable risks for each entire Area.

34. SPT may divide an Area Work Plan further into focus areas, proceed in phases, utilize subparts or any other reasonable tool to organize the work. If SPT focuses on areas within the Area Work Plan, it must submit a Work Plan for that specific area or phase for MDE’s
review and approval. Together, an Area Work Plan and any Work Plan for a sub-Area shall be termed a “Work Plan.” A Work Plan may state that a sub-Area requires no further remediation.

35. Each Work Plan covering some, but not all, of the work in the Area Work Plan should be sequentially numbered to identify the portion of the Area and number of Work Plan to be reviewed and approved by MDE (i.e. A-1, A-2).

36. A Work Plan must include a schedule for completion of the work, and milestones for progressive implementation of the Plan. A Work Plan must meet all requirements of a Response Action Plan as set forth in Environment Article § 7-508 including a demonstration to the satisfaction of the Department that the Work Plan will achieve the appropriate criteria under Environment Article § 7-508(b) and will protect public health and the environment.

37. In addition, a Work Plan must provide for the completion of all work remaining to be accomplished under the BSC Consent Decree for the Area, to the extent applicable.

38. SPT shall submit an Area Work Plan to MDE and EPA. The Area Work Plan shall serve to support EPA’s Statement of Basis. After EPA prepares its Statement of Basis, the Area Work Plan and Statement of Basis shall proceed with the public participation process, and in so doing shall comply with the requirements of Environment Article § 7-509 (Public Participation) and 40 C.F.R. Part 124.

39. In consultation with EPA, MDE will evaluate the Area Work Plan submitted by SPT and shall either (i) approve the Area Work Plan, or (ii) require SPT revise the Area Work Plan, as necessary. MDE’s approval of the Area Work Plan shall not be unreasonably withheld.

40. Upon MDE’s approval of a Work Plan, MDE shall provide SPT with an approval letter that shall serve as a Response Action Plan approval under Environment Article § 7-511 and shall include the terminology set forth in that Article.
41. After MDE's approval of a Work Plan and EPA's issuance of the Final Decision under RCRA adopting the Work Plan as the selected remedy, SPT shall conduct all work in accordance with the schedule for compliance set forth in the Work Plan. In Carved Out Areas, SPT may elect to implement the Work Plan prior to EPA's Final Decision under RCRA relating to that Work Plan. It is anticipated that EPA will adopt the Area Work Plan as the selected remedy under RCRA. If during Area Work Plan review, EPA identifies a portion of an Area as having to undergo the RCRA Corrective Measure Implementation process, SPT shall comply with those requirements, amend the Area Work Plan to address EPA's requirements, and implement the Work Plan in accordance with EPA's RCRA Corrective Measures Implementation process.

42. SPT and MDE shall review actions and accomplishments related to the Work Plan at regular technical meetings held at least quarterly from the date of approval of the Work Plan. If MDE determines that the Work Plan is not leading to adequate progress toward the remedial goal for the Area, MDE may require modifications to the work.

**Compliance with the Voluntary Cleanup Program:**

43. A Phase II Report shall serve as the Phase II Report for that Area under the Voluntary Cleanup Program.

44. An Area Work Plan shall serve as a Response Action Plan under the VCP for an entire Area. Individual Work Plans for sub-Areas shall serve as Response Action Plan amendments under the VCP for the portion of the Area addressed in the Plan.

45. Each Area will require a separate VCP application including a Phase II Report, and a separate Area Work Plan.
46. Participation in the VCP in no way affects SPT’s enforceable obligations under this Agreement, including but not limited to its responsibility for oversight costs or its liability for stipulated penalties for delay or non-performance.

Compliance with the BSC Consent Decree:

47. All work must be performed in such a manner as to allow EPA to complete the RCRA process for the entire Site. With respect to remaining BSC Consent Decree work that requires EPA approval, MDE shall use best efforts to resolve quickly intra-agency disputes with EPA, if any, related to areas subject to the BSC Consent Decree.

48. The Parties agree and understand that Interim Remedial Measures will be ongoing during the work. The Parties understand that, in certain circumstances, SPT may rely upon the Interim Measures process to comply with the BSC Consent Decree.

Compliance with other MDE Programs:

49. This Work to be Performed Section addresses the investigation and cleanup of Waste Materials at the Site and migrating from the Site. Nothing in this ACO limits SPT’s obligations to comply with all other Maryland laws and regulations including obtaining necessary permits to complete the work.

Dual Submission of Documents:

50. It is agreed that the following documents shall serve to simultaneously fulfill the requirements of this ACO, the VCP, and RCRA:

a. Phase II Plan: Where applicable, approved Phase II Plans shall serve to satisfy the Site Wide Investigation Work Plan requirements set forth in the BSC Consent Decree.
b. **Phase II Reports:** Phase II Reports shall serve to fulfill the requirements of this ACO and the VCP. Where applicable, approved Phase II Reports shall serve to satisfy the Site Wide Investigation Report requirements set forth in the BSC Consent Decree.

c. **Risk Assessment:** A risk assessment shall fulfill the requirements of the risk assessment of this ACO, the VCP, and the BSC Consent Decree.

d. **Work Plans:** An Area Work Plan shall serve as a Response Action Plan under the VCP and approval of each Work Plan for a sub-Area shall constitute a RAP amendment. Where applicable, an approved Area Work Plan shall also serve to satisfy the Corrective Measures Study requirements under the BSC Consent Decree.

**Closure:**

51. The remedial goal of the work is to achieve to a final remedy for the entire Site, leading to: 1) a series of No Further Action letters for each Work Plan; 2) a Final Comprehensive No Further Action Determination for an Area; and 3) a Certificate of Completion under the VCP for the entire Site.

52. When SPT believes that a Work Plan has been completed (except for long term monitoring or maintenance if required), SPT shall request a No Further Action letter from MDE. MDE shall review the request for compliance with the terms of the Work Plan. Upon MDE's agreement that the work at issue in the closure request has been satisfied, MDE shall issue a No Further Action letter (or equivalent) whereupon SPT's obligations and responsibilities to perform the work addressed by No Further Action letter shall terminate, subject to the terms of the No Further Action letter. No Further Action letters for a Work Plan shall clearly identify the metes
and bounds and plat of the portion of the Area seeking No Further Action. No Further Action letters may be issued for an Area or subpart of an Area. No Further Action letters may also be issued for separate media (i.e. soil, groundwater, soil vapors) rather than metes and bounds parcels.

53. Upon the completion of all the work for an Area, SPT shall request a Final Comprehensive No Further Action Determination for that Area. MDE shall review the request for compliance with the terms of the Area Work Plan, to determine whether all Work Plans for the Area have been completed to the satisfaction of the Department and no further work is required for the Area. Upon MDE’s agreement that all work for the Area has been completed, MDE shall issue a Final Comprehensive No Further Action Determination whereupon SPT’s obligations and responsibilities to perform work in an Area shall terminate, subject to the terms of the Final Comprehensive No Further Action letter.

54. A requirement for long-term monitoring and maintenance shall not delay the issuance of any No Further Action letter, including the Final Comprehensive No Further Action Letter. Nothing herein shall limit the recordation of an environmental covenant or other land use controls on the Site that addresses a metes and bounds portion of an Area seeking No Further Action. Upon completion of the requirements of the Work Plans for all Areas of the Site, SPT shall notify MDE in writing that all Work Plans have been completed. Within 30 days after receipt of the notice of completion, and in accordance with Environment Article § 7-513, the Department shall review the implementation and completion of all Work Plans at the Site and, if the Department determines that the requirements of all Work Plans have been completed to the satisfaction of the Department and the Work Plans have achieved the cleanup criteria, the Department shall issue a Certificate of Completion. The Certificate of Completion shall be
recorded on the deed for the Site and shall provide SPT the protections set forth in Environment Article § 7-513(b). The issuance of the Certificate of Completion by MDE shall satisfy the obligations owed to MDE pursuant to the BSC Consent Decree.

**Undiscovered Contamination:**

55. Upon the discovery of previously undiscovered contamination in an Area after a Work Plan is submitted and which is not otherwise addressed by ongoing work, SPT shall as soon as practical (within 48 hours) report the discovery to the Department. Within 15 days of the Department’s written request, SPT shall prepare a Phase II Plan for the newly discovered contamination in accordance with Section VII, Paragraphs 28 and 29 above, and further comply with the terms of this Agreement to remediate the contamination to the satisfaction of MDE.

**VIII. REVIEW AND MODIFICATION**

56. The terms of this Agreement shall constitute the complete and entire agreement between the Parties concerning the implementation of the activities required by this Agreement.

57. No term, condition, understanding, or agreement purporting to modify any term of this Agreement shall be binding unless by mutual agreement of SPT and the Department. Any such modification shall be in writing and shall be effective only when executed by the Department and SPT.

58. The Parties acknowledge that modifications to Section VII (Work to be Performed) may be required as work is conducted over time. These modifications may include, but are not limited to, changes to the design or operation of one or more of the selected remedies; expanding treatment areas; changes to application rates or remedial technology; additions or reductions of injection points; and other changes to the Work Plans. SPT may propose one or more addendums or amendments to Work Plans, which shall be submitted to the Department for
its review and approval, and if approved by the Department in writing, shall be submitted as an
addendum to the Work Plan and this Agreement.

59. Minor technical modifications in the studies, techniques, procedures or designs
utilized in carrying out this Agreement ("Minor Technical Modifications"), which do not alter or
affect in any way the substance of this Agreement, and which are consistent with the objectives
of this Agreement and necessary to the completion of the project, may be made by mutual
agreement of the Project Coordinators. Such Minor Technical Modifications shall be
memorialized by letter by the Project Coordinators and shall have as an effective date the date on
which the Parties sign the letter. Any Minor Technical Modifications approved by MDE shall be
deemed incorporated into and part of this Agreement.

IX. PROJECT COORDINATORS

60. Within two (2) weeks after the Effective Date of this Agreement, SPT and MDE
shall each designate a Project Coordinator. SPT and MDE shall each notify the other, in writing,
of the Project Coordinator it has selected. Each Project Coordinator shall be responsible for
overseeing the implementation of this Agreement. The MDE Project Coordinator will be the
primary designated contact for SPT and MDE and all documents, reports, approvals and other
correspondence concerning the activities performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this
Agreement shall be directed through the Project Coordinators.

61. MDE’s Project Coordinator is Barbara Brown, Geologist Supervisor, in the Land
Management Administration.

62. The Parties agree to provide at least one week’s written notice prior to changing
Project Coordinators.

63. If the MDE Project Coordinator determines that activities undertaken pursuant to
this Agreement have caused or may cause a release or threatened release of Waste Materials,
which threaten or may pose a threat to the public health or a significant threat to the environment, the MDE Project Coordinator may direct SPT to stop further implementation of the activity for such period of time as may be needed to abate any such release or threatened release or to undertake any action which MDE determines is necessary to abate such release or threatened release.

64. If any work is delayed by direction of the MDE Project Coordinator, the schedule for completion of the applicable work shall be extended by the time period of the delay; provided, however, if the MDE Project Coordinator suspends the work and the reasons are due to the negligent or willful acts or omissions of SPT, or its contractor(s), then any extension of the schedule of completion shall be at the discretion of MDE.

65. The physical presence of the MDE Project Coordinator at the Site may not be necessary for the work to continue.

X. SITE ACCESS

66. MDE and any authorized representatives of MDE, including contractors, are authorized to enter and freely move about the Site, subject to the rights of quiet enjoyment held by any owner or tenant on the Site, at all reasonable times for the purposes of, inter alia, interviewing each Party's personnel or contractors performing work under this Agreement, inspecting non-privileged and non-draft records related to work performed hereunder, reviewing the progress of SPT in carrying out the terms of this Agreement, conducting such tests, sampling or monitoring as MDE deems necessary, using a camera, sound recording or other documentary-type equipment, and verifying reports and data submitted to MDE by SPT. SPT shall permit such representatives of MDE to inspect and copy non-privileged and non-draft records, files, photographs, documents, other writings, and sampling and monitoring data that pertain to the
work undertaken pursuant to this Agreement. Nothing herein shall be interpreted as limiting the inspection authority of MDE under Maryland law.

67. To the extent that work required by this Agreement, or any plans submitted hereunder, must be conducted on property that is not owned by SPT, SPT shall use its reasonable best efforts to obtain access agreements from the present owner(s) and/or lessee(s), as appropriate, of such property within 60 days of receipt of notice of MDE approval of any plan submitted hereunder requiring such work. “Reasonable best efforts,” as used in this Section shall include, at a minimum, but shall not be limited to, SPT sending a certified letter to the present owner(s) and/or lessees of such property requesting access agreements to permit SPT and MDE and their authorized representatives to enter such property. SPT shall, upon request, provide MDE with copies of all access agreements or such written request for property access for the purpose of performing sampling, monitoring, investigation or corrective actions.

68. In the event that access agreements cannot be obtained within the time period allowed, SPT shall promptly notify MDE in writing, indicating all efforts made to obtain such agreements, and MDE may, consistent with its legal authority, assist SPT in obtaining access. In the event that MDE obtains such access, SPT shall be obligated to reimburse MDE for any costs judicially awarded or reasonably incurred in the exercise of its authority. If MDE does not provide such access, the approved scope of work or plan shall be modified by mutual agreement.

XI. REIMBURSEMENT OF OVERSIGHT AND RESPONSE COSTS

69. SPT agrees to reimburse MDE for reasonable and necessary response and oversight costs incurred by MDE or its authorized representatives to the extent that: (a) such costs are incurred in direct oversight of SPT’s performance of work and required monitoring under this Agreement from the date the Agreement is effective until completion of the work
thereunder; (b) such costs are not inconsistent with Maryland law; and (c) do not exceed $100,000.00 per year.

70. MDE will semiannually submit to SPT an accounting of all response and oversight costs incurred by MDE and its authorized representatives with respect to this Agreement. Failure to submit an accounting in one fiscal year does not prevent MDE from submitting an accounting for that year in a subsequent fiscal year. SPT shall, within seventy five (75) days of receipt of each accounting, remit payment to MDE for any undisputed costs, provided that MDE has submitted to SPT the necessary information.

71. SPT agrees to limit any disputes concerning MDE response and oversight costs to (i) accounting errors; (ii) the inclusion of costs outside the scope of this Agreement; or (iii) costs inconsistent with Maryland law. SPT shall identify any contested costs and the basis of their objections and shall submit the same in writing to MDE within one hundred eighty (180) days of receipt of any accounting from MDE. Upon MDE’s receipt of notice of disputed costs, SPT and MDE shall engage in good faith negotiations for a period of one hundred eighty (180) days before SPT or MDE may invoke Section XVI (Dispute Resolution) of this Agreement.

XII. STIPULATED PENALTIES

72. Unless there has been a written modification of a requirement of this Agreement by MDE and SPT, SPT is liable to pay stipulated penalties for failure to meet any deadlines set forth in a Phase II Plan, a Work Plan, or otherwise in this Agreement in the following amounts: $1,000.00 per day for the first through seven days of noncompliance; $2,500.00 per day for days eight through fourteen of noncompliance, and $5,000.00 for each day of noncompliance thereafter. In no event shall SPT be liable to MDE for stipulated penalties assessed by EPA under either the BSC Consent Decree or any other agreement or order SPT enters into with the EPA. In no event shall SPT be liable to MDE under this Agreement for stipulated penalties if
SPLLC is assessed stipulated penalties under the BSC Consent Decree regarding the same failure to comply. Overall, the Department agrees that compliance with this Agreement shall serve as compliance with the BSC Consent Decree.

73. Except as otherwise expressly set forth in this Agreement, none of the stipulated penalties in this Agreement shall be construed as an election of remedy or other limitation on the Department’s discretion to seek in lieu of stipulated penalties any other remedy or sanction available to it for violations of this Agreement or any other violation of State law or regulation not expressly made the subject of this Agreement.

74. Except as otherwise expressly set forth in this Agreement, payment of any stipulated penalty shall not relieve SPT from the obligations imposed by this Agreement, any permit that may be issued or any other statute or regulation, nor shall such payment limit the right of the Department to seek enforcement of the terms of this Agreement or any other statute or regulation.

75. The Department, may, in its discretion, reduce or waive any stipulated penalty if it determines that noncompliance is due to an event of Force Majeure as set forth in Section XV of this Agreement.

76. All penalties that MDE shall choose to assess shall begin to accrue on the date that complete performance was due or a violation occurs and shall continue to accrue through the final day of noncompliance, excluding any period of dispute resolution.

77. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate stipulated penalties for separate violations of this Agreement, except that SPT shall not be subject to stipulated penalties if the delay in submitting a deliverable is based on a lack of action by MDE.
or EPA in response to a prior submission which requires MDE and/or EPA approval before SPT can proceed.

78. All penalties owed to MDE under this Section shall be due within sixty (60) days after receipt of a written demand from MDE. Such demand shall describe the noncompliance and shall indicate the amount of penalties due.

79. Any demand for stipulated penalties shall be mailed by certified First Class U.S. Mail to the addresses indicated in Section XIV (Notices).

80. Payment shall be paid by check made payable to the Controlled Hazardous Substances Fund c/o the Maryland Department of the Environment, P.O. Box 1417, Baltimore, Maryland 21203-2057, and shall reference the following MDE Case No. __________, PCA No. 13725, Object No. 7338, and Source/Suffix No. 613. A copy of the check shall be mailed to Sari Levin, Assistant Attorney General, Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 6048, Baltimore, Maryland 21230-1719.

XIII. FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

81. In order to secure the completion of the work by SPT, SPT shall provide MDE with financial assurance in two forms (i) a trust fund (the “Trust”) of $43,000,000 administered by a trustee acceptable to MDE in all respects (the “Trustee”) and (ii) a letter of credit of $5,000,000 from a banking institution acceptable to MDE in all respects. The form of trust agreement is attached as Exhibit C (the “Trust Agreement”) and the form of letter of credit is attached as Exhibit D (the “Letter of Credit”) and each is incorporated by reference herein. SPT shall establish and fund the trust and shall cause the Letter of Credit to be issued within 30 days after the Effective Date. For the purposes hereof references to the amount of “financial assurance” shall mean the amount on deposit under the Trust, the amount available under the
Letter of Credit as well as the amount of any other financial assurance provided by SPT hereunder.

82. If SPT fails to meet the schedule for implementation and completion of an Area Work Plan, MDE may: (1) reach agreement with SPT to revise the schedule of completion in the Area Work Plan or, (2) if agreement cannot be reached, then MDE shall provide SPT with notice of this failure. SPT shall have 30 days to commence a cure of any such failure to the reasonable satisfaction of MDE. If SPT fails to commence a cure of such failure within 30 days after its receipt of notice thereof, MDE may initiate a Work Takeover as described in the Trust Agreement for the subject Area Work Plan and either access the funds under the Trust Agreement or access the funds in the Letter of Credit.

83. SPT shall be paid from funds available under the Trust Agreement pursuant to its terms.

84. Within six months after the Effective Date and every six months thereafter, SPT shall cause its environmental consultant to prepare and certify a report to MDE setting forth, at a minimum (a) the amount of financial assurance remaining in both the Trust and Letter of Credit; (b) a summary of elements of the work performed to date and the cost thereof; (c) an estimate of the anticipated cost of completing the work (the “Budget”); and (d) a calculation evaluating the percentage that the Budget exceeds the amount of financial assurance then remaining (a “Six Month Report”). Such report shall be broken out to address such issues as to specific sub-Areas of the Site. The Environmental Consultant shall certify the accuracy of each Six Month Report by submitting the Environmental Consultant Certification, attached as Exhibit E.

85. As part of the Six Month Report, SPT shall evaluate whether the financial assurance limits should be changed because Budget is more than 10% above the amount of
financial assurance then remaining (a "Funding Shortfall"). If a Funding Shortfall has occurred, SPT shall notify MDE in the Six Month Report and, within 30 days of such notification, SPT shall fund the Trust in an amount necessary to increase the financial assurance to an amount no less than 95% of the Budget.

86. No more than once per any twelve (12) month period, SPT may reduce the face amount of the Letter of Credit, but at no time may it be reduced to an to an amount less than 10% of the financial assurance then remaining. In the event SPT elects to exercise this right, it shall provide MDE with notice of the amount to which the Letter of Credit is to be reduced. In connection with any reduction of the Letter of Credit, SPT shall fund the Trust in an amount equal to such reduction and shall provide MDE with evidence of such funding within thirty (30) days after the date of reduction of the Letter of Credit. MDE shall cooperate with any such reduction, including executing documentation required by the issuer of the Letter of Credit to reduce and/or re-issue the Letter of Credit.

