

WIP Stakeholder Advisory Committee Meeting  
Monday, September 13, 2010

Attendance:

**Members**

Carlton Haywood, Chair – Middle Potomac Tributary Team  
Les Knapp - Maryland Association of Counties (MACo)  
Candace Donoho - Maryland Municipal League (MML)  
Katie Maloney - Maryland State Homebuilders Association  
Jen Aiosa Chesapeake Bay Foundation (CBF)  
Valerie Connelly - MD Farm Bureau  
Bill Satterfield - Delmarva Poultry Industry Inc.  
Bruce Williams - Chesapeake Bay Local Government Advisory Committee  
representative  
Lynn Hoot - Maryland Association of Soil Conservation Districts (MASCD)  
Jamie Brunkow - Sassafras River Association  
Terry Matthews - State Water Quality Advisory Committee (SWQAC) (Sarah Taylor-  
Rogers alternate)  
Katheleen Freeman - Coastal & Watershed Resources Advisory Committee (CWRAC)  
Lisa Ochsenhirt – Maryland Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies,  
Inc.(MAMWA)/ Point Sources  
Jim Gracie - Sport Fisheries Advisory Commission  
Richard Young - Tidal Fisheries Advisory Commission  
Tom Filip – P/B Tributary Team  
Jen Dindinger – Choptank Tributary Team  
Julie Pippel – Upper Potomac Tributary Team  
Rupert Rossetti – Upper Western Shore Tributary Team  
Bob Boxwell – Lower Potomac Tributary Team  
Ginger Ellis – Lower Western Shore  
EB James – Lower Eastern Shore/Nanticoke River Conservancy

**Staff**

Beth Horsey – MDA  
John Rhoderick – MDA  
Sara Lane – DNR  
Catherine Shanks – DNR  
Mike Bilek – DNR  
Claudia Donegan – DNR  
Chris Aadland – DNR  
Jim George – MDE  
Maria Levelev – MDE  
Paul Emmart – MDE  
Joe Tassone- MDP  
Jason Dubow – MDP  
Dan Baldwin - MDP

## **Others**

Peter Bouxein – CBF

Moira Croghan – Sassafras Rive Association

## **Introductions, Carlton Haywood**

### **Draft Phase I Presentation, Jim George**

Dr Jim George presented an overview of the WIP Phase I . He noted that this presentation is draft and has been prepared for the public meetings. He invited the Committee members to comment on the presentation to assure the information presented was audience and content appropriate. The following is a synopsis of the comments collected during the discussion. Committee members also requested that the presentation be emailed so that any final comments can be submitted.

Anyone from this group who is interested in commenting or correcting errors in the draft Phase I WIP before the final draft is released for public comment can send comments to [tmdlcoordinator@mde.state.md.us](mailto:tmdlcoordinator@mde.state.md.us). Please make sure to identify the comments as coming from a member of the Stakeholder Advisory Committee to assure they will be reviewed immediately and not held for later compilation with the general public comments.

### **General discussion and comments:**

1. The committee requested to focus a future meeting (November) on Phase II with a presentation from the pilot counties, Anne Arundel and Caroline.
2. There was a question regarding if Phase I will be split out by sector. If so, it was recommended that financial costs should be split out by sector. Does the state want public to comment on fairness of loads split out by sector? The load allocations by segment and sector will be included in the draft released on September 24<sup>th</sup>.
3. There was much discussion regarding the purpose of the public meetings and the expectations for and from the public in relation to those meetings and the comments submitted. For example, should comments possibly emphasize that more needs to be done from a regulatory prospective to make progress. Jim George mentioned that the State primarily just wants the sessions to help educate the public about the WIP.
4. The members of the SAC should be advertising the public meetings to their organizations and constituents. The State will send out the invitation for the special meetings for elected officials.
5. Who will chair the local county level meetings needs to be identified.
6. Most elected officials will run screaming from this process.

The following suggestions were made for adjustments to the presentation:

1. Need to spell out acronyms in presentation for the elected officials.
2. Need to clarify that Ag Nutrient management plans are on going, 2001-2005 is misleading
3. Substitute word resources for capacity.

4. Needs to be made clear that the word Resources is not limited to federal government resources, but includes also those of homeowners, businesses, local government resources etc.
5. Add a diagram which explains why rural areas have a higher per capita load than urban areas.
6. Graphs should reflect progress out to 2020 not just the 2017 goal. It would help drive home the point of urgency better.
7. Eliminate CAFOs from Strategy Option Highlights since they are underway and not an “option”.
8. On the “Federal Options” Slide, clarify that this refers to Federal WWTPs and Storm Water Projects. Maybe change Federal Options, to Federal Commitments.
9. The presentation does not explain to the individual chicken farmer, for example, what he needs to do, how soon and how they will pay for it. Presentation needs to lay out clear options for the public.
10. The public will want to know basic things like how this will affect their monthly bills and fees.
11. Maybe need breakout sessions focused on information related to specific source sectors.
12. Talk may be too abstract to elicit any meaningful comments from the audience. The presentation may need to go into more specific detail to get better comments.
13. The presentation should lay the ground work for Phase II with the elected officials. The presentation should clarify what needs to be done and who has what roles within the geographic county boundary.
14. The presentation should include a map that shows the segment sheds with the location and boundaries of the municipalities indicated.
15. Need to define unregulated storm water and how it relates to MS4 and Non-MS4 jurisdictions. Include a map of regulated vs unregulated storm water should be available for Phase I and especially for Phase 2.

### **October Meeting Work Session**

The next meeting will be a day long work session, Oct. 18, 10am-4pm, to develop the comments that the Stakeholder Advisory Committee will provide to the Bay Cabinet. Location to be announced. Lunch will be provided. Hopefully everyone will come with comments on the phase I WIP. Each member should consult with their organizations and collect comments to be discussed. Member organizations also should submit their own comments through the formal comment submission process.

Notes will be taken during the Oct. 18 meeting, compiled, and shared with the Bay Cabinet before the Nov. 8 end of the formal comment period. Bay Cabinet will react to comments from this group as well as those from the general public. The committee members were encouraged to review entire the document not just the executive summary.