## Mitigation Site Scoring Sheet Revised 5/18/09

Field Investigator(s):		Date:			
Project Name:	NT #/ L #				
Project Name:  NT #/ L #  Area #  Date Project was Completed:  Planned watland types (some of each):					
Planned wetland types (acres of ea	ch):				
+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++					
Complete a form for each area with		regetative types within a			
single area, you may want to score	them separately.				
V. Vegetation:					
Actual vegetation type, projected i	nto future (if multiple types give	% of each):			
Are any species a concern for future					
If so, which species?	(1.8 ,				
List any distinct vegetative commu	inities:				
Dominant emergent species (estim	ate % cover of dominants):				
Dominant trac/chryb and sign	ht man an of two as/ah muha (ft).	madian by for each angeing			
Dominant tree/shrub species (estimate % cover of dominants):	ht. range of trees/shrubs (ft):	median ht. for each species (ft):			
(estimate % cover of dominants).		(11).			
Success of planted species, if know	vn:				
Comments:					
Do any species have observed mor	phological adaptations for occur	rence in wetlands? Which ones?			
V					
<ul><li>Vegetation score:</li><li>1. % cover by native wetland spec</li></ul>	vies (% cover v 10 - score) (out -	of 10 pts)			
2. % cover by non-native species					
>15% = 0 pts) (out of 5 pts)	(1370 – 3 pts, 3 1070 – 1 pts, 10	1370 – 3 pts,			
3. Diversity for planned wetland t	vpe (out of 5 pts)				
4. Plant density of planned wetlan	<del></del> `				
Emergent = (% cover native wetland					
Scrub/shrub or forest: native trees/	shrubs $\geq 10$ in ht., OBL, FACW,	FAC ( <u>&gt;</u> 600			
= 5  pts; 500-599 = 4  pts; 400-499 =	= 3pts; 300-399 = 2 pts; 200-299	= 1pts;			
<200 = 0pts)					
5. Achieve expected growth of vo	<u> </u>	<del>-</del>			
into account stress on vegetation) (out of 5 pts; cannot exceed score from V4)					
Vegetation score (out of 30 points)					

S. Soil: Is hydric soil present?  Yes \[ \sum \text{No } \[ \sigm \text{No } \[ \sum \text{No } \]
Are any redoximorphic features present in the soil? Describe:
Based on hydrology, would you expect hydric soils to
develop? Yes No Unclear Unclear
Depth of detritus on surface (in.): Other sources of organic matter onsite?
Are any impermeable layers of soil Yes No Description/depth:
present that may limit ground water
movement?
Other comments:
Soils score:
How much of planned vegetated area has soil that may be limiting vegetative growth/establishment
(due to too much clay, gravel, glauconite, or very low organic matter, etc.) or has erosion
problems? Describe:
Soils score (out of 20 points)
Soils seore (out of 20 points)
H. Hydrology: Hydrology source (choose all that apply): Perennial watercourse Intermittent watercourse Floodwater  Not determined
Pond/lake
Hydrologic Connection:   Connected Isolated
Is soil surface (check all that apply):  inundated? % of area: Surface water depth (in.):
moist? % of area:
<del></del>
dry?
<del></del>
dry? % of area:  List other field evidence of wetland hydrology:
dry?

Wetland hydrology score (10 pts each):

- 1. How much of planned vegetated area has wetland hydrology (i.e., not upland but open water is acceptable)?
- 2. How much of planned vegetated area <u>has</u> wetland hydrology but is unvegetated open water (SAV is not acceptable)? (less water gets a higher score; *cannot exceed the score for H1*)
- 3. How much of planned vegetated area <u>has</u> wetland hydrology but is too wet (SAV is not acceptable) or too dry to support <u>planned wetland vegetative type</u>? (less area gets a higher score; *cannot exceed the score for H1*)

Wetland hydrology score (out of 30 points)

F. Wetland Functional Gains:
Wetland Functions score:
Check all that apply:
Biological functions
Providing habitat
Rare, threatened, or endangered wildlife
Rare, threatened, or endangered plants
Forest interior dwelling birds
Other non-wetland dependent wildlife
Reptiles and amphibians
Other wetland dependent wildlife
Fish and other aquatic wildlife
Furnishing organic material to the aquatic food webs
Water quality functions
Filtering sediments, pollutants, and excess nutrients
Reducing erosion (e.g., streambanks and drainageways)
Hydrologic functions
Headwater wetland – storing, slowing, or reducing floodwater flow
☐ Floodwater wetland – storing, slowing, or reducing floodwater flow
Discharging groundwater
Recharging groundwater
Human Values
Providing recreational opportunities
Providing harvestable natural resources (e.g., timber, fish, forbearing mammals)
Providing educational opportunities
Providing aesthetic qualities
Representing a rare ecosystem
Does the area provide moderate to high functions? (Score cannot exceed H1 x 2. H1/10 x functional
score = wetland functional score).
Functional score (out of 20 points)
<del>-</del>

Bonus score:					
	Rare species planted in or colonized the site?				
<mark>B</mark>	onus score (up to 10	bonus points). If yes, o	explain:		
Other:					
Other comments (e	e.g. stressors, deer, b	beaver, mowing, etc.):			
Observed faunal sp	pecies:				
Remediation action	ns recommended:				
Remediation action	iis recommended.				
Was it built as she	own Yes	No 🗌	U	nclear	
in the plans?					
If not, how does it	differ?				
Total score for area:					
Different areas and different planned vegetative types (FO/SS versus EM) should be scored					
separately, then combined to get one score for the entire site: Only one site table (below) should					
be completed.					
Area #	Score	Portion of total area	Subscore		

Evampla	of colour	lationa	mond to	got fina	L site score:
еханные	от сатен	Tallons	HSECL IC	эег ина	i sue score

Site Total

Area#	Score	Portion of total area	Subscore
1	90	.20	18
2	95	.40	38
3	30	.20	6
4	100	.10	10
5	90	.10	9
Site Total		1.0	81

1.0