87. The Letter of Credit and Trust Agreement (or such other financial assurance instrument as may be provided hereunder) shall be maintained until MDE issues a Final Comprehensive No Further Action letter for all portions of the Site as provided for in Section VII or at an earlier time, if the MDE determines the instrument need no longer be maintained and notifies SPT in writing. SPT may thereafter release, cancel or discontinue the financial assurance instrument(s) provided pursuant to this Section. Upon the request of SPT, MDE will timely execute any documents confirming that the Trust Agreement or Letter of Credit (or any other financial assurance instrument provided hereunder) releasing, canceling and/or discontinuing such financial assurance instrument to the extent required or requested by the issuer of the financial assurance instrument.
88. In the event of a Work Takeover, MDE may, at its sole unreviewable discretion, access sufficient funds to secure and stabilize the Site pursuant to Environment Article §§ 7-508 and 7-512, and such decision shall not be subject to Dispute Resolution. After the Site is secured and stabilized in accordance with Environment Article §§ 7-508 and 7-512, further work or access to funds held in the Trust shall be subject to Dispute Resolution.

XIV. NOTICES

89. All notices, reports and writings required by this Agreement may be transmitted by first-class mail, facsimile, express delivery, hand delivery or electronically, and shall be submitted to the following representatives of the parties:

Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC  
c/o Douglas Dorgan, Project Coordinator  
Weaver Boos Consultants Inc.  
Three First National Plaza  
70 W. Madison Street, Suite 4250  
Chicago, IL 60602  
ddorgar@weaverboos.com  
(312) 922-1030

Maryland Department of the Environment  
Remedial Project Manager  
Land Management Administration  
Attention: Barbara Brown  
1800 Washington Blvd.  
Baltimore, Maryland 21230  
Barbara.Brown@maryland.gov  
(410) 537-3212

With a copy to:

Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC  
Attn: Mike Pedone  
7301 Parkway Drive  
Hanover, Maryland 21076  
pedone@redwoodcapitalinvestments.com  
(410) 579-4141

With a copy to:

Office of the Attorney General  
Maryland Department of the Environment  
Attention: Matthew Zimmerman  
1800 Washington Blvd., Suite 6048  
Baltimore, Maryland 21230  
Matthew.zimmerman@maryland.gov  
(410) 537-3452

90. Notice to Third Parties: SPT shall provide a copy of this Agreement to any party with which SPT enters into a contract to perform the remediation activities as set forth in Section VII of this Agreement. SPT shall condition all contracts or agreements with contractors, subcontractors, and/or consultants in connection with this Agreement on compliance with the
terms of this Agreement. SPT shall ensure that its contractors, subcontractors, and consultants comply with this Agreement.

91. Notice of Field Activities: SPT shall notify the Department's Project Coordinator, as identified in Section IX by telephone or voicemail message as well as by e-mail at least five (5) business days prior to conducting any field activities required under the terms of this Agreement, unless an alternative notification schedule is agreed to by SPT and the Department.

XV. FORCE MAJEURE AND EXCUSABLE DELAYS

92. SPT shall perform the requirements of this Agreement in the manner and within the time limits set forth herein, unless the performance is delayed by events or circumstances arising from causes not reasonably foreseeable or causes beyond the reasonable control of SPT, which cannot be avoided or overcome by due diligence and which delays or prevents performance in the manner or by a date required by this Agreement (collectively, "Excused Delays").

93. Circumstances beyond the reasonable control of SPT include, without limitation, earthquake, flood, hurricane, severe weather or other act of God; war; riot; injunction; fire; labor stoppage; freight embargo; material shortages; appropriation of funding by the Maryland General Assembly, and compliance with any law, rule, or Decree of any governmental body, either existing now or hereafter created, that conflicts with the requirements or obligations of this Agreement.

94. Failure to obtain required dual agency approvals that causes a delay in performance of the work shall be an Excused Delay under this Agreement until such time as SPT receives both MDE and EPA approvals.
95. Such circumstances do not include increased costs of performance, changed economic circumstances, normal inclement weather, or failure to obtain federal, state, or local permits, unless SPT has made timely and complete application for such permits.

96. Within ten (10) working days after becoming aware that an event that SPT believes constitutes an unforeseeable event or circumstance beyond their reasonable control that may prevent or delay performance of an obligation under this Agreement, SPT shall notify MDE of such event.

97. If MDE determines that the event or anticipated event which has caused or will cause the delay constitutes an unforeseeable event or circumstance beyond the control of SPT, the time for performance hereunder shall be extended for an appropriate period of time as determined by MDE, but not less than a period of time substantially equal to the length of the necessary delay, and any stipulated penalty shall not accrue. MDE shall inform SPT in writing of its approval. If the work is delayed by direction of the MDE Project Coordinator or the failure of MDE to approve work timely submitted, the schedule for completion of the work shall be extended by the time period of the delay and stipulated penalties shall not accrue during this time frame, provided, however, if the MDE Project Coordinator suspends the work and the reasons are due to the negligent or willful acts or omissions of SPT, or its contractor(s), then any extension of the schedule of completion shall be at the discretion of MDE.

98. In the event that SPT and MDE cannot agree that any delay or failure has been or will be caused by unforeseeable events or circumstances beyond the control of SPT, or if there is no agreement on the length of the extension, the dispute shall be resolved in accordance with Section XVI herein.
XVI. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

99. The dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism for SPT to raise and resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Agreement. Except as expressly provided in Section XIII (Financial Assurance), any dispute between the parties regarding the work shall be subject to these dispute resolution procedures.

100. Any dispute shall, in the first instance, be the subject of informal negotiations between MDE and SPT in an attempt to resolve the dispute in a good faith and expeditious manner. A dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends all other parties a written Notice of Dispute. The parties shall have thirty (30) days following receipt of a Notice of Dispute to reach agreement. SPT shall be entitled to meet with the Director of MDE’s Land Management Administration during this thirty (30) day period. If the Parties cannot reach agreement on the disputed issue, the Parties shall serve on one another a written statement setting forth its proposed resolution of the dispute (“Statement of Position”) within fifteen (15) days after the expiration of the thirty (30) day period. Within 15 days following receipt of SPT’s Statement of Position, the Department will serve on SPT a written statement of decision (“Statement of Decision”), signed by the Director of the Land Management Administration, and the reasons therefore.

101. Following resolution of the dispute, SPT shall comply with the agreement reached or the Department’s decision as set forth in the Department’s Statement of Decision or SPT may appeal the Department’s decision by initiating a judicial proceeding in the Maryland Circuit Court.

102. The existence of a dispute, as defined in this Section, and the Department’s consideration of matters placed into dispute, shall not excuse, toll, or suspend any compliance obligation or deadline required pursuant to this Agreement during the pendency of the dispute
resolution process. Thus, in the event SPT does not prevail in the dispute, the task must be completed in the remaining amount of time originally specified in the Agreement unless the time frame is formally modified through the dispute resolution process.

103. The Department, in its discretion, may extend schedules directly related to a dispute. In the event the filing of a Statement of Decision is delayed, any applicable schedule(s) shall be deemed extended by the period of days that exceeds the 15-day deadline for filing the Statement of Decision as set forth in Paragraph 100.

104. Subject to the procedures in this Section, nothing in this Section shall be construed to prohibit MDE from exercising any other remedy available at law or in equity to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

XVII. NO THIRD PARTY BENEFICIARIES

105. This Agreement does not and is not intended to create any rights or benefits for any third party. No third party shall have any legally enforceable rights or benefits under this Agreement, nor shall any third party have any rights to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

XVIII. DEPARTMENT’S COVENANT NOT TO SUE

106. In consideration of the actions that SPT will perform under the terms of this Agreement, subject to Section XIX (Department’s Reservation of Rights) of this Agreement, and as of the date of Closing, the Department covenants not to sue or take any other administrative or civil action against SPT through or pursuant to any Environmental Law for any civil liability, for any injunctive relief or for reimbursement of response costs with respect to or relating to Existing Contamination. This covenant not to sue extends to SPT, its parents, members, affiliates, designees, tenants, successors and assigns, and does not extend to any other person.
107. This Covenant Not to Sue shall survive the termination of this Agreement and shall remain in effect during the term of this Agreement to the extent SPT completes or is in the process of completing the work.

XIX. DEPARTMENT’S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

108. The signing of this Agreement and SPT’s consent to comply shall not limit or otherwise preclude MDE from taking additional action pursuant to the powers granted to it under the Environment Article of the Maryland Code and the Code of Maryland Regulations or the Department’s authority to enforce its hazardous waste program in lieu of federal enforcement under RCRA (a) to address violations of law or regulations not otherwise addressed by this Agreement, or (b) to reduce or eliminate risks to public health or the environment that were not known to MDE at the time of approval of this Agreement or at the time of approval of the work to be performed hereunder, and which are not otherwise addressed by this Agreement.

109. This Agreement shall not be interpreted to relieve SPT of any obligation to comply with any federal or State environmental statute, the regulations promulgated thereunder, or any applicable permits issued thereunder. This Agreement shall not be interpreted to be a permit or a modification of any existing permit.

110. This Section shall survive the termination of the Agreement.

XX. SPT’S COVENANT NOT TO SUE

111. SPT hereby covenants not to sue and agrees not to assert any claims or causes of action against the State of Maryland with respect to the Site or this Agreement, provided, however, that this covenant shall not preclude, where the State of Maryland has waived its sovereign immunity, any action by SPT to address a breach of MDE’s obligations under this Agreement or damages for negligence or willful misconduct pursuant to any statute other than the Environment Article, Annotated Code of Maryland.
112. This Section shall survive the termination of the Agreement.

**XXI. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION**

113. Upon issuance of a No Further Action letter for a portion of the Site, SPT shall be entitled to contribution protection as set forth in Environment Article § 7-221(d) for matters addressed in this Agreement relating to that portion of the Site covered by the No Further Action letter. With the exception of any matters described in Section XIX (Reservation of Rights), the matters addressed in this Agreement include, but are not limited to, Existing Contamination; oversight costs under this Agreement; work under this Agreement and all investigation, response, remediation, removal, cleanup or corrective actions taken by the State or another party relating to the Site or Existing Contamination. This Contribution Protection extends to SPT and its parents, members, affiliates, designees, tenants, successors and assigns, and does not extend to any other person. This contribution protection shall survive the termination of this Agreement, subject to the terms of any No Further Action letter or Certificate of Completion issued for the Site.

**XXII. INDEMNIFICATION**

114. Except for claims or causes of action derived from negligent acts or omissions of the State of Maryland or its agencies, departments, agents and employees, SPT agrees to indemnify and save and hold harmless the State of Maryland, its agencies, departments, agents, and employees, from any and all third party claims or causes of action to the extent arising from or on account of negligent acts or omissions of SPT or its agents, employees, representatives, independent contractors, receivers, trustees and assigns in implementing this Agreement.

115. Neither the State of Maryland, nor its agencies, departments, agencies or employees, shall be liable for any injuries or damages to persons or property to the extent arising from acts or omissions of SPT, its employees, agents, representatives, contractors, consultants,
receivers, trustees, or assigns in implementing this Agreement, neither shall the State of Maryland, nor any of its agencies, departments, agents or employees be held as a party to any contract entered into by SPT in implementing the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

116. This Section shall survive the termination of the Agreement.

**XXIII. DOCUMENT PRESERVATION**

117. SPT agrees to preserve during the pendency of this Agreement and for a minimum of 10 years following receipt of all No Further Action Letters, the original or one legible copy of all final documents in its possession or in the possession of any of its divisions, officers, directors, and employees created in implementation of this Agreement, or in the investigation by SPT, or any other person within SPT’s control and supervision, of hazardous substance contamination and/or geological or hydrogeological conditions at the Site after the Effective Date of this Agreement. SPT shall instruct its agents, accountants, contractors, consultants or attorneys to retain for the period of time stated above a copy of all such documents which are in their possession, of which SPT does not possess the original or a copy. At the Department’s specific request, SPT shall permit the Department to obtain copies of the necessary documents. Following the 10-year period, SPT shall notify the Department at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the destruction of any document(s) so preserved. The Department shall have the option of taking possession of any such documents except for privileged material subject to the requirements of Section X (Site Access), designated for destruction and shall notify SPT in writing of its decision to take, or decline to take, possession of any such documents.

118. This Section shall survive the termination of the Agreement.

**XXIV. TERMINATION**
119. All obligations under this Agreement, other than those expressly reserved, shall terminate upon the recordation of a Certificate of Completion on the entire Site, subject to the requirements of the Certificate of Completion.

XXV. TERMS AND CONDITIONS

120. This Agreement shall become effective as a contract and final administrative order upon execution by the Department and SPT.

121. Each person signing this Agreement certifies that he or she is duly authorized by the party on behalf of which each signs to execute this agreement and to bind that party to the terms of this Agreement.

122. In performing responsibilities, exercising discretion, and making determinations under this Agreement, SPT and the Department shall each act reasonably and in good faith.

123. SPT agrees to undertake and complete all actions required by the terms and conditions of this Agreement. In any action by the Department to enforce the terms of this Agreement, SPT consents to and agrees not to contest the authority or jurisdiction of the Department to enforce this Agreement, and agrees not to contest the validity of this Agreement or its terms and conditions. SPT, however, retains its rights to challenge, inter alia, decisions made by MDE pursuant to this Agreement as well as MDE’s interpretation of this Agreement. SPT agrees this Agreement is a contract and final order enforceable in a judicial forum.

124. In the event of a conflict between the terms of this Agreement and any Work Plan, the terms of this Agreement shall control.

125. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to require duplication of work that has already been implemented (with the oversight and approval of the Department) prior to the entry of this Agreement. All prior work plans that have been implemented and effectuated are
incorporated by reference as if submitted, approved, and implemented in accordance with this Agreement.

126. This Agreement is not intended to be nor shall it be construed to be a permit. SPT acknowledges and agrees that the Department’s approval does not constitute a warranty or representation that the work performed will achieve a particular cleanup or performance standards. Compliance by SPT with the terms of this Agreement shall not relieve SPT of its obligations to comply with any other applicable local, state, or federal laws and regulations, except as set forth in this Agreement.

127. If a court issues an order that invalidates any provision of this Agreement or finds that SPT or the Department have sufficient cause not to comply with one or more provisions of this Agreement, the parties hereto shall remain bound to comply with all provisions of this Agreement not invalidated by the court’s order.

128. This agreement may be signed in counterparts.

129. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with Maryland law.
This Agreement is AGREED to and its terms and conditions CONSENTED to:

SPARROWS POINT TERMINAL LLC

BY: Michael T. Pedone, President

DATE: September 12, 2014

MARYLAND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT

BY: Horacio Tablada, Director,
Land Management Administration

DATE: 9/12/14

Approved as to form and legal sufficiency

this 12 day of September 2014

Assistant Attorney General
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1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Information

This Site Conceptual Cleanup Plan (SCCP) was prepared by EnviroAnalytics Group on behalf of Sparrows Point LLC, the Seller (and current owner) of the approximately 3,100-acres of land on the historically industrial Sparrows Point Site (Site or Facility).

1.2 Purpose and Objective

The SCCP is intended to provide concepts for remediation, closure and pathway exclusion of applicable areas for the Site as may be defined in a Prospective Purchaser Agreement with the US EPA Region III (EPA) (Conceptual Cleanup Plan) and an Administrative Order on Consent and Covenant Not To Sue with the State of Maryland (MDE) as contemplated between HRP Sparrows Point, LLC (HRP) as the prospective purchaser (Purchaser) and the respective agencies. Summaries are included of past and current Site conditions and for prior environmental investigations including the identification of significant environmental conditions.

Guidance for future remediation work at the Site is outlined, including:

1) remaining obligations and corrective actions for the Site required under the Multi-Media Consent Decree between the EPA, MDE and Bethlehem Steel Corporation, which was entered in 1997 in the U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland, Case Nos. JFM-97-558 and JFM-97-559, as qualified by the RG Steel/SPLLCC Sale Order and the Prospective Purchaser Agreement and,

2) obligations for parcels of land that are intended to be removed from the definition of Facility or Site under the Consent Decree and transferred to and subject to the process for obtaining closure (including financial assurance and public comment) in the contemplated Administrative Order on Consent to be entered into between the State and HRP.

The SCCP also outlines the objectives, approach, and methods to complete remediation and achieve ‘closure’ of environmental obligations of the Site as provided in Article 5 of the Purchase and Sale Agreement executed by and between Sparrows Point, LLC and HRP Sparrows Point, LLC on December 14, 2013, specifically including:

- A general investigation and remediation plan for the completion of Seller’s Environmental Obligations (the “Seller Remediation Plan”); and,
- The types, scope and nature of environmental controls and restrictions (including the geographical footprint thereof) which are mutually acceptable to both Seller and Purchaser.
1.3 Background Information

The Sparrows Point Site is located in Baltimore County, Maryland at the southeast corner of the Baltimore metropolitan area, approximately nine miles from the downtown area. The Site encompasses approximately 3,100 acres located on a peninsula situated on the Patapsco River near its confluence with the Chesapeake Bay physically positioned in the mouth of the heavily industrialized and urbanized Baltimore Harbor / Patapsco River region. A land connection to the northeast links the peninsula with the adjacent community of Edgemere.

From the late 1800s until 2012, the Site was used for the production and manufacturing of steel. Iron and steel production operations and processes at the Site included raw material handling, coke production, sinter production, iron production, steel production, and semi-finished and finished product preparation. In 1970, Sparrows Point was the largest steel facility in the United States, producing hot and cold rolled sheets, coated materials, pipes, plates, and rod and wire. The steelmaking operations at the Facility ceased in fall 2012, and plans for the Site include demolition and redevelopment over the next several years.

The original topography of the peninsula was flat with elevations not exceeding 15 feet North American Vertical Datum 1988 (NAVD88). The peninsula has been drastically altered since the inception of the steel manufacturing activities. Creeks have been filled in and new land has been added to various areas of the Site by building up near-shore areas of the river.


On October 10, 1997, the United States Environmental Protection (USEPA) and the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) filed a multimedia Consent Decree through the U.S District Court for the Court of Maryland seeking relief from alleged endangerment to public health, welfare, or the environment from contamination at and around the Sparrows Point Facility in Sparrows Point, Maryland. Pursuant to the requirements of the 1997 Consent Decree, Site-Wide Investigation activities and associated environmental assessments have been performed at the site focused on characterizing the nature and extent of releases to on-site areas of the Facility. Work has been completed to implement an investigation and screening process to evaluate potential source areas of releases to the environment and define if further action (or no further action) is necessary. Major submittals completed to date as part of the Site-Wide Investigation include:

- Description of Current Conditions, January 1998 (Rust 1998);
- Site-Wide Investigation Work Plan – Groundwater Study, June 2000 (CH2M Hill 2000);
- Site-Wide Investigation Groundwater Study Report, July 2001 (CH2M Hill 2001);
- Site-Wide Investigation Release Site Characterization Study, June 2002 (CH2M Hill 2002a);
• Site-Wide Investigation: Report of Nature & Extent of Releases to Groundwater From the Special Study Areas, International Steel Group, ISG Sparrows Point, Inc. Facility, Sparrows Point, Maryland, January 2005 (URS 2005a), revised 2007;
• CA725 Facility Investigation and Human Health Risk Evaluation (HHRE) Findings, ISG Sparrows Point, June 2005 (URS 2005b);
• Ecological Risk Assessment Strategy Document; ISG Sparrows Point Facility (URS 2006a);
• Final Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plan for On-Site Areas (URS 2007).
• Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment For On-Site Areas Final (April 2009, URS)
• Supplemental Report County Lands Parcel 1B Ponds Final (May 2009, URS)
• Final Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment for On-Site Areas (BERA) Report (URS, October 7, 2011)

1.4 SCCP Organization

Section 2 of the SCCP presents information on the Facility setting, Section 3 presents information on the Site use and history, Section 4 presents environmental information associated with assessment and investigation work and operation of interim measures, Section 5 presents conceptual cleanup and response plans and Section 6 presents the compliance plan for the solid waste landfills.

Specific information is presented including a general approach for response actions to support renewal of the Site, approach for closure of regulatory obligations for the Site and area-specific response actions that are anticipated to satisfy identified environmental conditions. The SCCP addresses recognized environmental conditions identified during the all appropriate inquiry process undertaken by Weaver Boos Consultants on behalf of the Purchaser to the extent practicable based on currently available information. The compliance plan for the landfills includes actions to be undertaken to provide operational compliance, closure and post-closure care for the two on-site landfills.
2  FACILITY SETTING

2.1  Location and Surrounding Land Use

The Sparrows Point Site is located in Baltimore County, Maryland at the southeast corner of the Baltimore metropolitan area. The Site is approximately 3100 acres and occupies all of a peninsula bounded to the west by Bear Creek; to the south by the Patapsco River; and to the east by Jones Creek, Old Road Bay, and residential areas of the City of Edgemere. The Site is also bounded to the north by the Sparrows Point Country Club.

Zoning maps indicate that the Sparrows Point Site is zoned Manufacturing Heavy - Industrial, Major (MH-IM). Surrounding property zoning classifications include the following: Manufacturing Light (ML), Resource Conservation (RC), Density Residential (DR), Business Roadside (BR), Business Major (BM), Business Local (BL), and Residential Office (RO). The Sparrows Point Country Club is located north of the Sparrows Point Site on the other side of the Peninsula Expressway. Light industrial and commercial properties are located northeast of the Site and northwest of the Site on the other side of Bear Creek. Residential areas of Edgemere and Fort Howard are located northeast of the Site and east of the Site on the other side of Jones Creek and Old Road Bay. Residential areas of Dundalk are located northwest of the Site on the other side of Bear Creek.

2.2  Physiography, Topography and Surface Drainage

The Baltimore area is situated within the Atlantic Slope physiographic region which is further subdivided into the Piedmont Plateau and Coastal Plain provinces. The Sparrows Point Site is wholly located in the Coastal Plain Province. The Coastal Plain is the relatively low part of the Atlantic Slope and is bounded on the east by the edge of the Continental Shelf in the Atlantic Ocean and on the west by the Piedmont Plateau.

The topographic development of the Atlantic Slope region is directly related to the regional geology. Topographic elevations in the Coastal Plain are generally less than 300 feet above mean sea level (msl). The Coastal Plain is underlain by relatively soft, generally unindurated, easily eroded sediments of the Cretaceous, Tertiary, and Quaternary Systems. These Coastal Plain sediments are underlain by the crystalline Pre-Cambrian and early Paleozoic rocks which extend from the Piedmont Plateau.

The Sparrows Point Site is bordered by water on three sides with land connection predominantly to the north and northeast. The peninsula is bounded to the east by Old Road Bay and Jones Creek; to the south by the Patapsco River; and to the west by Bear Creek, all of which directly or indirectly drain to the Chesapeake Bay located southeast of the Site.

The current ground surface at the Sparrows Point Site is relatively flat. All major topographic features (such as buildings, landfills, and material stockpiles) are manmade. Throughout most of the peninsula, the elevation of the ground surface is between 0 and 20 feet mean sea level (msl). The average elevation is about 15 feet msl. In the southern portion of the Site, there are several
man-made landforms (raw and byproduct material stockpiles) that exceed 20 feet msl in elevation. Greys Landfill, located near the northwestern corner of the property, is approximately 110 feet msl in elevation at its highest point.

Surface water runoff is diverted and collected by a network of culverts, underground pipes, and drainage ditches within the Site. The stormwater is then discharged to Bear Creek, Jones Creek/Old Road Bay, and the Patapsco River. Prior to 1970, much of the stormwater from the northern part of the Site was discharged to Humphrey Creek and subsequently to Bear Creek. Between 1950 and 1970, the Tin Mill Canal was constructed within portions of Humphrey Creek which continued to receive stormwater from the northern part of the Site. Since about 1970, stormwater runoff from the northern part of the Site has discharged to the Tin Mill Canal, and then conveyed to the Humphrey Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (HCWWTP) for treatment.

2.3 Fill Placement

Slag, a byproduct of iron- and steel-making, has been used as an on-site fill material since operations began at the Sparrows Point Facility. Prior evaluations have been completed to assess the extent of made land activities for the Site. A land plat, dated August 1916 and January 1917 was used as the basis for this land reclamation evaluation. In January 1917, the Site consisted of approximately 2166 acres of land. Humphrey Creek was a northeast trending embayment in the northern portion of the Site. It drained to Bear Creek and was reported to have contained fresh water. A tributary to Humphrey Creek called Blockhouse Cove extended well into the central part of the Site from the southern side of Humphrey Creek. Greys Creek, an embayment oriented east-southeast, was present to the north of Humphrey Creek. The Town of Sparrows Point was present in the south central portion of the Site.

By April 1938, steel manufacturing operations were well established, particularly on the eastern side of Sparrows Point. Blockhouse Cove had been completely reclaimed, and a bridge partially dammed the opening to Bear Creek. A significant portion of the southern end of the Site had been reclaimed. A small amount of land along the southern edge of the Site was reclaimed between the late 1930's and the late 1950's. The northeast end of Humphrey Creek, two small tributaries to Jones Creek, and some land north of the current Shipyard were also reclaimed by that time. By 1971, all of Humphrey Creek estuary had been reclaimed, and the Tin Mill Canal had been constructed within the slag fill. In addition, Greys Creek and an additional area along the southern boundary of the Site had been completely reclaimed. Currently, the surveyed acreage of the Site is 3100 acres.

2.4 Regional Geology

The general geologic stratigraphy of the Baltimore area includes crystalline Pre-Cambrian and early Paleozoic basement rocks that are unconformably overlain by the Patuxent Formation which is conformably overlain by the Arundel Formation. The Arundel Formation is unconformably overlain by the Patapsco Formation which represents the uppermost Cretaceous

In general, the Coastal Plain sediments thicken to the southeast and comprise a wedge-shaped mass lapping over the east-sloping crystalline-rock floor.

The Patuxent Formation is the lowermost unit of the Potomac Group. The Patuxent sediments consist primarily of quartzose gravel and sand interbedded with silty clay lenses. The thickness ranges from 50 to 250 feet.

The Arundel Formation, or Arundel Clay, is the middle unit of the Potomac Group. In the Baltimore area it is a red to red-yellow, dense, plastic clay with thin lenses of silt. The composition of the clay is predominantly kaolinite and illite. The Arundel Clay ranges in thickness from 25 to 200 feet and thicken to the east and south.

The Patapsco Formation is the upper-most unit in the Potomac Group. In the Baltimore area, the Patapsco is comprised of interbedded sands, silts, and clays, and its thickness ranges from 0 to 200 feet.

Quaternary sediments of Pleistocene age are present directly above the Cretaceous sediments of the Potomac Group at thicknesses from 0 to 150 feet. The sand, gravel, and clay that comprise the Pleistocene sediments are divided into two generalized formations: upland deposits and lowland deposits.

2.5 Regional Hydrogeology

Aquifers in the Patuxent and Patapsco Formations are the primary groundwater sources in the Baltimore area. Local water supplies can be produced from the Talbot (i.e., Pleistocene) Formation. In areas close to estuaries, water supply wells in any of these formations are susceptible to chloride contamination.

2.5.1 Patuxent Aquifer

The aquifer in the Patuxent Formation is a significant source of groundwater for the Baltimore area. Both current and historic discharge from the Patuxent aquifer is primarily through water-well withdrawals. Historic use of the Patuxent aquifer dates back to the 1850's. Elevated chloride concentrations caused by saltwater encroachment have been documented in the Patuxent aquifer since the 1930's.

2.5.2 Patapsco Aquifer

The aquifer in the Patapsco Formation is also a source of groundwater for the Baltimore area. A sand facies in the lower part of the Patapsco Formation is considered the principal source of water in the Patapsco aquifer. Groundwater within the Patapsco Formation is confined at Sparrows Point with the overlying Pleistocene sediments serving as the upper confining bed and the Arundel Formation as the lower confining bed. In some parts of the Baltimore area,
including the Sparrows Point Site, the Patapsco Formation contains a well-defined "middle clay bed" that separates the lower sand facies from the upper part of the formation.

The Patapsco aquifer was used as a source of groundwater prior to 1900 and during the early part of the 20th century. Because the Patapsco aquifer widely subcrops beneath the brackish Patapsco River, elevated chloride concentrations became a major problem in areas near the Patapsco River estuary. By 1945, almost all water production from the Patapsco aquifer had ended due to excessive chloride in the Harbor, Canton, and Dundalk areas. The Sparrows Point Site was the only major user of the Patapsco aquifer in 1945. Water production totaled about 3 Mgal/d; however, by the late 1940's and 1950's, many of the Sparrows Point wells were affected by elevated chlorides as well and were therefore abandoned. As of 1985, there was no major use of the Patapsco aquifer in the immediate vicinity of the Patapsco River estuary.

2.5.3 Pleistocene Groundwater

Although not common, local supplies of groundwater can be developed in the Pleistocene lowland deposits of the Talbot Formation in the Baltimore area. Wide variations have been reported for the transmissivity of water-bearing zones in the Talbot Formation in the Sparrows Point area. Elevated chloride concentrations in the Talbot Formation are widespread along the Patapsco River and its estuaries, and salt-water encroachment is a significant factor limiting development of water supplies in the Talbot Formation. Wells completed in the Talbot Formation at Sparrows Point have been abandoned and are not suitable for potable supply.
3 FACILITY USE AND HISTORY

3.1 Overview

Pennsylvania Steel built the first blast furnace at Sparrows Point in 1887. The first iron was cast in 1889. Bethlehem Steel Corporation (BSC) purchased the Sparrows Point Facility in 1916 and enlarged it by building mills to produce hot rolled sheet, cold rolled sheet, galvanized sheet, tin mill products, and steel plate. During peak production in 1959, the Facility operated 12 coke oven batteries, 10 blast furnaces, and four open hearth furnaces. The coke ovens ceased operations in December 1991 and have been demolished and removed from the Site. The remaining operations at the Site ceased operations in 2012 and the related structures are currently being demolished and removed from the Site. The Site had been continually used for the production of iron and steel from 1887 until 2012; the following sections provide a historical description of Site use.

3.2 Steel Manufacturing Operations

Steel manufacturing involved the handling of vast amounts of raw materials including coke, iron ore, limestone, and scrap steel, as well as recovering byproducts and managing waste materials. The following operations and/or processes were performed during the manufacturing lifespan at the Sparrows Point Facility:

- Iron and Steel Production
  - Raw Material Handling
  - Coke Production
  - Sinter Production
  - Iron Production
  - Steel Production and Semi-Finished Product Preparation
- Finished Product Preparation
- Coal Chemical Recovery System
  - Coal Chemical Plants
  - Benzene and Litol Plants
  - Hydrogen Cyanide Strippers
  - Desulfurization Plant and Sulfur Recovery
- Other Byproducts Recovery Systems
  - Ammonia Removal Plant
  - Green Pellet Plant Ball Mill
- Palm Oil Recovery
- Slag Processing
- Wastewater Treatment Systems
  - Bio-Oxidation Plant
  - Blast Furnace/Sinter Plant Water Treatment System
  - Basic Oxygen Furnace Water Treatment System
  - Chromium High Density Sludge (HDS) Plant
  - Tin Mill Canal and Humphrey Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant
- Solid Waste Management
  - Greys Landfill
  - Coke Point Landfill
- Air Pollution Control

The following sections present brief descriptions of these operations and/or processes.

### 3.2.1 Iron and Steel Production

Iron- and steel-making involves raw material handling, coke production, sinter production, iron production, steel production, semi-finished product preparation, and finished product preparation.

### 3.2.2 Raw Material Handling

Most of the raw materials used in the production of iron and steel were stockpiled in the ore pier area located in the south-central portion of the Site. The raw materials include iron ore, coke, crushed limestone, quartz gravel, sand, mill scale, and pellet fines.

### 3.2.3 Coke Production

Coke was produced on Site for use as a fuel in the iron-to-steel making process. A total of 13 coke oven batteries were used between the 1930's and 1991 at which time the coke ovens ceased operations. During the period of active coke production, coal was stored in an area located north of Coke Point Landfill and southwest of the Benzene\Litol Plant.

### 3.2.4 Sinter Production

Sinter was produced on Site for use as a raw material for iron production. Sinter is an agglomerated and fused mixture of fine-sized materials such as iron ore, coke breeze, fluxstone, mill scale, and flue dust used to charge the blast furnaces. After fusing, the sinter product was
crushed and screened. Undersized sinter fragments were recycled and acceptably sized sinter fragments were air cooled, screened again, and then sent to charge the blast furnaces.

3.2.5 Iron Production

Iron was produced in blast furnaces where iron ore (or iron-bearing pellets), sinter, coke, and limestone were continuously fed into the top of the furnace. Solid materials were ultimately heated by the hot air and fuel injected in the lower section of the furnace and from coke burning. Molten iron forms from the heating and reaction with these gases. The limestone reacts with the ore impurities to form slag, which floats atop the molten iron. The slag was separated and transferred directly to the granulated Slag Plant and then taken to an on-Site processing area. The iron was drawn from the furnace bottom to hot metal cars for transport to the steel making furnaces.

3.2.6 Steel Production and Semi-Finished Product Preparation

Molten iron and ferrous scrap metal were refined by oxidation in the steel-making process. Once refined, alloys were added to the molten iron for the desired grade of steel. Slag was also generated in this process and was taken to the reprocessing area on-site. The steel was continuously cast and semi-finished steel slabs were cut to proper lengths at two strands of the Continuous Caster for further processing at either the Plate Mill or Hot Strip Mill.

3.2.7 Finished Product Preparation

Finished steel was produced in various portions of the Site at the Plate Mill and two Finishing Mills (the Cold Sheet Mill, and the Tin Mill). These mills generate various steel products, all to customer specifications, including hot-rolled sheets and strips, cold-rolled sheets, and flat plates. Some of the products were galvanized, coated with corrosion-resistant alloys (i.e., galvalume or chrome), or tin-plated at the Coating Lines located in the Cold Sheet Mill and the Tin Mill.

Two other mills in the northwestern portion of the Site, the Rod and Wire Mill and the Pipe Mill operated between the 1940’s and early 1980’s producing rods, wire products, and pipes.

3.2.8 Coal Chemical Recovery System

During the coking production years, the coal chemical recovery system consisted of several individual plants that operated for raw coke gas treatment. These plants were located in the southwest portion of the Site, and included the A and B Coal Chemicals Plants (CCP), the Benzene and Litol Plants, two Hydrogen Cyanide Strippers, and the Desulfurization Plant and Sulfur Recovery. The history and current status of these plants are discussed below.

- Coal Chemical Plants - Raw coke oven gas was initially treated at the A or B CCP. The A CCP (which served coke oven batteries 1-6 and battery A beginning in the 1930's) and B CCP (which served batteries 11 and 12 beginning in the 1950’s) both ceased operations in
1991. These plants contained various oil/water separators, scrubbers, saturators, cooling towers, tar decanters, and numerous tanks.

- Benzene and Litol Plants - The Benzene and Litol Plants were distillation and cracking plants used for the purification of light oil into benzene, toluene, and xylene and operated from the late 1940's through 1986. These plants contained numerous tanks, coolers, absorbers, and scrubbers. All plant units have been removed.

- Hydrogen Cyanide Strippers - Two Hydrogen Cyanide Strippers were used for the removal of hydrogen cyanide from gas generated at the A and B CCPs, and from wastewaters generated in the treatment of this gas. One stripper removed the cyanide from the final cooler condensate. The other stripper removed the cyanide from the coke oven gas before distribution of the gas to the plant. All plant units have been removed.

- Desulfurization Plant and Sulfur Recovery - The original Sulfur Recovery Plant operated from the late 1960s through the late 1980s, and it removed about one-third of the sulfur produced from the A and B CCP coke oven gas. This unit was torn down and replaced with a new unit that would have fully desulfurized the gas. The new unit was never operated prior to the shutdown of the coke ovens in 1991.

3.2.9 Other Byproducts Recovery Systems

Byproduct recovery systems that were formerly operated at the Site include the Ammonia Recovery Plant, the Green Pellet Plant, the Ball Mill, Palm Oil Recovery and Slag Reprocessing.

- Ammonia Removal Plant - Excess weak ammonia liquor from the A and B CCP coking operations was temporarily stored in a one-million gallon tank prior to pumping it to the Ammonia Removal Plant. At the Ammonia Removal Plant, the liquor was added to lime slurry and then sent to a clarifier to remove suspended solids. The pre-limer clarifier sludge was beneficially re-used at the Humphrey Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant for pH adjustment. The clarified liquor went to the Bio-Oxidation Plant for phenol treatment.

- Green Pellet Plant - The Green Pellet Plant, located in the open-hearth furnace shop area near the south-central portion of the Site, operated from the early 1970's to approximately 1980. Here, unfired (green) iron ore pellets were manufactured from open hearth and basic oxygen furnace fume dust. The pellets were then charged back into the furnaces. The plant was demolished in 1990.

- Ball Mill - The Ball Mill was located west of the coke ovens. There are no reported startup dates, but the mill ceased operations in the 1980's. Coal tar and material from the tar decanter, which formed from the quenching of coke oven gases, was recovered here and processed to a liquid for beneficial use as fuel at the Pennwood Power Station or at the Open Heaths.

- Palm Oil Recovery - The Palm Oil Recovery (PORI) received and processed waste oils generated throughout the Sparrows Point Facility. PORI operations began around 1950. Waste oil was received by an oil/water separator and discharged to a holding tank.
Wastewaters were then piped to an earthen lagoon where the waste oil is skimmed and recovered. Wastewaters were discharged to the Tin Mill Canal, and further treated at the HCWWTP.

- Slag Reprocessing - Slag generated at the Blast Furnace and the BOF was processed on Site. At the Blast Furnace, hot slag is dumped in holding bins and sprayed with water to cool and solidify the material. Molten slag from the BOF was tapped from the steel-making vessel into containers (thimbles) for transport to the slag-processing Facility where it was dumped and sprayed with water. Cooled, solidified slag was dug from the Blast Furnace slag bins or from piles at the slag Facility and separated by crushing and screening into various sizes suitable for sale. Some of the BOF slag was recycled to the iron-making operation.

### 3.2.10 Wastewater Treatment Systems

The generation of a variety of wastewaters, waste pickling liquors, and other aqueous wastes was part of the routine procedures for steel making and steel processing. Some of the more important plants/systems that were located on-site are briefly discussed below.

- **Bio-Oxidation Plant** - Most of the wastewater treated at the Bio-Oxidation Plant came from the Ammonia Removal Plant, the Benzene and Litol Plants, and from the A CCP Hydrogen Cyanide Stripper. The treatment system consisted of various tanks, skimmers, oil/water separators, mixing chambers, aeration basins, and thickeners.

- **Blast Furnace/Sinter Plant Water Treatment System** - The Blast Furnace/Sinter Plant Water Treatment System processed water from the Sinter Plant scrubbers and treats slurry from the Blast Furnace recycled water system for soluble zinc and cyanide. The treatment system consisted of a thickener, a belt press filter, and two spent pickle liquor tanks. Dewatered sludge (non-hazardous) was disposed in Greys Landfill and water was discharged through NPDES permitted outfall 101.

- **BOF Water Treatment System** - The BOF gas cleaning water treatment system was a recycle system that treated water from four (4) BOF scrubbers used to remove suspended particulates from BOF process gas generated during the production of steel. The treatment system consisted of various tanks and settling equipment. Solids were removed and disposed at Greys Landfill. Excess water (blowdown) was sent to the HCWWTP for final discharge through NPDES outfall 014.

- **Chromium High Density Sludge (HDS) Plant** - In 1987 the Chromium High Density Sludge (HDS) was installed to process chromium-bearing wastewater generated during chromium plating and passivating operations at the Tin Mill. The wastewater treatment system includes several tanks (i.e., reduction, neutralization, and flocculation), pH adjustment, thickening, and filtering of solids. Sludge from the treatment process is sent off-site for proper disposal. Treated wastewater is sent to the Humphrey Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (HCWWTP). This plant is not scheduled for demolition.
• Tin Mill Canal and Humphrey Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant - The Tin Mill Canal (TMC) is a man-made canal constructed in slag fill and located in the northern half of the Site. The TMC primarily serves as a conveyance for industrial wastewater discharged from several Site facilities. The canal also receives stormwater runoff. The TMC is approximately 7300 feet long, 30 to 50 feet wide, and averages approximately 15 feet in depth below surface grade. Wastewater flows generally east to west toward the Humphrey Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant (HCWWTP). The eastern portion of the TMC began operating in the early 1950's. The western (remaining) portions of the canal and HCWWTP were completed and began operating in approximately 1969. Treated wastewaters discharge through NPDES outfall 014 to Bear Creek. The HCWWTP was reconfigured and improved by incorporating the ACTIFLO® microsand ballasted clarification process in 2004. The TMC and HCWWTP are still in use.

3.2.11 Solid Waste Management

Solid wastes have been disposed of and managed primarily at two areas within the Sparrows Point Site: Greys Landfill and Coke Point Landfill.

• Greys Landfill - Greys Landfill is located at the northwestern portion of the Sparrows Point property. The landfill is situated adjacent to Interstate Route 695 that provides a boundary to the south of the landfill and Peninsula Highway that is north of the landfill. The existing landfill area is approximately 40 acres in size and is characterized by waste deposits and graded side slopes developed during many years of waste and miscellaneous slag filling operations. Current surface elevations of the waste materials generally range from 90 to 110 feet in elevation. Filling operations in this area began in approximately 1970 as determined by aerial photograph records. The landfill is in use today. In the northeast corner of Greys Landfill is the Tar Decanter Cell, also known as the Closed CHS Cell. This unit is a 1.5-acre RCRA-regulated disposal cell that received various coal tar sludge, slag, dusts, filter cakes, and miscellaneous debris. The unit was closed and capped in 1983 under a closure plan submitted to MOE in April 1983 and approved in August 1983.

• Coke Point Landfill - Coke Point Landfill is a solid waste disposal area located within the boundary limits of the Sparrows Point Site located at the southwestern edge of the Facility adjacent to the Patapsco River. The potential landfill area defined by horizontal boundary limit is approximately 46 acres. Approximately 25 to 30 acres have been used historically for waste disposal. The landfill currently exhibits irregular side slopes and vertical topographic elevations ranging up to approximately 70 feet. The area is characterized by surface materials of slag and miscellaneous fill that were placed during filling operations to provide made land at Coke Point. The area apparently received discarded materials during that time; but there is no clear starting date for the operation. Since 1971 until 2012, the area had been used as a landfill and waste disposal area. The
landfill received a variety of non-hazardous waste that generally included foundry dust, waste sand, slag, refractories, and various other dusts.
4 SITE ASSESSMENTS, INVESTIGATIONS AND INTERIM MEASURES

The property operated for many years solely as an integrated iron and steel complex. Environmental obligations exist as a result of this operation, chiefly related to the investigation and cleanup of former waste disposal locations. Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs) and Areas of Concern (AOCs) that are potential sources of releases to the environment at the Site were initially identified as part of the RCRA Facility Assessment process completed by EPA in the 1980’s and further refined during Visual Site Inspections conducted in 1991 as described in the Final RFA Report (Kearney, 1993). A total of 203 SWMUs and 28 AOCs were identified; descriptions for 41 of the SWMUs and 26 of the AOCs were provided in the report. More recently, the property has been the subject of a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Weaver Boos, 2014) undertaken in support of the all appropriate inquiry and due diligence process of its prospective Purchaser.

4.1 Site-Wide Investigation Work

The former owner, Bethlehem Steel, agreed with the EPA and MDE to a comprehensive multimedia Consent Decree. The Consent Decree requires site wide investigation and associated corrective action for the property and compliance, closure and post closure care matters associated with two on-site landfills (Greys Landfill and the Coke Point Landfill). The initial effort for the planning of site wide investigation tasks associated with the Consent Decree provided a description of the current conditions of the Site. This work included the development of the Description of Current Conditions Report, (DCCR) Rust 1998.

Screening analyses of the SWMUs, AOCs and non-RFA areas were completed in the DCCR to define further investigation requirements and associated chemicals of potential interest (COPIs) for SWMUs and AOCs requiring further investigation and to screen out SWMUs and AOCs that were not observed to be releasing and requiring no further action. This analysis included review and analysis of the RFA Report, environmental files of Bethlehem Steel Corporation (including correspondence, analytical data summaries, permit information, site investigation reports, closure reports, monitoring/sampling reports and remediation reports) and on-site inspections. A total of 74 SWMUs and 10 AOCs remained for further consideration after completion of the screening analysis in the DCCR. In addition, 5 non-RFA areas were identified that required further investigation. An inventory of SWMUs, AOCs and other non-RFA areas of the Site identified and described in the DCCR and associated results of the screening analysis is presented in Table 1.

Subsequent investigations have focused on five “Special Study Areas” of the Site that encompass the significant majority of the SWMUs, AOCs and non-RFA areas identified as requiring further investigation. The special study areas include Coke Point Landfill, former Coke Oven Area, Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area, Humphrey Impoundment and Greys Landfill. Table 1 identifies the relationship between the special study areas and associated SWMUs, AOCs and non-RFA areas. Investigations have also been completed to assess on-site ecological risk on a
site-wide basis. Work has been completed including characterization of release areas, groundwater nature and extent investigations, human health risk evaluation, and screening and baseline ecological risk assessments. Data and results associated with the site-wide investigative work contained within these reports are summarized in the following sections:

- Site-Wide Investigation Release Site Characterization Study, June 2002 (CH2M Hill 2002a);
- Site-Wide Investigation: Report of Nature & Extent of Releases to Groundwater From the Special Study Areas, International Steel Group, ISG Sparrows Point, Inc. Facility, Sparrows Point, Maryland, January 2005 (URS 2005a), revised 2007;
- CA725 Facility Investigation and Human Health Risk Evaluation (HHRE) Findings, ISG Sparrows Point, June 2005 (URS 2005b);
- Ecological Risk Assessment Strategy Document; ISG Sparrows Point Facility (URS 2006a);
- Final Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plan for On-Site Areas (URS 2007).
- Screening Level Ecological Risk Assessment For On-Site Areas Final (April 2009, URS)
- Supplemental Report County Lands Parcel 1B Ponds Final (May 2009, URS)
- Final Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment for On-Site Areas (BERA) Report (URS, October 7, 2011)

More recently, Weaver Boos Consultants, LLC (Weaver Boos) performed a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (Phase I) on behalf of the Purchaser’s counsel in general compliance with the scope and limitations of American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process (ASTM E 1527-13). The purpose of this Phase I is to identify and report, to the extent feasible, recognized environmental conditions with respect to the Property. ASTM E 1527-13 defines a recognized environmental condition as:

*The presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property: (1) due to any release to the environment; (2) under conditions indicative of a release to the environment; or (3) under conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment. De minimis conditions are not recognized environmental conditions.*

Based upon the assessments completed thus far, the Phase I revealed evidence of recognized environmental conditions and historical recognized environmental conditions in connection with the Site.

### 4.2 Descriptions of Site Areas

#### 4.2.1 Coke Point Area

The Coke Point Area is approximately 300 acres in size located on the southwest portion of the Site. The area is a man-made peninsula comprised of slag from the ground surface to
approximately 15-30 feet below grade where the native sediments (silts, sands and clays) are then encountered. This area includes the former Coke Oven Area and Coke Point Landfill special study areas.

The natural groundwater hydraulic gradient is fairly flat, with a radial flow pattern moving towards the shoreline. Groundwater data suggests that an artificial hydraulic gradient is being created by groundwater pumping associated with an off-site shipyard Facility located to the northwest of Coke Point (separate ownership). This pumping appears to directly affect the fate and transport of impacted groundwater in portions of Coke Point, creating artificial groundwater movement in both lateral and vertical downward directions in response to pumping activity.

Analytical results obtained from groundwater samples collected during site investigation activities indicate that VOCs and SVOCs (predominately benzene and naphthalene) have impacted the shallow and intermediate groundwater zone at the Coke Point Area. Groundwater plumes containing dissolved VOCs and to a certain extent SVOCs exist in the slag fill in an unconfined groundwater zone occurring roughly 5 to 15 feet below the ground surface and an intermediate native material groundwater zone occurring 20 to 40 feet below the ground surface. Non aqueous phase liquid (NAPL) source areas containing benzene and naphthalene respectively are present in two distinct locations as shown on Figure 1. The benzene NAPL source area encompasses approximately 54,500 ft²; the naphthalene source area is approximately 31,300 ft².

The extent of the groundwater plumes for benzene and naphthalene are shown on Figure 1. Areas in excess of 10 mg/L for benzene and 1 mg/L for naphthalene are shown which roughly approximate 1% of the respective solubility limits. The areal extent of the VOC and SVOC groundwater impacts is confined to the Coke Point fill portion of the Sparrows Point peninsula and has not migrated to the area north of the Coke Oven area. The maximum VOC concentrations (predominately benzene) are located at the northwest portion of the Coke Oven SSA. Groundwater with elevated COP1 VOCs has migrated towards the southwest and northwest of the Coke Oven SSA and is present at the shoreline. The SVOC concentrations (predominately naphthalene) are more evenly distributed, and the maximum concentrations are located on the eastern half of the Coke Oven SSA. The nature of the plumes is further described as follows:

**Dissolved Benzene Plumes:**

- Shallow Depth (~ 5-20 ft. bgs)
  
The northwest quadrant of Coke Point contains a plume greater than 10 mg/L currently encompassing ~ 2,450,072 ft² (56 acres)
  
The central southern portion of the Point contains a relatively small plume greater than 10 mg/L currently encompassing ~ 67,800 ft² (1.6 acres)
  
The northeastern quadrant contains two small benzene plumes greater than 10 mg/L
    - The northeast corner, currently encompassing ~75,000 ft²
- The central eastern area, currently encompassing ~75,000 ft$^2$
  - Intermediate Depth (~30-45 ft. bgs)
    The northwest quadrant of Coke Point contains a plume greater than 10 mg/L currently encompassing ~1,820,200 ft$^2$ (42 acres)

**Dissolved Naphthalene Plume:**
- Shallow Depth (~5-15 ft. bgs)
  Upper mid-eastern portion of Coke Point, plume greater than 1 mg/L currently encompassing ~2,586,500 ft$^2$ (59 acres)

Based on monitoring data, there is no indication that significant concentrations of VOCs or SVOCs are present deeper than 75 feet below the ground surface. VOC and the SVOC concentrations decrease to below their respective reporting limits or exhibit a significant decreasing trend toward the laboratory reporting limits in all samples collected from the lower groundwater zone piezometers.

A sporadic presence of metals, including arsenic, lead and vanadium, was detected in the shallow and intermediate groundwater zones. The total metal concentrations show a general decrease throughout Coke Point in the intermediate and lower groundwater zones as native materials are encountered. The measured concentrations in the lower zone are all within the low μg/L ranges. The presence of metals in groundwater in this area at these concentrations may be related to baseline levels of metals that are present in the fill and natural soils at the Facility and not associated with historic site activities.

### 4.2.2 Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area

The Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area includes the Tin Mill Canal (TMC) and adjacent finishing mills area that included operations for steel plating and coating operations as shown on Figure 2. The TMC is constructed of slag materials and is approximately 7300 feet long and 30-50 feet wide at the bottom. The finishing mill area is approximately 200 acres of mill structures that discharged contact wastewaters and stormwater through sewer pipe systems to the TMC. The finishing mills are shut down and in the process of being razed and are no longer a source of contact wastewater discharges to the TMC. This work will eliminate potential future sewer discharges from the finishing mills that would be of concern.

The canal has been used historically for the conveyance of both stormwater and wastewater to a central wastewater treatment plant (HCWWTP) prior to discharge to surface water through a NPDES permitted discharge outfall. Materials that contain metals and oil/grease have been deposited in the Tin Mill Canal over time from process sewer discharges associated with the steel finishing operations. These materials are located within the entire length and width of the canal and affect water currently being controlled and discharged through the canal. The canal still receives and controls stormwater runoff from the Site; the HCWWTP remains operational to
treat stormwater runoff prior to discharge. Hydrogeologic studies have shown that the canal also controls and receives groundwater inflow from Site areas adjacent to the canal.

Impacts to groundwater at the Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mill area are generally confined to areas adjacent to the canal and do not show impacts in piezometers located along the eastern or western shoreline downgradient from these areas. Analytical results obtained from samples collected during site investigation activities indicate that impact to the groundwater by VOCs and SVOCs are generally confined to the area adjacent to the Tin Mill Canal within the shallow and intermediate groundwater zones. Investigations did not identify issues in the groundwater surrounding the finishing mills that were of significant concern.

4.2.3 Humphrey Impoundment

Humphrey Impoundment is located in the northwest portion of the Site along the northern side of the downstream section of the Tin Mill Canal (Figure 2). The area was originally open water that was closed off when the canal construction was completed around 1970. The impoundment was subsequently filled with various materials that included in part non-hazardous wastes until the mid-1980s. The area is now predominantly characterized by dense surface vegetation (Phragmites reed beds). Existing habitat is not conducive to large wildlife populations and this characteristic is likely to be considered in assessing the potential need for corrective action.

Specific areas of the impoundment were used for the storage/placement of TMC dredge materials in areas historically noted as containment areas or TMC impoundments. The containment areas/impoundments have been identified as previously located on the southern edge of Humphrey Impoundment. Collectively these areas are approximately 4 acres in size (Figure 2).

Analytical results obtained from samples collected during site investigation and ecological risk assessment activities for the Humphrey Impoundment indicate limited potential for off-site groundwater impacts and low to negligible risk to on-site ecological receptors. Impacts to groundwater by the VOCs and SVOCs are confined to the area adjacent to the Tin Mill Canal within the shallow and intermediate groundwater zones. Concentrations of VOCs and SVOCs along the shoreline west of Humphrey Impoundment were below or approaching their respective laboratory reporting limits in the shallow intermediate and lower groundwater zones.

A limited presence of metals including lead, vanadium, thallium and chromium are present in the shallow and intermediate groundwater associated with the Humphrey Impoundment. Diffuse metals are also present in the shallow surface materials. The chromium, lead, thallium and vanadium concentrations in groundwater decrease with depth to the lower zone. The presence of metals detected in the lower groundwater zones in this area may be related to baseline levels of metals that are present in the natural soils at the Facility and not associated with Site activities.
4.2.4 Greys Landfill Area

The Greys Landfill Area includes the area occupied by Greys Landfill and areas to the north and east of Greys Landfill bounded by the Peninsula Expressway that include approximately 80 acres (area identified as County Lands Parcel 1A, Figure 3). These areas have been shown to have impacts from historical waste management practices. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) are present in surface soil, subsurface soil and in groundwater. Analytical results obtained from samples collected during site investigation activities indicate that impact to the groundwater by VOCs and SVOCs is confined to the shallow groundwater zone near the northern portion of the Greys Landfill. Concentrations of VOC and SVOC analytes in the shallow zone show a decreasing horizontal trend away from the source area and have been defined to be below or approaching laboratory limits in all directions. In vertical extent, VOC and SVOC analytes in the intermediate groundwater zone were not detected or were detected at values approaching the laboratory limits. A limited presence of metals including arsenic and thallium are present in the shallow and intermediate groundwater. These analytes appear to be confined to the area along the northern border of the Greys Landfill. The measured concentrations are within low μg/L ranges.

4.3 Interim Measures

4.3.1 Rod and Wire Mill Area

Interim Measures are currently underway at the former Rod and Wire Mill Area as described below and shown on Figure 4:

- Institutional controls for soils have been established to provide a “Restricted Work Area” to control the exposure of on-site workers to soils in the Former Sludge Bin Storage Area.
- A groundwater monitoring network has been installed including the use of 31 wells for monitoring the performance of the groundwater pump and treat system. This monitoring network is used to collect water level and groundwater quality data.
- A groundwater pump and treat system is operated and maintained consisting of two intermediate depth zone recovery wells (RW10-PZM020 and RW15-PZM020) that operate at a rate of between 5.0 and 12.0 gallons per minute (gpm). The expected normal operating rate for the treatment plant was set at a combined rate of 8.0 to 12.0 gpm with a maximum design flow of 25 gpm. Recovered groundwater is transported via a pipeline to the Humphreys Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant (HCWWTP) for subsequent treatment and discharge in accordance with the NPDES permit requirements for the Facility.
- Average cadmium and zinc concentrations measured in the groundwater recovery wells in 2012:

  RW10
  Cd = 12 ppm (~142 lbs for the year mass recovered)
Zn = 470 ppm (~5,805 lbs for the year mass recovered)
Cd = 3.3 ppm (~41 lbs for the year mass recovered)
Zn = 51 ppm (~637 lbs for the year mass recovered)

4.3.2 Coke Oven Area

Interim measures (IMs) have been developed to address identified environmental conditions at the Coke Oven Area (COA). Six IM "Cells" have been identified at the COA as described below and shown on Figure 1:

- Cell 1: Prototype Air-Sparge/Soil Vapor Extraction (AS/SVE) System in the Former Benzol Processing Area
- Cell 2: AS/SVE and Groundwater Extraction System in Former Coal Storage Area
- Cell 3: AS/SVE System in “Cove” Area
- Cell 4: In-Situ Anaerobic Bio-treatment Area in Coal Tar Area
- Cell 5: Groundwater Extraction at the Turning Basin Area
- Cell 6: LNAPL Recovery at the Former Benzol Processing Area

As of December 31, 2013, Cells 1, 3, and 6 are operational. Design work was completed on the IM remediation systems for Cell 2 and Cell 5 and submitted for approval on August 6, 2013. Approval for both systems was received from EPA on September 10, 2013. As part of this approval, the bio-treatment process at Cell 4 has been discontinued and a combined Cell4/Cell5 remediation design has been approved. Further details of the IM systems are as follows:

4.3.2.1 Cell 1: Prototype AS/SVE System in the Former Benzol Processing Area

Cell 1 consists of an AS/SVE system coupled with vapor destruction via an electric catalytic oxidation (CATOX) unit. In total, Cell 1 has destroyed approximately 11,903 pounds of recovered hydrocarbons since operational startup in August 2010. A decreasing total volatile organic compound (VOC) concentration trend is documented at the groundwater wells monitored for system performance in this location.

4.3.2.2 Cell 2: AS/SVE and Groundwater System in Former Coal Storage Area

Design work was completed in 2013 on the IM remediation systems for Cell 2 and submitted for approval on August 6, 2013. Approval for the Cell 2 system was received from EPA on September 10, 2013. The Cell 2 system includes: 1) groundwater extraction from selected wells installed into the semi-confined intermediate sand unit below the slag groundwater zone, 2) treatment of that recovered groundwater, 3) re-injection of the treated groundwater, and 4) AS/SVE in the slag groundwater zone. The intermediate groundwater sand unit ranges from approximately 20 feet below ground surface to approximately 40 to 45 feet below ground surface. The remediation design for this zone is to operate a pump and treat groundwater system that utilizes a low profile air stripper and a CATOX unit to destroy all VOC vapors generated.
prior to exhausting to the atmosphere. The remediation design for the shallow groundwater zone is to operate an AS/SVE system, recover stripped VOCs, and destroy those captured VOCs in a CATOX unit prior to the air stream being released to the atmosphere.

4.3.2.3 Cell 3: AS/SVE System in the “Cove” Area

Cell 3 consists of an AS/SVE system coupled with vapor destruction via an electric CATOX unit. In total, Cell 3 has destroyed approximately 1,352.4 pounds of recovered hydrocarbons since system startup on June 24, 2011. Since system startup, a generally decreasing VOC concentration trend in groundwater is documented for some of the monitoring wells. The trends for these monitoring wells will continue to be monitored and assessed during system operation in future months.

4.3.2.4 Cell 4: In-Situ Anaerobic Bio-treatment Area

The in-situ anaerobic bio-treatment system at Cell 4 has been discontinued as of the end of third quarter 2013. The treatment area at Cell 4 has been incorporated into the design of Cell 5, which will be installed in the first quarter 2014.

4.3.2.5 Cell 4/5: Groundwater Extraction at the Turning Basin Area

Design work was completed in 2013 on the IM remediation systems for Cell 4/5 and submitted for approval on August 6, 2013. Approval for the Cell 4/5 system was received from EPA on September 10, 2013. The Cell 4/5 system includes a remediation design that addresses a shallow groundwater contamination source area (Cell 4) and the area between the source and the shoreline (Cell 5) at the Turning Basin area. The remediation system involves using high vacuum extraction points from which both soil vapor and groundwater will be recovered and sent through a low profile air stripper in an effort to promote the volatilization of naphthalene and other dissolved volatile organic compounds (VOCs). All recovered soil vapor and generated off-gas would be sent through VGAC vessels prior to being discharged to the atmosphere. The treated groundwater would be sent to re-injection trenches located up-gradient of the plume. In addition to providing a “flushing” effect across the source area, the introduction of treated water will eventually help alter the water chemistry inside the source area to a point where bio-augmentation efforts may be a viable in-situ treatment option in the future.

4.3.2.6 Cell 6: LNAPL Extraction at the Former Benzol Processing Area

The Cell 6 LNAPL monitoring and recovery system operated during 2013. An estimated 1971 gallons (14,450 pounds) of LNAPL were recovered during 2013, bringing the total recovered LNAPL to 10,346 gallons (75,802 pounds) as of December 31, 2013. The existing LNAPL recovery systems will be operated in 2014 with periodic adjustments to the pumps and other components to maximize product recovery.
5 CONCEPTUAL CLEANUP AND RESPONSE PLAN

5.1 General Approach

This section presents conceptual cleanup and response actions that are anticipated to support the renewal of the Sparrows Point Site with redevelopment comprised solely of commercial/industrial activity. The Site has been previously used for steel manufacturing further regulated as a single parcel by a 1997 Consent Decree that covers the entire Site. Efforts will be completed to return the entire Site to “market ready” conditions and to complete response actions for select areas of the Site in an effort to return these areas to productive use.

Site-wide institutional and legal controls will be established and integrated within the response actions. These controls are anticipated to include, but will not necessarily be limited to, the following:

- Deed restriction for commercial/industrial site use only, no portion of the Site will be used for agricultural, recreational or residential purposes
- Deed restriction on groundwater use, no subsurface water or groundwater will be extracted from aquifers for any purpose
- Development and implementation of soil/materials management plans for remedial and redevelopment activities
- Where necessary, restriction on development/reuse or use of vapor intrusion control technologies for occupied buildings

5.2 Closure Approach

Significant environmental work has been conducted by former owner/operators under the Consent Decree and the data reveals that the majority of the Site is not subject to future remedial efforts under the Consent Decree. Regulatory obligations for remediation, closure and pathway exclusion of applicable areas of the Site that will also support market ready redevelopment are currently being clarified with the EPA and the MDE. These obligations have been defined as market ready closure. It is contemplated that approximately 2400 acres of the Site will be removed from the jurisdiction of the Consent Decree and be transferred to and subject to the process for obtaining closure (including financial assurance and public comment) for parcels in the Administrative Order on Consent entered into between the State and HRP. As such, separate remediation plans will be developed based upon the concepts outlined in this SCCP that will be intended to address regulatory obligations remaining under the Consent Decree and Prospetive Purchaser Agreement (Special Study Areas) and regulatory obligations for areas of the Site removed from the Consent Decree (State Administrative Order Areas) to obtain a market ready closure.

The contemplated Special Study Areas and State Administrative Order Areas are shown on Figure 5 and described further as follows:
- **Special Study Areas** - Areas of Facility that include SWMUs and AOCs listed in EPA’s August 12, 1993 RFA and as further clarified in the DCCR, including recognized environmental conditions identified in the Phase I report. Regulatory obligations and closure will be conducted in accordance with the terms of the Consent Decree. The Special Study Areas include work associated with site-wide groundwater closure obligations;

- **State Administrative Order Areas** - Areas of the Facility that include nominal SWMUs or AOCs listed in EPA’s August 12, 1993 RCRA Facility Assessment (RFA) as further clarified in the DCCR, and may include recognized environmental conditions identified as part of the Phase I process. This area is proposed to be removed from the definition of Facility or Site under the Consent Decree and regulatory obligations and closure will be conducted in accordance with the contemplated Administrative Order on Consent to be entered into between the State and HRP. It is understood, however, that although market ready closure will be achieved through the Administrative Order on Consent, a final closure must occur through EPA’s RCRA Statement of Basis process, through which a Certificate of Completeness will be issued.

Area specific conceptual cleanup actions will be implemented to complete the closure process required by the Consent Decree and the Prospective Purchaser Agreement and to complete a closure process that will be defined in the State Administrative Order. Corrective measures studies will be completed for areas that require response actions in accordance with the Consent Decree. The corrective measures study will define current conditions, completed remedial measures, and remaining environmental efforts such as monitoring and reporting for the Site such that closure approvals can be obtained for these areas. The State Administrative Order is anticipated to provide the framework for completion of response actions in general conformance with the Maryland Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP).

### 5.3 Area-Specific Conceptual Cleanup Actions

An inventory of SWMUs, AOCs and other non-RFA areas of the Site identified and described in the DCCR is presented in Table 1. Further analysis and segregation of this inventory by geographical location, previous operations and likelihood of further remediation required is shown in Table 2. Analysis is provided to define the likelihood of further remediation (or likelihood for no further action) and the associated rationale for further remediation (no further action). Recognized environmental conditions identified in the Phase I will also be considered and incorporated as appropriate. An outline of the conceptual cleanup plans including the information provided to the MDE and EPA on April 7, 2014 is shown on Table 3 and further described as follows.
5.3.1 Special Study Areas

Environmental Investigation Work Plans (EIWPs) will be developed for the Special Study Areas that will define the path forward for environmental investigations, remediation, pathway exclusion and closure. As necessary and appropriate, the EIWPs will be specifically integrated with proposed development plans for parcels within the Special Study Areas. Approval from the Agencies will be required to initiate work for the EWP. This effort will include interactions with the Agencies including presentation of the proposed environmental work and the proposed site development plan.

Cleanup actions designed to address defined remedial objectives may be implemented as interim measures that would then be subject to monitoring and institutional controls identified as part of a subsequent corrective measures study and the associated corrective measures implementation. The conceptual cleanup actions planned for Special Study Areas are further described as follows:

5.3.1.1 Coke Point Area

Contaminants of concern include dissolved benzene, naphthalene, and non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL). The primary exposure pathway for the Coke Point Area, which includes the COA and Coke Point Landfill, is the release of groundwater to surface water of the Patapsco River. Off-site migration of benzene through groundwater within the shallow or intermediate aquifers is also of concern. The natural groundwater hydraulic grade is fairly flat, with a radial flow pattern moving towards the shoreline. Potential risks may be present for this exposure pathway from direct toxicity of chemicals to benthic organisms, accumulation in foodwebs, surface water aquatic life and human health. Corrective actions will mitigate this exposure and utilize groundwater compliance concentrations derived from risk assessment work to be completed based in part on surface WQS for VOCs and SVOCs. Remediation criteria also include the removal of NAPL sources to mitigate continuing groundwater sources of contamination and actions to mitigate the potential for migration of contaminated groundwater to offsite areas as follows:

**Groundwater**

- Remove NAPL sources to mitigate ongoing contribution to groundwater contamination
- Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations
- Mitigate potential off-site migration of contaminated groundwater

**Soil Vapor**

- Prevent migration of soil vapor for occupied structures

Response actions for the Coke Point Area are anticipated to include: in-situ source area removal and treatment, mitigation of migration to adjoining surface water above acceptable
concentration(s), and mitigation of potential off-site migration. These actions will initially include the implementation or continued operations of currently approved interim measures as previously and recently agreed by the Seller. Additional response action(s) that might be found necessary to meet the remediation criteria or to allow for the termination of currently approved remediation measures will be undertaken in accordance with an EIWP subject to the approval of the Agencies. The EIWP will include details regarding necessary plans, investigative studies, or risk assessments to assist in developing the remediation objectives, compliance requirements, and define future monitoring obligations.

Elements of the EIWP for the Coke Point Area are anticipated to include delineation of contaminated areas through additional surface and subsurface investigations; evaluation of in-situ treatability parameters such as grain size and design hydrogeochemical data including pH and other aspects; groundwater flow modeling including fate and transport analysis; and ecological and human health risk assessments to assist in the development of remedial compliance requirements. A numerical model will be developed using the U.S. Geological Survey’s SEAWAT, or equivalent, to evaluate groundwater flow and solute transport under current and future conditions. SEAWAT is a computer program capable of simulating three-dimensional, variable-density groundwater flow and solute transport and is well suited for applications involving coastal discharge conditions. The model will be used to simulate mass transport over time to predict the extent of contaminant discharge along the peninsula’s boundary and to evaluate the influence of remediation measures. Biodegradation and attenuation will be considered and incorporated into the solute transport model, if appropriate. The output of the model will be used to estimate chemical concentrations associated with groundwater discharge in sediments, sediment pore water and in the surface water column in the near-shore area surrounding the Coke Point Area. It is anticipated that groundwater simulation(s) will be preceded by an early coordination meeting with the Agencies to provide input on key considerations such as:

1. Simulation Objective(s)
2. Scope and extent – horizontal and vertical
3. Data Collection – existing and new
4. Data Evaluation – existing and new
5. Hydraulic Properties of the System
6. Boundary Conditions
7. Initial Conditions
8. Transient or Steady-State
9. Code Selection and Implementation
10. Calibration
11. Execution and Presentation

12. Evaluation of Uncertainty

Key aspects of the groundwater simulation such as those listed above will be listed in the EIWP for review and approval by the agency following resolution of comments that may be received.

Supplemental human health and ecological risk assessments will be conducted to evaluate potential exposures on-site and in the near-shore areas where groundwater discharges. The on-site evaluation will assess risks to workers under current and future conditions. The off-site evaluation will focus on ecological and human health risks associated with the discharge of chemicals from groundwater to nearshore areas. The risk assessments will follow EPA guidance for human health and ecological risk assessment. Measured data for Site materials will be used to assess risks to future workers at the Site. Model-predicted concentrations of chemicals in sediment, sediment pore water, and the surface water column will be used as exposure concentrations in the risk assessment for the off-site nearshore areas. The results of the risk assessment will be used to refine necessary corrective measures and define compliance concentrations for non-point groundwater discharges. The results of the risk assessment will be used to refine necessary corrective measures and define compliance concentrations for non-point groundwater discharges based in part on surface water quality standards for VOCs and SVOCs including benzene (0.51 mg/L) and others to be determined. It is anticipated that risk assessment(s) will be preceded by an early coordination meeting with the Agencies to provide input on key considerations such as:

1. Applicable Guidance and Framework
2. Data Collection – existing and new
3. Data Evaluation – existing and new
4. Exposure Assessment – exposure assumptions
5. Toxicity Assessment – hierarchy of information sources
6. Risk Characterization – methods, individual substances, aggregate risks

Key aspects of risk assessment(s) such as those listed above will be listed in the EIWP for review and approval by the agency following resolution of comments that may be received.

Figure 1 presents a schematic plan for the Coke Point Area. Details of response actions anticipated for Coke Point are as follows:

5.3.1.1.1 NAPL Benzene Source Area (Cell 6)

Mechanical/physical recovery methods are planned to remove LNAPL occurring within the benzene NAPL source area. This will initially be provided by continued operation of the approved Cell 6 Interim Measure. The impacted area is estimated at 54,500 square feet based on the physical occurrence of LNAPL measured in monitoring wells from data taken in 2013.
Additional information will be provided to address the agency's concern that a separate area of LNAPL may be present as expressed on April 7, 2014. The existing recovery system will be expanded to address additional LNAPL-affected areas (if any) and include recovery trenches and additional fluid withdrawal systems to recover LNAPL that can be physically removed from the subsurface. A secondary in-situ polishing effort will then be implemented for this area that will likely involve the use of chemical additives such as oxidants to further mitigate the ongoing presence of LNAPL source materials. It is proposed that LNAPL recovery be terminated at a specific recovery Facility such as a recovery well when its rate of recovery during normal operation declines to less than two (2) gallons per month.

5.3.1.1.2 NAPL Naphthalene Source Area (Cell 4/5)

Mechanical/physical recovery methods are planned to remove NAPL occurring within the naphthalene NAPL source area. This will be provided initially by implementation of the recently approved Interim Measure design for this area. The impacted area is estimated at 31,000 square feet based on the physical occurrence of NAPL measured in monitoring wells from data taken in 2013. The recently approved Interim Measure system will be implemented, operated, and possibly expanded to include extraction with localized surfactant application to recover NAPL that can be physically removed from the subsurface. A secondary in-situ polishing effort will then be proposed for this area that will likely involve the use of chemical additives such as oxidants to further mitigate the ongoing presence of NAPL source materials.

It is anticipated that upgrades of approved Interim Measures such as this will be preceded by an early coordination meeting with the Agencies to provide input on key considerations such as:

1. Remedial Objectives
2. Data Collection and Evaluation
3. Bench Studies or Pilot Studies
4. Design Methodology

Key aspects of proposed upgrade(s) or change(s) such as those listed above will be listed in the EIWP for review and approval by the agency following resolution of comments that may be received.

5.3.1.1.3 Dissolved Groundwater Plumes (Cell 2)

Groundwater extraction, ex-situ treatment, reinjection, and AS/SVE is planned in this area. These elements will initially be provided by implementation of the recently approved Interim Measure design for this area. Later, a subsurface low permeability barrier is proposed to be installed along the northwest shoreline of Coke Point within which groundwater flow is being artificially influenced by off-site pumping. The barrier is anticipated to be constructed using slurry wall techniques approximately 2100 feet long and keyed into a silty clay horizon that occurs at a depth of 60 feet below the ground surface. Flow-through treatment is also being
considered. Recognizing the agency’s April 7, 2014 comment regarding the use of flow barriers, design of such a barrier will consider the results of groundwater simulation studies as earlier discussed in Section 5.3.1.1. Several alignment locations are possible parallel to the shoreline as shown on Figure 1. The subsurface barrier will be designed to reduce the hydraulic gradient imposed by the offsite pumping activity and prevent offsite migration of the dissolved plume in the unconfined groundwater zone in the slag and the intermediate groundwater zone.

Flow barrier design(s), if used, or other remediation efforts will be preceded by an early coordination meeting with the Agencies to provide input on key considerations such as:

1. Objectives
2. Material of construction
3. Method(s) of construction
4. Proposed permeability
5. Long-term compatibility
6. Data needs
7. Design methods
8. Testing and construction QA/QC
9. Long-term performance monitoring

5.3.1.1.4 Dissolved Groundwater Plumes (Cell 3)

Initial response action in this area will include continued operation and maintenance of the approved Interim Measure. Expanded corrective measures are anticipated to be proposed in the Cell 3 shoreline location to mitigate groundwater discharges from this shoreline area for which the design will be finalized subsequent to groundwater modeling and risk assessment work to be completed as part of the EIWP. Corrective actions are planned that will double or triple the size of the treatment area of the current Air Sparge/Soil Vapor Extraction system in this area.

5.3.1.1.5 Dissolved Groundwater Plumes (Cell 4/5)

The Cell 4/5 treatment system will be operated to mitigate shoreline impacts on the eastern side of Coke Point. Further investigation is anticipated outside and to the northeast of the remediation system at Cell 4/5 subsequent to startup of the system to define shoreline impacts, if any. In-situ treatment may be implemented in this area and will likely be a chemical oxidation application. The agency’s comment relative to in-situ treatment under elevated pH conditions will be addressed as part of the bench or pilot study process as earlier discussed.

This area will be subject to post-remediation obligations including the completion of a Corrective Measure Study that is expected to define implementation requirements for institutional controls and groundwater monitoring. Institutional controls may include the
requirement for vapor mitigation systems for occupied buildings in certain areas. Closure tasks for this area may include future groundwater monitoring requirements to confirm the adequacy of the remedial measures. Timeframe for completion of this work is estimated at 24 to 36 months as shown on Table 3.

5.3.1.2 Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area

Contaminants of concern in this area include metals, organics, or oil & grease affecting the sediment and banks of the Tin Mill Canal (TMC), and thus potentially the stormwater that continues to be conveyed by the TMC. Remediation will focus on the mitigation of future exposure pathways from contaminated sediment, impacts to stormwater conveyed by the canal, and elimination of contaminants from the aggregate TMC discharge requiring treatment at the HCWWTP as follows:

**Sediment**
- Prevent potential future direct exposure to contaminated sediments located within Tin Mill Canal

**Surface Water**
- Mitigate impacts to stormwater conveyed by Tin Mill Canal and eliminate need for ongoing treatment of stormwater at the HCWWTP

Figure 2 presents a schematic plan for the Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mill Area. Response actions being considered for the Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills Area are anticipated to include either removal and disposal of impacted sediments associated with the canal or isolation techniques with sediments remaining in place and the subsequent installation of acceptable isolation and channel stabilization materials. An EIWP will be necessary to support this work which will be submitted for approval by the Agencies. The EIWP will include details regarding necessary plans and investigative studies to define the area and volume of sediments to be removed, provide waste characterization of the materials for proper disposal and complete the channel stabilization design. Early coordination and agency input on considerations specific to this area will be solicited as discussed earlier.

Response actions being considered are further described as follows:

- Dredging and removal of sediment from the TMC – estimated amount to be removed - 7300’ x 40’ x 5’ (the 5’ being the thickness of sediment to be removed) = ~54,000 cu yds of material
- Disposal will require TCLP waste determinations
- Non-hazardous materials are planned to be acceptable for disposal at Greys Landfill
- Isolation of the sediments by covering the sediments with an engineered barrier that will allow the sediments to remain in-situ and mitigate future exposure of stormwater conveyed through the TMC;
This area will be subject to post-remediation obligations including the completion of a Corrective Measure Study that is expected to define implementation requirements for institutional controls and groundwater monitoring. Closure tasks for this area may include future NPDES surface water discharge requirements. Surface water discharge modeling may be appropriate and will necessarily be integrated with site development plans. Continuing stormwater discharges from the TMC will need to meet current and potential future surface water quality criteria associated with NPDES discharge permits for the Site to eliminate the need for ongoing treatment at HCWWTP. These criteria are anticipated to be focused on surface water quality standards for metals such as, but not limited to, copper (0.0061 mg/L), nickel (0.0082 mg/L) and zinc (0.081 mg/L). The quality of shallow groundwater discharging to the TMC is a consideration as commented by the agency on April 7, 2014 and will be specifically addressed in the EIWP. Timeframe for completion of this work is estimated at 18 to 24 months as shown on Table 3. Completion is anticipated to be documented in the Corrective Measures Implementation report.

5.3.1.3 Rod and Wire Mill Area

Contaminants of concern include primarily cadmium and zinc affecting surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater. The primary exposure pathways for the Rod and Wire Mill area include potential exposure to surface soil and the potential discharge of groundwater to surface water of Bear Creek. Groundwater, when the pump and treat system is not operating, has been shown to flow west-southwesterly across the impacted areas towards Bear Creek adjacent to the former Rod and Wire Mill. Potential risks may be present for this exposure pathway from direct toxicity of dissolved metals to benthic organisms, accumulation in foodwebs, surface water aquatic life and human health. Response actions will be implemented to mitigate this exposure and utilize groundwater compliance concentrations derived from risk assessment work to be completed based in part on surface water quality standards (WQS) for cadmium and zinc. Corrective actions will be completed to mitigate impacts and eliminate the requirement to operate and maintain existing interim measures (i.e. the pump and treat system). Remediation will focus on the mitigation of future exposure pathways from contaminated soil and groundwater as follows:

**Soil**

- Prevent potential future direct exposure to contaminated surface soil
- Mitigate future leaching to groundwater

**Groundwater**

- Mitigate potential for non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations and eliminate need for ongoing interim measure currently consisting of pumping and treatment of groundwater in this area

Response actions for the former Rod and Wire Mill Area are anticipated as follows:
• Removal of contaminated surface soils and installation of clean fill;
• In-situ soil stabilization if technically viable and necessary for the selected mitigation approach; and,
• Potential installation of a passive downgradient groundwater treatment system.

An EIWP will be necessary to support this work which will be submitted for approval by the Agencies. The EIWP will include details regarding necessary plans, investigative studies, and risk assessments to assist in developing the remediation objectives, compliance requirements, and future monitoring obligations. The agency’s comments relative to the implementation of in-situ stabilization on April 7, 2014 will also be addressed.

Site investigation and design work will include delineation of contaminated areas through additional surface and subsurface investigations, evaluation of in-situ treatability parameters such as grain size and design geochemical data, groundwater flow modeling including fate and transport analysis, and ecological and human health risk assessments to assist in the development of remedial compliance requirements. A numerical model based on the U.S. Geological Survey’s SEAWAT, or equivalent, is anticipated to evaluate groundwater flow and solute transport under current and future conditions. The model is anticipated to simulate mass transport over time to predict the extent of contaminant discharge along the peninsula’s boundary and to evaluate the influence of remediation measures. Biodegradation and attenuation will be considered and incorporated into the solute transport model, if appropriate. The output of the model is anticipated to estimate chemical concentrations associated with groundwater discharge in sediments, sediment pore water and in the surface water column in the near-shore area surrounding the former Rod and Wire Mill area. Early coordination and agency input on considerations such as bench or pilot studies and groundwater flow simulation will be solicited as previously discussed.

Supplemental human health and ecological risk assessments are anticipated to be conducted to evaluate potential exposures on-site and in the near-shore areas where groundwater discharges. The on-site evaluation will assess risks to workers under current and future conditions. The off-site evaluation will focus on ecological and human health risks associated with the discharge of chemicals from groundwater to near-shore areas. The risk assessments will follow EPA guidance for human health and ecological risk assessment to be agreed in advance. Measured data for Site materials will be used to assess risks to future workers at the Site. Model-predicted concentrations of chemicals in sediment, sediment pore water, and the surface water column will be used as exposure concentrations in the risk assessment for the off-site near-shore areas. The results of the risk assessment will be used to refine necessary corrective measures and define compliance concentrations for non-point groundwater discharges. This work will be focused on mitigating the potential for groundwater discharges to Bear Creek containing cadmium and zinc that exceed acceptable risk-based concentrations based in part on surface water quality standards for cadmium (0.008 mg/L) and zinc (0.0081 mg/L). Early coordination and agency input on
considerations specific to risk assessment implementation will be solicited as previously discussed.

Figure 4 presents a schematic plan for the Rod and Wire Mill Area. In-situ soil stabilization is under consideration for areas associated with the former sludge bin storage area and the east pond. In addition to consideration of the in-situ treatment process, it is anticipated that contaminated surface soils from depths of less than 3 feet at the former sludge bin storage will be removed and replaced with clean fill. Once soil remediation is complete, an area of approximately 3 acres will be protected with an engineered barrier, possibly comprised of a clean soil cover or approved equivalent.

An in-situ continuous permeable reactive barrier (PRB) or funnel and gate PRB system using BOF slag or zero valent iron (ZVI) material is under consideration to be installed downgradient and in parallel with the shoreline to mitigate the potential for non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations. Reactive media selection and PRB design are to be based on pilot testing and/or small-scale bench studies. These remedial options are included as a contingency to be finalized subsequent to groundwater modeling and risk assessment work to be completed as part of the remediation plan. Conceptual downgradient remedial options are also shown in plan view on Figure 4 and further described as follows:

OPTION #1: Continuous PRB
- ~600 ft long, ~3 ft wide, ~30 (to 40) ft deep approx. volume: 2,000CY @30' depth
- Comprised of BOF Slag and/or a ZVI material
- ~100 ft long, 3 ft wide, ~30 ft deep grout wall wings on each end, angled to ensure collection of impacted groundwater and force it through the PRB

OPTION 2: Funnel & Gate PRB System
- ~800 ft long, ~3 ft wide, ~40 to 50 feet deep
  - Funnel sections to be impermeable grout
  - Gate sections to be replaceable BOF Slag and/or a ZVI material

OPTION 3: Contractor-suggested alternative

This area will be subject to post-remediation obligations including the completion of a Corrective Measure Study that is expected to define implementation requirements for institutional controls and groundwater monitoring. Closure tasks for this area are anticipated to include future groundwater monitoring requirements to confirm the adequacy of the remedial measures. The Corrective Measures Implementation report is anticipated to document completion of this response action. Timeframe for completion of this work is estimated at 12 to 24 months.
5.3.1.4 Greys Landfill Area (County Lands)

Contaminants of concern in this area include VOCs or SVOCs affecting surface soil or groundwater. The primary exposure pathways for the Greys Landfill area are direct exposure to surface soil and the potential release of affected groundwater to surface water of Bear Creek. Groundwater has been shown to flow west-southwesterly across the impacted areas towards Bear Creek adjacent to Greys Landfill. Potential risks may be present for this exposure pathway from direct toxicity of chemicals to benthic organisms, accumulation in foodwebs, surface water aquatic life and human health. Final corrective action will utilize groundwater compliance concentrations derived from risk assessment work to be completed using in part surface water quality standards (WQS) for VOCs and SVOCs. Remediation will also include mitigation of potential future exposure to contaminated media as follows:

**Soil**
- Prevent future direct exposure to contaminated surface soil
- Mitigate future leaching to groundwater

**Groundwater**
- Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations

**Soil Vapor**
- Prevent migration of soil vapor into occupied structures

Response actions for the Greys Landfill area are anticipated to include in-situ source treatment for VOCs and SVOCs involving either chemical and/or biological methods as necessary to mitigate groundwater discharge concerns and placement of cover material. An EIWP will be necessary to support this work which will be submitted for approval by the Agencies. The EIWP will include details regarding necessary plans, investigative studies and risk assessments to assist in developing the remediation objectives, compliance requirements and future monitoring obligations. Site investigation and design work will include delineation of contaminated areas through additional surface and subsurface investigations, evaluation of in-situ treatability parameters such as grain size and design geochemical data, groundwater flow modeling including fate and transport analysis, and ecological and human health risk assessments to assist in the development of remedial compliance requirements to be implemented as previously discussed.

Information is not yet available to define areas requiring in-situ source treatment. Cover material is anticipated to be placed over an area approximately 5 to 10 acres in size to mitigate potential future exposure to surface soil. Work is anticipated to refine data associated with the current conditions of this area and utilize modeling and risk assessment methods to demonstrate compliance.
This area will be subject to post-remediation obligations including the completion of a Corrective Measure Study that is expected to define implementation requirements for institutional controls and groundwater monitoring. Closure tasks for this area may include future groundwater monitoring requirements to confirm the adequacy of the remedial measures. The Corrective Measures Implementation report is anticipated to document completion of this response action. Timeframe for completion of this work is estimated at 12 to 24 months.

5.3.1.5 Humphrey Impoundment Area

Contaminants of concern are anticipated to include metals, organics, or oil & grease affecting surface material or groundwater. Potential exposure pathways and associated requirements for corrective action at the Humphrey Impoundment Area are planned to be evaluated through the preparation of a risk assessment. A Baseline Ecological Risk Assessment has been completed and submitted for the area that concluded low to negligible risk to on-site ecological receptors. Based on this work, response action associated with current ecological conditions is not anticipated to be required. Development for occupied use is not expected in this area which will minimize future exposure pathways. Potential risks may be present from direct toxicity of surface metals to wildlife receptors, accumulation in foodwebs, surface water aquatic life, and human health. Remedial alternatives for Humphrey Impoundment will include an evaluation as to whether the existing vegetative cover (Phragmites) is adequate for the future, or whether a soil or other cover will be needed long-term to mitigate future leaching to groundwater and the potential for non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.

Response actions for the Humphrey Impoundment Area are anticipated to include integrated activities associated with completion and approval of a risk assessment for the area and site development plans. Institutional controls are planned to limit future direct contact exposure pathways. An EIWIP will be necessary to support this work which will be submitted for approval by the Agencies. The EIWIP will include details regarding necessary plans, investigative studies and risk assessments to assist in developing the remediation objectives, compliance requirements and future monitoring obligations as previously discussed.

Exploration, delineation, and possible corrective action for the former TMC containment areas may also be required. These areas are approximately 4 acres in size and located approximately as shown on Figure 2. Information is not yet available to assess the remedial requirements for these areas. Work is planned to refine data associated with the current conditions of the impoundment and investigate the potential presence of conditions requiring response action associated with the former TMC containment areas.

The Humphrey Impoundment Area will be subject to post-remediation obligations including the completion of a Corrective Measure Study that is expected to define implementation requirements for institutional controls and groundwater monitoring. Closure tasks for this area
may include future groundwater monitoring requirements to confirm the adequacy of the remedial measures. Timeframe for completion of this work is estimated at 12 to 18 months.

5.3.1.6 Site Wide Groundwater

Information will be submitted to the Agencies to evaluate groundwater conditions and potential groundwater impacts on a site-wide basis. The work will include data, assessments, and corrective actions completed for Special Study Areas of the Site as well as sufficient information to assess potential groundwater impacts from areas planned to be removed from the Consent Decree.

The primary exposure pathway of the Site is the release of impacted groundwater to surrounding surface waters. Deed restrictions are planned to be put in place to restrict the extraction of shallow groundwater for any purposes other than remedial activities. Because of several natural and site specific factors such as brackish and other Site related conditions, shallow groundwater is not an actual or reasonably expected source of drinking water. The Sparrows Point peninsula exists downgradient from other land areas and potential users of shallow groundwater. The natural groundwater hydraulic grade of the peninsula is fairly flat, with a radial flow pattern moving towards the shoreline. Potential risks in surface water may be present for this exposure pathway from direct toxicity of chemicals to benthic organisms, accumulation in foodwebs, surface water aquatic life and human health. Corrective actions for specific areas described previously will be designed to mitigate this exposure and utilize groundwater compliance concentrations derived from risk assessment work to be completed based in part on surface water quality standards (WQS).

It is anticipated that the assessment of site-wide groundwater will be preceded by an early coordination meeting with the agencies to provide input on key considerations such as:

1. Applicable Guidance and Framework
2. Groundwater Remedy Decision Framework, point of compliance and cleanup goals
3. Technical impracticability of groundwater restoration
4. Alternate Remedy Selection
5. Data Collection Requirements – Phase I and II Areas and Consent Decree Areas
6. Data Evaluation Requirements

5.3.2 State Administrative Order Area

Isolated SWMUs, AOCs, and non-RFAs concerns have been identified in State Administrative Order Area including the Hot Mill Area, Primary Rolling Mills Area, Furnace Areas and in general areas of the Site as shown in Table 2. The Phase I also documented the presence of recognized environmental conditions that require further investigation. Work is planned to
refine data associated with the current conditions of these SWMUs, AOCs non-RFA areas and recognized environmental conditions and investigate the potential presence of unacceptable conditions.

Information will be submitted to the Agencies to request certifications for completion of work for a parcel with no further action. Additional investigation, including equivalent updated Phase I and/or focused Phase II investigations will be conducted as required for specific parcels to be developed to provide supporting information to evaluate the potential of environmental impacts. This information will include:

- A specific description of the size and location of the parcel to be removed
- The name of the prospective purchaser/tenant and intended use of parcel (if applicable)
- A summary of the parcel history and current conditions. The summary will include applicable elements of ASTM E 1527-13 Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments (Phase I)
- Results of Phase II activities characterizing the parcel and its specific recognized environmental conditions (if any)

Additional data will be submitted specific to SWMUs, AOCs, non-RFA areas, or recognized environmental conditions that have been identified in the parcel. The path forward for certification for completion of work with no further action or remediation and continuing regulation including a defined closure process will be determined based on review of the submitted information.

As discussed with the agencies on April 7, 2014. assessment, further investigation, or response action(s) in the State Administrative Order Area is proposed to be undertaken consistent with the general and technical requirements of the Maryland VCP as set forth at Section 7-506 of the Environment Article of the Maryland Code, as may be supplemented or modified by the Administrative Order on Consent and Covenant Not To Sue As site wide groundwater will be considered as a Special Study Area, investigations and subsequent response actions, if necessary, are anticipated to be focused on soil conditions of the parcel. Work is planned to refine data associated with the current soil conditions of the parcel and investigate the potential presence of unacceptable conditions. Response actions, if required, will be supported by necessary plans, investigative studies and risk assessments.

Technical and other requirements of the VCP are understood to include but not be limited to the following:

1. Allowable Land Use Controls
2. Environmental Site Assessments
3. Cleanup Criteria Selection
4. No Further Requirements Determination(s)
5. Response Action Plan(s)

6. Issuance of Certificate of Completion

7. Oil Contaminated Sites

The time frame for completing the investigation of parcels within State Administrative Areas is anticipated to reflect the incremental renewal of the overall site and is therefore proposed to allow for flexibility. The eligibility for certifications for completion of work is anticipated to include parcels that demonstrate the absence of recognized environmental conditions that will be sufficiently defined by the Phase I process. Timeframe to complete the request for no further action for these areas is estimated at three to six months.

If MDE determines that development of a response action plan (RAP) is necessary, a proposed RAP will be submitted for approval, including a schedule for implementation and completion of the plan. Following completion of the RAP to the satisfaction of MDE, a No Further Requirements Determination (NFRD) or Certificate of Completion (COC) will be issued. Once initiated for a specific parcel, it is proposed that the investigative and remedial work (if necessary) will generally be completed within 12 to 24 months.

Submittal of specific parcel(s) for consideration under this process will include designation of its planned future use. A NFRD or COC issued for the parcel will be contingent upon its future use. Currently anticipated future use of parcels at the site includes:

- Tier 2B (Commercial Restricted); or,
- Tier 3B (Industrial Restricted).
6 COMPLIANCE PLAN FOR SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS

6.1 Compliance Actions

Actions will be undertaken to provide operational compliance, implement closure in accordance with applicable closure plans developed for the landfills and provide post-closure care requirements for Coke Point and Greys Landfills. Plans are not to operate Coke Point Landfill. Specific objectives include the following:

**Coke Point Landfill**

- Complete closure and post-closure care compliance obligations, including the development of closure plans to be approved by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE);

**Greys Landfill**

- Complete operational, closure and post-closure care compliance obligations, including the completion of closure plans that have been approved by MDE;

6.2 Coke Point Landfill

Coke Point Landfill is not planned to be used including further management of non-hazardous waste materials. Waste materials have not been received at this landfill since the change in ownership from RG Steel Sparrows Point LLC to Sparrows Point LLC. Sparrows Point LLC does not intend to use the Coke Point Landfill Facility to manage waste materials and has also further informed other entities operating at the Sparrows Point Site that waste materials are not to be managed at this landfill.

Coke Point Landfill will continue to be used for slag storage and tenant scrap metal recycling and iron bearing material recovery operations until mid-year 2014. The future use of Coke Point Landfill, including the schedule for closure, will also be contingent upon the ongoing interest by the Maryland Port Administration to acquire the parcel for potential dredged material containment Facility use.

Work will be completed to develop final grading and closure plans for the Facility for submittal to the appropriate regulatory authorities. Once approved, the landfill will be closed in accordance with the closure plan requirements.

The requirements for post-closure care include the following obligations: 1) semi-annual groundwater monitoring, analysis and reporting, 2) semi-annual landfill inspection and reporting, and 3) landfill surface and closure cap maintenance. The post-closure care period has been estimated at ten years.
6.3 Greys Landfill

Greys Landfill is planned to be operated for the management of non-hazardous waste materials generated at Sparrows Point associated with the operation of the wastewater treatment plant, demolition activities and response actions until the remaining capacity has been utilized. Greys Landfill has an approved operating and closure plan that defines the closure elevation of the landfill which limits the remaining capacity.

Compliance obligations including semi-annual groundwater monitoring and reporting and operating practices will continue during this time period. Once final elevations are achieved at the landfill, the landfill will be closed in accordance with the approved closure plan requirements.

The requirements for post-closure care include the following obligations: 1) semi-annual groundwater monitoring, analysis and reporting, 2) semi-annual landfill inspection and reporting, and 3) landfill surface and closure cap maintenance. The post-closure care period has been estimated at ten years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWMU AOC #</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Release Potential Defined by RFA</th>
<th>DCCCR Recommended Action</th>
<th>Basis For DCCCR Recommendation</th>
<th>Investigation Area (Special Study Area or Outlier Area)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>TMC Discharge Pipes</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>TMC Oil Solvent Device</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TMC Dredging Containment</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Undifferentiated with SWMU 6, include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Humpfrey Impoundment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>TMC Waste Oil Storage Tanks</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 investigation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>TMC Impoundments</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Undifferentiated with SWMU 4, include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Humpfrey Impoundment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Recent TMC Embankment</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>TMC Brit Stumper Pit</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Former TMC Oil Collection</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>HCCWTP Soil Passing Basins</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>HCCWTP Thickener</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HCCWTP Aerators</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>HCCWTP Wastewater Treatment</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>HCCWTP Spent Water Liquor</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Part of treatment process, discharge is beneficially reused</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>HCCWTP Centrifuges</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>HCCWTP Sludge Collection</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>HCCWTP Old Sludge Tank</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Reduction</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Neutralizer</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Flocculation</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Thickeners</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Sand</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Filtering</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Sludge Box</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Piping</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Filter</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Non-releasing unit, wastes managed within closed treatment system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Rod Mill Remediation Area</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Continued Operation of IM</td>
<td>IM groundwater remediation</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Northwest Pond</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Continued Operation of IM</td>
<td>IM groundwater remediation</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>East Pond</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Continued Operation of IM</td>
<td>IM groundwater remediation</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Rod Mill Equalization Tank</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Continued Operation of IM</td>
<td>IM groundwater remediation</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Reactor</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Neutralizer</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Thickener</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Sand</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Piping</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Filter</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Sludge</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Trenches</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Managed groundwater treatment process</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Rod Mill Scale Pit</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>No known releases, managed non-hazardous waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Rod Mill Cleaning House</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Rod Mill Former Waste TCE</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Rod Mill Former Waste Oil</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Rod Mill Chlorinated Storage</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Rod Mill Cooling Tower</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>No known releases, managed non-hazardous waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Rod Mill Trench/Sump</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Potential for environmental release</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Various 56-gallon</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Pipe Mill OWater Separation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMU AOC #</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Release Potential Defined by RFA</td>
<td>DCCR Recommended Action</td>
<td>Basis For DCCR Recommendation</td>
<td>Investigation Area (Special Study Area or Outlier Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Former Zinc, Ammonium Chloride, Budge Sludge Storage Area</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Inactive unit, one release with subsequent soil remediation</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Trenches/Sumps</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Focused closure-oriented project</td>
<td>Rod &amp; Wire Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Billet Prep Waste Oil Storage Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Billet Prep Rinewater Collection Tanks</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Billet Prep Baghouse Collectors</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Billet Prep Trenches and Blind Sumps</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Managed non-hazardous material</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Coating Lines Blind Sumps</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Quencher</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Scrubber</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Waste Oil</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Piping</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Tandem Mill Trench System</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Empty Drum Storage Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Waste Oil Shaping Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Basins</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Condition of basins</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Waste Oil Tank</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 62 evaluation</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Oil Skimmer System</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 62 evaluation</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Cooling Tower</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Waste Oil Collection Point</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Pickling Area</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Satellite Accumulation Area</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Former SLP Tank Site</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Pori Oil/Water Separator</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 73 evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Pori Holding Tank</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 73 evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Pori Lagoon</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Condition of lagoon</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Green Pellet Plant Thickeners</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Scrubbers Open Hearth Furnace #2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>Caster Dust Baghouse Storage Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Desulfurizer Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>Dealkalizer Collection Dumps</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>Slimmer Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Skimmer Baghouse Collection Dumps</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Former Open Hearth #5 Site</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Former Open Hearth #4 Site</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Caster Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Tin Mill Trenches/Sumps</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Tin Mill Abatement System</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>Tin Mill Sump (Acid Monitoring)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>Tin Mill Waste Oil Satellite Accumulation Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>Halogen Lines Treatment/Surplus</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Include in SWMU 1 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>Halogen Lines Oil Skimmer</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Halogen Lines Waste Oil Platte Liquor Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Halogen Lines Waste Refining Solution Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Rolling Plate Mill Scales Plt Tapping</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>No known releases, managed non-hazardous waste</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Greys Laritelli</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Consent decr &quot;special study area&quot;</td>
<td>Greys Landfill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Greys Tar Decanter Cell</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Unit contained within SWMU 93</td>
<td>Greys Landfill Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Greys Trash Transfer Station</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMU/AOC #</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Release Potential Defined by RFA</td>
<td>DCCR Recommended Action</td>
<td>Basis For DCCR Recommendation</td>
<td>Investigation Area (Special Study Area or Outlier Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>Sinter Plant Thickener</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Sinter Plant High Density Bogus (AODX) Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Sinter Plant Centrifuge Waste Pile</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>Sinter Plant Drum Separator</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Sinter Plant Lime Grill Box</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Sinter Plant SLP Tanks</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Battery 12 Trash Collection Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Battery 11 and 12 Quench Pit</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Battery A Trash Collection Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Battery A Waste Oil Accumulation</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Field observation of 1991 VSSI</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Former 1710 Batteries</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Coke Oven Gas Main</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Mechanical Shop Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Field observation of 1991 VSSI</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>AKU Tar Decanter Batch Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>AKU Tar Decanter Buckets</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>Battery A Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>B CCP Tar Storage Tank Containment Areas</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>B CCP Underground Weak Ammonia Pipeline</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Containment Pad</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Tanks</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Clarifier Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>B CCP Lime Collection Bin</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Stills</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Saturator</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Surge Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>B CCP Wash Oil Coolers (Stripped)</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>B CCP Wash Oil Coolers (Shell &amp; Tube)</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>B CCP Wash Oil Decanters</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>B CCP Wastewater Holding Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>B CCP Wash Oil Circulating Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>B CCP Scrubbers</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>B CCP Waste Oil Bin</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>B CCP API Light Oil Separators</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>B CCP Muck Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>B CCP Million Gallon Weak Ammonia Tank</td>
<td>CV (U)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Wastewater Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant 1 MMG Wastewater Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Depurators</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Aeration Basins</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Clarifiers</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>A CCP Sulfuric Acid Tank Containment</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>A CCP Cyanide Stripper/Stack</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>A CCP Oil/Water Separator</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>139</td>
<td>A CCP Former Tar Decanters</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>A CCP Acid Saturator Tanks</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>141</td>
<td>A CCP Overflow Skimmer Box</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>A CCP Wash Oil Decanters</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143</td>
<td>A CCP Scrubbers</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>A CCP Wastewater Holding Tank</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>145</td>
<td>A CCP Wash Oil Holding Tank</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
<td>A CCP Sump</td>
<td>CV (M)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMU/AOC #</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Release Potential Defined by RFA</td>
<td>DCCR Recommended Action</td>
<td>Basis For DCCR Recommendation</td>
<td>Investigation Area (Special Study Area or Outlier Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>B1 Oilwater Separator</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148</td>
<td>B1 Tank Sludge Staging Area</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>B1 Tank Sludge Accumulation Area</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>B1 Lott Plant Catalyst Drum Station</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>B1 Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>152</td>
<td>B1 Lott Drum Staging Area</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153</td>
<td>B1 Benzene Truck Loading Area</td>
<td>CV (L)</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>154</td>
<td>Histories Dust Catcher</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>H Furnace Westerester Thickener</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>156</td>
<td>J Furnace Precipitator</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157</td>
<td>J Furnace Water Washer</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>J Furnace Scrubber</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>159</td>
<td>J Furnace Dust Catcher</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>Former J Furnace Thickener</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>161</td>
<td>A-G &amp; K Hester Furnaces</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>162</td>
<td>L Furnace Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>163</td>
<td>L Furnace Thickener</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164</td>
<td>L Furnace Gas Scrubbers</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>L Furnace slag Piles</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>No known releases, managed non-hazardous waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>166</td>
<td>R1W Pipeline</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>R1W Sump (2)</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>R1W Holding Tank</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>R1W Clarifying Tank</td>
<td>TP</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>Pilot Plant Slurry Mixing Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>171</td>
<td>Pilot Plant Holding Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172</td>
<td>Pilot Plant Hydrocyclone</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173</td>
<td>BOF Scrubbers</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>174</td>
<td>BOF Thickener</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>BOF Sand Collection Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>176</td>
<td>BOF Reclamation Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>177</td>
<td>BOF Mixing Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>178</td>
<td>BOF Recycle Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>179</td>
<td>BOF Belt Press Station</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>BOF Reactor Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>181</td>
<td>BOF Separator</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>182</td>
<td>Former Tar Tanks at Fuel Station</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>183</td>
<td>Ball Mill Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>Ball Mill Waste Oil/Tar Dumper</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>Tar Decanter Buggies</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186</td>
<td>Tar Storage Box Area</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>187</td>
<td>Langerhalte Wastewater Treatment Tank</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>188</td>
<td>Former Sulfur Recovery Plant</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>189</td>
<td>Nail Mill Drum Storage Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>Humphrey Impoundment Area</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Humphrey Impoundment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>Coke Point Landfill</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Consent Decree &quot;Special Study Area&quot;</td>
<td>Coke Point Landfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192</td>
<td>Coke Oven Sweepings Pile</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Contained within SWMU 191</td>
<td>Coke Point Landfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>193</td>
<td>Regulated Storage Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>194</td>
<td>Waste Oil Stabilization/Receiving Area</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Field observation of 1991 VSI</td>
<td>Outlier (Primary Rolling Mills Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195</td>
<td>Former ERS Oil Wastewater Tank</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Unknown impacts from previous activities</td>
<td>Outlier (Open Hearth Furnace Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>196</td>
<td>Stormwater/Sewer System</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Storm-water and Industrial wastewater combined at NPDES permitted discharge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197</td>
<td>Mason's Garage Drums</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>198</td>
<td>Spent Pulp Liquor Sump and Trench System</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, included in SWMU 1 Investigation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canals/Finishing Mills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>199</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Oil/Water Separator</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWMU/AOC #</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Release Potential Defined by RFA</td>
<td>DCCR Recommended Action</td>
<td>Basis For DCCR Recommendation</td>
<td>Investigation Area (Special Study Area or Outlier Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Depurator Oil Storage Tanks</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Coke Battery Repair Shop Baghouse</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>BOF Treatment Plant Pipeline</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Plant Scour Collection Chamber</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Not observed to be releasing in RFA Report</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Former 3/2/1991 PCB Spill Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident occurred indoors, low release potential</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Former 1988 PCB Spill Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident occurred indoors, low release potential</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Former ERK PCB Spill Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident, soil remediation met EPA guidelines for PCBs</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Former PCB Spill Area (Sheet Mill)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident occurred indoors, low release potential</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6 PCB Transformers</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>PCB oil released by mineral oil 7/27/85</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Former Slag Cut Off Spill Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>No current evidence of impact</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Former Diesel Fuel Spill Area (Slag Haul Road)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Soil remediation approved by MDE</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Mason’s Garage Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>UST closure/ completion completed but no confirmatory sampling</td>
<td>Outlier (SLF Furnace Area)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Former 1991 Acid Leak Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident discharged to TMC</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>Acid Tanks</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Condition of tanks, and known releases</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal and Finishing Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>Truck Dock # 9’s Former Diesel Spill &amp; Diesel Fuel UST Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident, sufficient UST closure indicated no soil contamination</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Benzene/Toluene Process Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>A Coal Chemicals Plant Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bio-Oxidation Ferric Chloride Spill Site</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident of non-hazardous constituent</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>Hydraulic Oil Storage Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Unit managed non-hazardous, water-based hydraulic oil</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Former Naphthalene Plant Tank &amp; Pit</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Former unit with Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Former Diesel Fuel UST Area (Slag Haul Road)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>UST removal and closure approved by MDE</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>Underground Week Ammonia Pipeline Spill Field</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>History of spills, within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Former Chronic Acid Spill Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>One time incident primarily indoors with limited discharge to the TMC</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Former Diesel Fuel UST (Cold Sheet Mill)</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>UST removed, confirmatory soil sample completed no contamination</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>B CCP Process Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Within Consent Decree “Special Study Area”</td>
<td>Coke Oven Areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Former Spent Pickle Liquor Tank</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Area same as SWMU #70 (non-releasing unit)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Spent Pickle Liquor Tank</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Discharges to TMC via SWMU 2, included in SWMU 2 Evaluation</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal and Finishing Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>Unknown Abouground Tank</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Focused closure-oriented project</td>
<td>Rod &amp; Wire Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Salientium Testing Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>Former operations located indoors, low release potential</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Acid Tank</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Focused closure-oriented project</td>
<td>Rod &amp; Wire Mill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Pipe Mill Process Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>Rod and Wire Process Area</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>No Further Action</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Lands</td>
<td></td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Per DCCR, added as an AOC for evaluation</td>
<td>Grays Landfill Site (County Lands Parcel 6A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Central Supply Fuel Storage Tanks</td>
<td></td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Per DCCR, added as an AOC for evaluation</td>
<td>Outlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 10 Fuel Oil Tank</td>
<td></td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Per DCCR, added as an AOC for evaluation</td>
<td>Outlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Drum Handling Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Per DCCR, added as an AOC for evaluation</td>
<td>Outlier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coke Oven Gas Drip Legs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Further Action</td>
<td>Per DCCR, added as an AOC for evaluation</td>
<td>Plant General</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

**DCCR Description of Current Conditions Report (January 1998)**

**RCRA Facility Report (RFA) Code:**
- SD = SWMU Description included in Section 4.0 of the RFA Report
- AD = AOC Description included in Section 4.0 of the RFA Report in RFA Repor
- NR = Units located outdoors but not observed to be releasing
- NH = Unit managing non-hazardous waste
- RS = Units that no longer exist and were removed from site
- AI = Additional information needed to assess potential for release
- CV = Units of concern, inability to assess which unit was releasing

Page 5  SRP Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE AREAS</th>
<th>SWMNo.</th>
<th>SWMU, AOC, Non-RFA Area Name</th>
<th>Consent Decree Action Complete (yes/no)</th>
<th>Further Remediation Likely? (Low/Med/High)</th>
<th>Rational for Remedial Action Trigger</th>
<th>Remediaion Action Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equipment Removed/To be Removed</td>
<td>Located Inside Building/Release as Not to Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tin Mill Canal (TMC)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TMC Discharge Pipes</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>TMC Oil Skimming Devices</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TMC Waste Oil Storage Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Recent TMC Embankment Dredgings</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>TMC Blitz Skimmer Pits</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Former TMC Oil Collection Dump</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>HFFWWTP Spent Pickle Liquor (SPL) Discharge Point</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Chrome Recovery Sump</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Coating Lines Bladder Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Cold Sheet Mill Piping</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Tandem Mill Trench System</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>ORI Oil / Water Separator</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>ORI Holding Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>ORI Lagoon</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Tin Mill Trenches / Sump</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Tin Mill Sump (Acid Area Monitoring)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Chlorine Lines / Sump</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>SPL Sump and Trench System</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>AOC B: Former 1968 PCB Spill Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>AOC D: Former PCB Spill Area (Sheet Mill)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>AOC E: Former 1991 Acid Leak Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>AOC J: Acid Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>AOC S: Former Chromium Acid Spill Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>AOC T: Former Diesel Fuel UST (Cold Sheet Mill)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>AOC W: Spent Pickle Liquor</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITE AREAS</td>
<td>SWMU No.</td>
<td>SWMU, AOC, Non-RFA Area Name</td>
<td>Consent Decree Action Complete (yes/no)</td>
<td>Further Remediation Likely? (Low/Med/High)</td>
<td>Equipment Removed/To be Removed</td>
<td>Located Inside Building/Reaches as Not to Surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Mill Remediation Area</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Rod Mill Remediation Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Pond</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Pond</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Mill Equalization Tanks</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium Treatment Trenches</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Mill Scale Pits</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Mill Cooling Tower</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rod Mill Trenches/Sumps</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Mill Zinc Ammonium Chloride Sludge Storage Area</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe Mill Trenches / Sump</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billet Prep Trenches and Billet Sumps</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC C: Hydraulic Oil Storage Area</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC X: Unknown Abandoned Tank</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC Y: Pipe Mill Selenium Testing Area</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC Z: Pipe Mill Acid Tanks</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Basins</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Waste Oil Tank</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Oil Stillage System</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC A: Former 32/1/11 PCB Spill Area</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC K: Truck Deck #9's Former Diesel Spill &amp; Diesel Fuel UST Area</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOC V: Former Spent pickle Liquor Tanks (2)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot Strip Mill Drum Handling Area</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greys Landfill</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greys Tar Decanter Cell</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Land Parcel 1A—contractor area fuel and oil tanks</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Land Parcel 1A—tank near Greys Landfill</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Land Parcel 1A—former drum storage area</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Land Parcel 1A—cooling tower area</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SITE AREAS</th>
<th>SWMMU No.</th>
<th>SWMMU, AOC, Non-RFA Area Name</th>
<th>Consent Decree Action Complete (yes/no)</th>
<th>Further Remediation Likely?(Low/med/High)</th>
<th>Equipment Removed/To be Removed</th>
<th>Located inside Building/Recesses Not to Surface</th>
<th>Cleanup Work Complete to be Completed with Demolition</th>
<th>Other/Description</th>
<th>Remedial Action Trigger</th>
<th>Remedial Action Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Battery A Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Mechanical Shop Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>B CCP Tar Storage Tank Containment Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>B CCP Underground Weak Ammonia Pipeline</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Tank Containment Pad</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Clarifier Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>B CCP Lime Collection Bin</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Stills (2)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>B CCP Ammonia Saturator</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>B CCP Acid Surge Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>B CCP Swim Oil Coolers (Pumps)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>B CCP Swim Oil Coolers (Shell and Tube)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>B CCP Swim Oil Decanters</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>B CCP Waste Water Holding Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>B CCP Waste Oil Circulating Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>B CCP Scrubbers</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>B CCP Waste Oil Bin</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>B CCP/AKI Light Oil Separators (2)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>B CCP Muck Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>B CCP Million Gallon Weak Ammonia Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>A CCP Sulfide Acid Tank Containment</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>A CCP Cyendex Stripper / Stack</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>133</td>
<td>A CCP Oil / Water Separator</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134</td>
<td>A CCP Former Tar Decanters (2)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITE AREAS</td>
<td>SWMU No.</td>
<td>SWMU, AOC, Non-RFA Area Name</td>
<td>Consent Decree Action Complete (yes/no)</td>
<td>Further Remediation Likely?(Low/Med/Hight)</td>
<td>Equipment Removed/To be Removed</td>
<td>Locate Inside Building/Releas es Felt to Surface</td>
<td>Cleanup Work Completed to be Completed with Demolition</td>
<td>Other/Description</td>
<td>Rationale for Remedial Action Trigger</td>
<td>Remedial Action Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>A CCP Acid Saturator Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>A CCP Overflow Stammer Box</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>A CCP Wash Oil Decanters</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>A CCP Scrubbers</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>A CCP Wastewater Holding Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>A CCP Wash Oil Holding Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>A CCP Sump</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>B/L Oil/Water Separator</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>B/L Tank Sludge Staging Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>B/L Tank Sludge Accumulator Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B/L Utell Plant Catalyst Drum Station</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>B/L Waste Oil Accumulation Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>B/L Utell Drum Staging Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>B/L Benzene Truck Loading Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>Coke Point Landfill</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>Coke Oven Sweepings Pile</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>AOC L Benzene / Utell Process Area (SWMUs 147-153)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>AOC M A Coal Chemical Plant Area (SWMUs 138-146)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>AOC N Bio-Oxidation Ferric Chloride Spill Site</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Contaminated surface materials at spill site removed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>AOC P Former Naphthenes Plant Tank &amp; Pit</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>AOC R Underground Weak Ammonia Pipeline Spill Sites (3)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>AOC U B Coal Chemicals Plant Process Area (SWMUs 130-136)</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>HIGH</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Mitigate potential future non-point source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SITE AREAS</td>
<td>SWMU, AOC, Non-RFA Area Name</td>
<td>Consent Decree Action Complete (yes/no)</td>
<td>Further Remediation Likely? (Low/Med/High)</td>
<td>Equipment Removed/To be Removed</td>
<td>Located Inside Building/Released to Surface</td>
<td>Cleanup Work Completed or Be Completed with Demolition</td>
<td>Other/Description</td>
<td>Remedial Action Objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FURNACE AREAS</strong></td>
<td>165 L. Furnace Slag Pile</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>Slag by-product materials</td>
<td>Tank removed late 1980's</td>
<td><strong>Prevent future direct exposure to contaminated surface soil.</strong> Mitigate potential future source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185 Former ERS Oil Wastewater Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td><strong>Prevent future direct exposure to contaminated surface soil.</strong> Mitigate potential future source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HUMPHREY IMPOUNDMENT</strong></td>
<td>190 Humphrey Impoundment</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevent future direct exposure to contaminated surface soil. Mitigate potential future source discharge of groundwater above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRIMARY ROLLING MILLS AREA</strong></td>
<td>92 Plate Mill Scale Pit</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184 Waste Oil Stabilization/Packaging Area</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No oil-stained soil observed during April 1997 site inspection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GENERAL</strong></td>
<td>- County Land Parcel 1B</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BERA work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- County Land Parcel 2</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BERA work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- County Land Parcel 3A</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- County Land Parcel 3B</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Central Supply Fuel Storage Tanks</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- No. 10 Fuel Oil Tank</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td>XX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UST remediation complete</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Coke Oven Gas Drip Legs</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stormwater Sewer System</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NPDES coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- AOC E.6 PCB Transformers</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>LOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Transformers replaced in 1985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of Concern</td>
<td>General Description of Impacts</td>
<td>Corrective Action/Remediation Objectives</td>
<td>Redevelopment Objectives</td>
<td>Item or Task</td>
<td>Description of Activities to Complete</td>
<td>Technical Strategy For Closure</td>
<td>Expected Timeframe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke Oven Area</td>
<td>1. Contaminants of Concern (COCs) include benzene, naphthalene, and NAP, affecting primarily: a. Subsurface B and deeper soil b. Groundwater c. NAP, plume impacting several areas d. Unnatural benzene/napthalene plume impacting shallow and intermediate GW e. Potential offsite migration of impacted groundwater</td>
<td>1. Mitigate benzene and napthalene migration through groundwater to Point of Compliance (shallow) and non-point source discharge of GW above acceptable risk-based concentrations 2. Mitigate potential offsite migration of benzene</td>
<td>Achieve closure of this Special Study Area (SSA) consistent with NPDES Consent Decree and/or the mechanism identified within the Agreement between HRP, SPMLC, and the Administrator.</td>
<td>Site Wide Investigation (SWI)</td>
<td>• Site investigation Work • Groundwater flow and transport modeling • Risk Assessment • 4M Upgrade Workplan</td>
<td>Additional data collection required to scope final remedy; contemplated that groundwater modeling and risk assessment may be part of 4M.</td>
<td>4 Months from Closing 4M Upgrade Work Plan/CF ( Developed and Submitted for Regulatory Approval.</td>
<td>4 Months from Approval Corrective Measures Implementation Complete.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humprey Improvement</td>
<td>1. COCs likely to include metals, organics, or a combination of both: a. Surface Material b. Groundwater</td>
<td>1. Mitigate unacceptable future exposure to surface material 2. Mitigate future reaching to groundwater 3. Mitigate migration through groundwater to Point of Compliance (shallow) and non-point source discharge of GW above acceptable risk-based concentrations</td>
<td>Achieve closure of this SSA consistent with NPDES Consent Decree and/or the mechanism identified within the Agreement between HRP, SPMLC, and the Administrator.</td>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>• BERA results • Remedial action to be integrated with future development • Institutional controls to limit direct contact exposure pathway</td>
<td>Final BERA submitted to agency in 2011 (requires agency approval); additional steps may be required as part of development. Future investigation work may be needed for risk assessment or NAP, humprey containment area; use modeling and risk-based approach through NPDES and risk assessment to confirm additional action needed.</td>
<td>4 Months from Closing CMS Completed and Submitted for Regulatory Approval. 4 Months from Approval Corrective Measures Implementation Complete. 4 Months from CEM Semi-Annual groundwater monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former Rod and Wire/Plane Mill</td>
<td>1. Contaminants of Concern (COCs) include creosote, cinders, and soil affecting a. Surface soil b. Subsurface soil c. Groundwater d. Groundwater plume affects the order of 0 to 10 acres</td>
<td>1. Mitigate future exposure to surface soil 2. Mitigate future reaching to groundwater 3. Mitigate migration through groundwater to Point of Compliance (shallow) and non-point source discharge of GW above acceptable risk-based concentrations 4. Eliminate remedial low discharge recycle treatment</td>
<td>Achieve closure of this area through the mechanism identified within the Agreement between HRP, SPMLC, and the Administrator.</td>
<td>SW/4M Upgrade Work Plan</td>
<td>• 4M Workplan • Site investigation Work • Groundwater flow modeling (incl. fate &amp; transport) • Risk Assessment • 4M engineering plan</td>
<td>Define extent of area to be treated through additional sampling; sample for COCs and treatability parameters (igneous, etc.); update flow modeling and risk assessment to add in development of remedial objectives and corrective measures.</td>
<td>4 Months from Closing and Remediation Approvals. Revised 4M Work Plan and Corrective Measures.</td>
<td>4 Months from Construction Completion: Completion of Semi-Annual groundwater and performance monitoring.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: Remediation Plan Outline

**Former RG Steel Facility**  
**Sparrows Point, Maryland**  
**April 6, 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>General Description of Impacts</th>
<th>Corrective Action/Remediation Objective Driver</th>
<th>Remediation Objective</th>
<th>Item or Task</th>
<th>Description of Activities to Complete</th>
<th>Technical Strategy for Closure</th>
<th>Expected Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **The Mill Canal (TMC)/Finishing Mills** | 1. COCs include elevated metals, organics or oil & grease affecting: a. Canal sediment and banks b. Stormwater conveyed by canal c. Affected area appears to include extra length and width of the canal | 1. Mitigate future exposure to sediment and tanks 2. Eliminate potential future discharges from present outfalls to canal 3. Mitigate COCs impact to stormwater conveyed by canal 4. Eliminate discharges of stormwater resulting treatment by HOIWWTP | TMC Sediment Removal Work Plan | Investigative Work  
Engineering Plans | Additional data collection required to define area of sediments that require removal and to provide a waste characterization. | Timing somewhat dependent on ongoing demolition activities. Also timing may be in-line with development activities. | To 24 Months from Closure: Investigative Work, Engineering Plans, O&M Completed and Submitted for Regulatory Approval. |
| | | | TMC Sediment Removal and Channel Installation | Sediment Removal and Disposal  
TMC stabilization with rip rap | Plan to remove and dispose of impacted sediments, source approximately 50,000 - 60,000 cu yds of material to be removed.  
Source approximately 75% non-hazardous and taken to Green Landfill  
Approximately 25% hazardous and taken off-site for proper disposal.  
Stabilization will consist of rip rap, etc. | 12 to 24 Months from Approval and Completion of Baseline Monitoring Activities. Sediment removal and channel stabilization complete. |
| | | | CMS | Coordination with NPS requirements, surface water discharge modeling | Conduct surface water modeling and risk assessment to demonstrate site objectives at the point of compliance (outfall discharge point). | 12 to 24 Months from Approval of Baseline Monitoring Activities. Sediment removal and channel stabilization complete. |
| | | | CMI | Stormwater retention basin(s), possible constructed wetland treatment, gravity discharge to bypass HOIWWTP (design dependent upon site development requirements) | Stormwater retention basin(s), or available constructed wetland treatment may be effective solutions (design dependent upon site development requirements). | 12 to 24 Months from Closure: Investigative Work, Risk Assessment, Alternatives Analysis Completed and Submitted for Regulatory Approval. |
| **Great Landfill Area (County Lands)** | 1. COCs include VOCs or SVOCs affecting: a. Surface soil b. Groundwater c. Areas affected include: Spars partic. Connector's Area Former County Lands Small source of VOC impact | 1. Mitigate future exposure to surface soil over a 3 to 10 acre area to be further defined 2. Mitigate future exposure to groundwater 3. Mitigate migration from groundwater to point of Compliance (channels) and non-point source discharge of GW stores acceptable risk-based concentrations | CMS | Additional data collection  
Risk assessment  
Alternative analysis | Additional data collection required to define area of GW impacts. | 12 to 24 Months from Closure: Investigative Work, Risk Assessment, Alternatives Analysis Completed and Submitted for Regulatory Approval. |
| | | | EWI | In-situ source treatment  
Cover material placed over approximately 10 acres  
Groundwater monitoring | Apply 1 to 2 applications of in-situ treatment followed by groundwater monitoring activities. Anticipate that some cover material may be placed 5 to 10 acres to mitigate contact exposure, use modeling and risk assessment to demonstrate compliance. | 12 to 24 Months from Approval of Baseline Source Treatment and Cover Completed. |
<p>| <strong>Great Landfill Operations, Closure and Post Closure Care</strong> | 1. Operating landfill that will require closure by placement of final cover and post closure care 2. Limited occurrence of elevated metals, benzene or toluene concentrations affecting local GW | 1. Closure at end of operating life 2. Mitigation of migration to groundwater | Active landfill operation | Anticipate landfill will operate for approximately 5 years accepting non-hazardous remediation waste, HOIWWTP sludge, groundwater monitoring | Plan to keep active until reach closure elevation; anticipate that approximately 800,000 - 1,000,000 cu yds remain available. | 12 to 24 Months from Approval of Final Landfill Phase 1 closure plan Activities (as phased to permitting) - Final closure of landfill complete. |
| | | | Certification of Closure from the MDL and Certification that Post Closure Care requirements have been met | Final Closure | For the approved engineering design, certification of closurecap from 85' bench | Will close in accordance with approved Landfill Closure Plan. | 60 Months from Final Closure: Post/Closure Monitoring complete as specified in permit/Closure Plan. |
| | | | Final Closure | Will be in accordance with approved Landfill Closure Plan. | | |
| | | | Post Closure Care | A minimum of 5 years. | Estimated that Post Closure Care will last a minimum of 5 years. | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>General Description of Impacts</th>
<th>Corrective Action/Remediation Objective</th>
<th>Redevelopment Objective</th>
<th>Item or Task</th>
<th>Description of Activities to Complete</th>
<th>Technical Strategy for Closure</th>
<th>Expected Timeframe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coke Point Landfill/ Closure and Post Closure Care</td>
<td>1. Non-operating landfill requiring closure by placement of final cover and post closure care 2. Potential for groundwater transport to shoreline point of compliance</td>
<td>1. Closure of the non-operating landfill 2. Certification of closure from the MDDE and Certification that Post Closure Care requirements have been met</td>
<td>Final Closure</td>
<td>1. Conceptual design 2. Final engineering design 3. Closure cap installation</td>
<td>Due to location in close proximity to shoreline and high profile nature, expect that occurrence for additional use of this landfill will be extremely difficult to achieve. In process of proceeding to reduce footprint of landfill to 20 acres for closure obligations, anticipate closure plan to look similar to lines with no interim closures and a 5-layer cap on the area with 5-layer cap on the area.</td>
<td>Expected to present a closure plan during 2015. 12 to 24 Months from Closing - Closure Plan Submitted for Regulatory Approval. 12 to 24 Months from Approval - Closure Completion Complete. 2 to 30 Months from Closure Completion - Groundwater monitoring per approved closure plan complete.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Wide Work (outside of SDAs)</td>
<td>1. Unknown - Phase I ESA in process; REC’s will be evaluated to assess whether further assessment is warranted 2. DOE anticipated to be consistent with site-wide conditions and include hazardous substances and petroleum products.</td>
<td>Anticipate that closure will be consistent with the process set forth by the MDDE VCP.</td>
<td>Phase I ESA</td>
<td>1. Complete Phase I ESA; identify RECs that fall outside of SDAs 2. Evaluate whether additional assessment is warranted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Phase II ESA</td>
<td>1. Perform Phase II ESA work in areas selected for further assessment 2. Evaluate human health risk pathways focused on worker exposure/future development 3. Develop plan to mitigate identified exposures 4. Evaluate risk-based closures through use of institutional controls and engineered barriers</td>
<td>Risk-based remediation and closure approach anticipated to include institutional controls or engineered barriers; dependent on results of the Phase I ESA and Phase II ESA (if needed).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Remediation Implementation (if needed)</td>
<td>1. Implement remediation in areas unable to be closed through risk-based options 2. Prepare appropriate reports documenting compliance with remediation objectives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table provides a summary of the remediation plan outline for the FORMER RE STEEL FACILITY, SPARROWS POINT, MARYLAND.
TRUST AGREEMENT

Sparrows Point Site

Dated: _____________ __, _____

This Trust Agreement (this “Agreement”) is entered into as of [date] by and between Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Grantor”), and UMB Bank, n.a., as Trustee, a national bank association organized and existing under the laws of the United States of America (the “Trustee”).

Whereas, the Maryland Department of the Environment (“MDE”), an agency of the State of Maryland, and the Grantor have entered into an Administrative Consent Order dated _____________ (hereinafter the “ACO”);

Whereas, the ACO provides that the Grantor shall provide assurance that funds will be available as and when needed for performance of Work to be Performed required by the ACO;

Whereas, the Grantor has elected to establish a trust to provide a part of such financial assurance for the ACO identified for the facilities identified herein; and

Whereas, the Grantor, acting through its duly authorized officers, has selected the Trustee to be the trustee under this Agreement, and the Trustee has agreed to act as trustee hereunder.

Now, therefore, the Grantor and the Trustee agree as follows:

Section 1. Definitions. As used in this Agreement:

(a) The term “Beneficiary” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 3 of this Agreement.

(b) The term “Business Day” means any day, other than a Saturday or a Sunday, that banks are open for business in Baltimore, Maryland and [insert home office of trustee].

(c) The term “Fund” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 3 of this Agreement.

(d) The term “Grantor” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in the first paragraph of this Agreement.

(e) The term “Grantor’s Representative” shall mean the contractor selected by Grantor to fulfill Grantor’s obligations under the ACO, which shall initially be Sparrows Point LLC.

(g) The term “Site” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 2 of this Agreement.
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(h) The term “Trust” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in Section 3 of this Agreement.

(i) The term “Trustee” shall mean the trustee identified in the first paragraph of this Agreement, along with any successor trustee appointed pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

(j) The term “Work” or “work” shall have the meaning assigned thereto in the ACO.

**Section 2. Identification of Cost Estimates.** This Agreement pertains to the cost estimates identified on attached Schedule A, for which the funds on deposit under this Agreement form a part of the financial assurance therefore.

**Section 3. Establishment of Trust Fund.** The Grantor and the Trustee hereby establish a trust (the “Trust”), for the benefit of MDE (the “Beneficiary”), to assure that funds are available to pay for performance of the Work in the event that Grantor fails to conduct or complete the Work required by, and in accordance with the terms of, the ACO. The Grantor and the Trustee intend that no third party shall have access to the Trust except as expressly provided herein. The Trust is established initially as consisting of funds in the amount of Forty Three Million U.S. Dollars ($43,000,000.00). Such funds, along with any other monies and/or other property as may hereafter be deposited into the Trust, and together with all earnings and profits thereon, less any payments or distributions made by the Trustee pursuant to this Agreement, are referred to herein collectively as the “Fund.” The Fund shall be held by the Trustee, IN TRUST, as hereinafter provided. The Trustee shall not be responsible nor shall it undertake any responsibility for the amount or adequacy of, nor any duty to collect from the Grantor, any payments necessary to discharge any liabilities of the Grantor owed to the United States.

**Section 4. Payment for Work Required Under the ACO.** The Trustee shall make payments from the Fund in accordance with the following procedures. The Trustee shall reimburse the Grantor for the costs incurred for the Work within 30 days of receipt of an invoice from Grantor setting forth (1) a description of the Work that has been performed, (2) the amount due, and (3) the identity of the payee(s).

**Section 5. Funding Shortfall.**

As part of the Six Month Report (as defined in the ACO), SPT shall evaluate whether the Fund should be increased because of the occurrence of a Funding Shortfall (as that term is defined in the ACO). In the event of a Funding Shortfall, Grantor shall, within 30 days of notifying MDE and Trustee of the Funding Shortfall, deposit monies and/or other property into the Trust in an amount required under the ACO. Trustee shall provide notice to MDE and Grantor of any deposits by Grantor. Such Funds shall be held by the Trustee and managed in accordance with this Trust.

**Section 6. Work Takeover.**
If, at any time during the term of this Agreement, MDE elects to take over the Work pursuant to the terms of the ACO, and intends to direct payment of monies from the Fund to pay for performance of Work (a “Work Takeover”), MDE shall notify the Trustee in writing of MDE’s commencement of such Work Takeover. Upon receiving such written notice from MDE, the disbursement procedures set forth in Section 4 above shall immediately be suspended, and the Trustee shall thereafter make payments from the Fund only to such person or persons as the MDE may direct in writing from time to time for the sole purpose of providing payment for performance of Work required by the ACO. Further, after receiving such written notice from MDE, the Trustee shall not make any disbursements from the Fund at the request of the Grantor, including Grantor’s Representative and/or contractors, or of any other person except at the express written direction of MDE. If MDE ceases such a Work Takeover in accordance with the terms of the ACO, MDE shall so notify the Trustee in writing and, upon the Trustee’s receipt of such notice, the disbursement procedures specified in Sections 4 above shall be reinstated.

While this Agreement is in effect, disbursements from the Fund are governed exclusively by the express terms of this Agreement.

Section 7. Trust Management. The Trustee shall invest and reinvest the principal and income of the Fund and keep the Fund invested as a single fund, without distinction between principal and income, in accordance with the direction of Grantor, subject, however, to the provisions of this section. In investing, reinvesting, exchanging, selling, and managing the Fund, the Trustee shall discharge his duties with respect to the trust fund solely in the interest of the Beneficiary and with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing which persons of prudence, acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters, would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims; except that:

(a) Securities or other obligations of the Grantor, or any of their affiliates as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 80a-2(a), shall not be acquired or held by the Trustee with monies comprising the Fund;

(b) The Trustee is authorized to invest the Fund in time or demand deposits of the Trustee, to the extent such deposits are insured by an agency of the U.S. federal or any U.S. state government;

(c) The Trustee is authorized to invest the Fund in money market mutual funds that are registered with the federal Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), meeting the requirements of Rule 2a-7 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and that are rated in either of the two highest categories by a nationally recognized rating service; and

(d) The Trustee is authorized to hold cash awaiting investment or distribution uninvested for a reasonable time and without liability for the payment of interest thereon.
In the event the Grantor does not provide written instructions to the Trustee the Funds shall be invested in items in 5(c) herein.

Section 8. Commingling and Investment. The Trustee is expressly authorized to transfer from time to time any or all of the assets of the Fund to any common, commingled, or collective trust fund created by the Trustee in which the Fund is eligible to participate, subject to all of the provisions hereof and thereof, to be commingled with the assets of other trusts participating therein.

Section 9. Express Powers of Trustee. Without in any way limiting the powers and discretion conferred upon the Trustee by the other provisions of this Agreement or by law, the Trustee is expressly authorized and empowered:

(a) to make, execute, acknowledge, and deliver any and all documents of transfer and conveyance and any and all other instruments that may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the powers herein granted;

(b) to register any securities held in the Fund in its own name or in the name of a nominee and to hold any security in bearer form or in book entry, or to combine certificates representing such securities with certificates of the same issue held by the Trustee in other fiduciary capacities, or to deposit or arrange for the deposit of such securities in a qualified central depository even though, when so deposited, such securities may be merged and held in bulk in the name of the nominee of such depository with other securities deposited therein by another person, or to deposit or arrange for the deposit of any securities issued by the U.S. federal government or any U.S. state government, or any agency or instrumentality thereof, with a Federal Reserve bank, but the books and records of the Trustee shall at all times show that all such securities are part of the Fund; and

(c) to deposit any cash in the Fund in interest-bearing accounts maintained or savings certificates issued by the Trustee, in its separate corporate capacity, or in any other banking institution affiliated with the Trustee, to the extent insured by an agency of the U.S. federal government.

(d) To compromise or otherwise adjust all claims in favor of or against the Fund.

Section 10. Taxes and Expenses. All taxes of any kind that may be assessed or levied against or in respect of the Fund shall be paid from the Fund. All other expenses and charges incurred by the Trustee in connection with the administration of the Fund and this Trust, including fees associated with Grantor’s preparation and submission of Six Month Reports, fees for legal services rendered to the Trustee, the compensation of the Trustee to the extent not paid directly by the Grantor shall be paid by the Grantor.

Section 11. Annual Valuation. The Trustee shall annually, at least 30 days prior to the anniversary date of establishment of the Fund, furnish to the Grantor and to the Beneficiary a statement confirming the value of the Trust. Any securities in the Fund shall be valued at market value as of no more than 60 days prior to the anniversary date of establishment of the Fund. The failure of the Grantor to object in writing to the Trustee
within 90 days after the statement has been furnished to the Grantor and the MDE project coordinator shall constitute a conclusively binding assent by the Grantor, barring the Grantor from asserting any claim or liability against the Trustee with respect to matters disclosed in the statement. The annual valuation shall include an accounting of any fees or expenses levied against the Fund. The Trustee shall also provide such information concerning the Fund and this Trust as MDE may request from time to time.

Section 12. Advice of Counsel. The Trustee may from time to time consult with counsel with respect to any question arising as to the construction of this Agreement or any action to be taken hereunder; provided, however, that any counsel retained by the Trustee for such purposes may not, during the period of its representation of the Trustee, serve as counsel to the Grantor. The Trustee shall incur no liability and shall be fully protected, to the extent permitted by law, in acting upon the advice of counsel.

Section 13. Trustee Compensation. The Trustee shall be entitled to reasonable compensation for its services from amounts on deposit in the Fund as agreed upon in writing with the Grantor and as notified in writing to the Beneficiary.

Section 14. Trustee and Successor Trustee. The Trustee and any replacement Trustee must be approved in writing by MDE and must not be affiliated with the Grantor. The Trustee may resign or the Grantor may replace the Trustee, but such resignation or replacement shall not be effective until the Grantor has appointed a successor trustee approved in writing by MDE and this successor accepts such appointment. The successor trustee shall have the same powers and duties as those conferred upon the Trustee hereunder. Upon the successor trustee’s acceptance of the appointment, the Trustee shall assign, transfer, and pay over to the successor trustee the funds and properties then constituting the Fund. If for any reason the Grantor cannot or does not act in the event of the resignation of the Trustee, the Trustee may apply to MDE or a court of competent jurisdiction for the appointment of a successor trustee or for instructions. The successor trustee shall specify the date on which it assumes administration of the Fund and the Trust in a writing sent to the Grantor, the Beneficiary, and the present Trustee by certified mail no less than 10 days before such change becomes effective. Any expenses incurred by the Trustee as a result of any of the acts contemplated by this Section shall be paid as provided in Section 10.

Section 15. Instructions to the Trustee. All instructions to the Trustee shall be in writing, signed by such persons as are empowered to act on behalf of the entity giving such instructions. The Trustee shall be fully protected in acting without inquiry on such written instructions given in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. The Trustee shall have no duty to act in the absence of such written instructions, except as expressly provided for herein.

Section 16. Notice of Nonpayment. The Trustee shall notify the Grantor and the appropriate MDE project coordinator, by certified mail within 10 days following the expiration of the 30-day period after the establishment of the Trust or the requirement of funding a Funding Shortfall, if no payment is received from the Grantor during that period. After the pay-in period is completed, the Trustee shall not be required to send a
notice of nonpayment.

Section 17. Amendment of Agreement. This Agreement may be amended only by an instrument in writing executed by the Grantor, the Trustee, and MDE, or by the Trustee and MDE if the Grantor ceases to exist.

Section 18. Irrevocability and Termination. Subject to the right of the parties to amend this Agreement as provided in Section 17, this Trust shall be irrevocable and shall continue until terminated upon the written direction of MDE to terminate, consistent with the terms of the ACO. Upon termination of the Trust, all remaining trust property (if any), less final trust administration expenses, shall be delivered to the Grantor.

Section 19. Immunity and Indemnification. The Trustee shall not incur personal liability of any nature in connection with any act or omission, made in good faith, in the administration of this Trust, or in carrying out any directions by the Grantor or the MDE issued in accordance with this Agreement. The Trustee shall be indemnified and saved harmless by the Grantor from and against any personal liability to which the Trustee may be subjected by reason of any act or conduct made by the Trustee in its official capacity, including all expenses reasonably incurred in its defense in the event the Grantor fails to provide such defense.

Section 20. Choice of Law. This Agreement shall be administered, construed, and enforced according to the laws of the State of Maryland.

Section 21. Interpretation. As used in this Agreement, words in the singular include the plural and words in the plural include the singular. The descriptive headings for each Section of this Agreement shall not affect the interpretation or the legal efficacy of this Agreement.

Section 22. Notices. All notices and other communications given under this agreement shall be in writing and shall be addressed to the parties as follows or to such other address as the parties shall by written notice designate:

(a) If to the Grantor, to [______________________________].

(b) If to the Trustee, to [______________________________].

(c) If to MDE, ____________________________.

Section 23. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which will be deemed an original, but all of which together will constitute one and the same instrument. Copies, telexcopies, fascimiles, electronic files, and other reproductions of original executed documents shall be deemed to be authentic and valid counterparts of such original documents for all purposes, including the filing of any claim, action, or suit in the appropriate court of law. The parties hereto agree that the transaction described herein may be conducted and related documents may be stored by electronic means.
Section 24. Earnings Allocation; Tax Matters; Patriot Act Compliance. The parties hereto agree that, for tax reporting purposes, all interest or other income, if any, attributable to the Funds or any other amount held by the Trustee pursuant to this Agreement shall be allocable to Grantor. The Grantor and to provide the Trustee completed Forms W-9 (or Forms W-8, in the case of non-U.S. persons) and other forms and documents that the Trustee may reasonably request (collectively, "Tax Reporting Documentation") at the time of execution of this Agreement and any information reasonably requested by the Trustee to comply with the USA Patriot Act of 2001, as amended from time to time. The parties hereto understand that if such Tax Reporting Documentation is not so certified to the Trustee, the Trustee may be required by the Internal Revenue Code, as it may be amended from time to time, to withhold a portion of any interest or other income earned on the investment of monies or other property held by the Trustee pursuant to this Agreement.

[Remainder of page left blank intentionally.]
In Witness Whereof, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed by their respective officers duly authorized and attested as of the date first above written:

GRANTOR

SPARROWS POINT TERMINAL, LLC

By: ____________________________
Name: __________________________
Its: ____________________________

State of _______________________
County of _______________________

On this [date], before me personally came [name of Grantor official], to me known, who, being by me duly sworn, did depose and say that she/he is [title] of [corporation], the corporation described in and which executed the above instrument; and that she/he signed her/his name thereto.

[Signature of Notary Public]

TRUSTEE

UMB Bank, N.A.

By: ____________________________
Its: ____________________________

State of _______________________
County of _______________________

On this [date], before me personally came [name of Trustee official], to me known, who, being by me duly sworn, did depose and say that she/he is [title] of [corporation], the corporation described in and which executed the above instrument; and that she/he signed her/his name thereto.

[Signature of Notary Public]
[proposed form of LC based upon statutory form and prior forms. Subject to revision based upon issuing Bank’s
standard LC language]

Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit

Date of issue:

BENEFICIARY:
Maryland Department of the Environment
1800 Washington Blvd., Suite 6048
Baltimore, MD 21230

APPLICANT:
Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC
(address)

We hereby establish our Irrevocable Standby Letter of Credit No. ___ in your favor, at the request and for the
account of Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC up to the aggregate amount of Five Million and NO/100 U.S. dollars
$5,000,000, available upon presentation to our offices at [bank name] [address] of:

(1) your sight draft, drawn on us at our office specified in paragraph one bearing reference to this Letter of Credit
No. ___, and [note, if issuing bank does not have an office in MD, drawing by fax, email or courier shall be
permitted]

(2) a dated certificate purportedly signed by an authorized representative of the beneficiary reading as follows: “I,
the undersigned, a duly authorized representative of the Maryland Department of the Environment hereby certify
that a “Work Takeover” has occurred pursuant to the terms of that certain Administrative Consent Order entered
into between Sparrows Point Terminal, LLC and the Maryland Department of the Environment dated ________,
2014 and that the amount of the draft is payable to the Maryland Department of the Environment thereunder.”

Partial drawings and multiple drawings may be presented under this Letter of Credit, and each such drawing
honored by us hereunder shall reduce the maximum available amount by the amount of such drawing.

This original [copy may be permitted] Letter of Credit, and original amendments if any, must accompany any
drawing. In the event of a partial drawing, we will endorse the drawing amount and return the original Letter of
Credit to the beneficiary unless it is fully utilized.

This letter of credit is effective as of [date] and shall expire on [date at least 1 year later], but such expiration date
shall be automatically extended for a period of [at least 1 year] on [date] and on each successive expiration date,
unless, at least ninety (90) days before the then current expiration date, we notify both Beneficiary and Sparrows
Point Terminal, LLC at the above address by certified mail that we have decided not to extend this Letter of Credit
beyond the then current expiration date. In the event you are so notified, any unused portion of the Credit shall be
available for demand up to the then current expiration date upon presentation of your sight draft(s) accompanied by
your statement that “[bank] has notified beneficiary that the Letter of Credit will not be extended and Sparrows
Point Terminal, LLC has failed to deliver a replacement Letter of Credit in form and substance satisfactory to
Maryland Department of the Environment."

This Letter of Credit may be cancelled prior to the expiration date, or any automatically extended expiration date, upon our receipt of written consent to cancel from Beneficiary when accompanied by the original of this Letter of Credit.

We hereby undertake to promptly honor your sight draft(s) drawn on us indicating our Letter of Credit no. ____ for all or any part of this Credit if presented at our office specified above on or before the expiration date or any automatically extended expiration date as herein provided.

This Credit is subject to Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits 2007 Revision, Publication 600 and the Maryland Uniform Commercial Code. In case of a conflict between the Maryland Uniform Commercial Code and the Uniform Customs and Practice for Documentary Credits, the Maryland Uniform Commercial Code shall control.

[Signature(s) and title(s) of official(s) of issuing institution] [Date]
CERTIFICATION BY ENVIRONMENTAL PROFESSIONAL

I declare and affirm that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, the following:

1. I/we meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 312.20;

2. I/we have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess the nature, history, and cleanup goals of the subject property, and the estimated costs to complete the Work as set forth in the Administrative Consent Order for the subject property. My/Our qualifications are provided in Attachment A to this certification.

3. I/we have developed and performed this Six Month Report and Budget as those are defined in the Administrative Consent Order in conformance with the standards and practices of my/our profession and Md. Code Ann., Environment Article § 7-501 et seq., 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq.

4. I/We am/are (a) independent and not a representative, employee, or affiliate of Sparrows Point Terminal LLC, Hilco Global, Environmental Liability Transfer, Inc, Sparrows Point LLC, or any affiliated entity, or any person who has an ownership interest in the subject property; and (b) I/We have not been unduly influenced by any person with regard to the preparation of the Six Month Report or Budget or the contents thereof;

5. I/We acknowledge and agree that intentionally falsifying or concealing any material fact with regard to the subject matter of this certification or the Six Month Report may, in addition to other penalties, result in prosecution under applicable laws including 18 U.S.C. § 1001 and Md. Code Ann. Criminal Law Article § 9-101.

__________________________
Environmental Professional
Printed Name:

__________________________
Environmental Professional
Printed Name:

__________________________
Signature of representative of firm
Printed Name & Title:
Name of Environmental Firm: