Subcommittee Process to Develop AfG Alternatives
Meeting Dates: 4/24, 5/1 and 5/8

The Work Group requested that a subgroup of members from each constituency, along with the Support Team, develop three to four draft combinations of the major interrelated issues be compiled as alternatives for the Work Group's consideration. These alternatives will be presented to the Work Group as a starting point for discussion and refinement. No member of the subgroup or Support Team is committed to supporting any of the alternatives; they are presented as a way for the Work Group to effectively narrow down options for inclusion in an AfG Program.

Alternative 1: Katie

Alternative 2: Erik

Alternative 3: Julie P.

Subcommittee Process
1. Use the AfG Options Matrix as a tool to identify foundational issues for an AfG Program
2. Identify "threshold" or top tier issues, as well as dependent issues, as priority elements to begin developing alternatives. Some issues were classified as dependent because they are dependent on the resolution of threshold issues.

   Applicability: THRESHOLD
   - Triggers: THRESHOLD
   - Thresholds: THRESHOLD
   - Exceptions: THRESHOLD

   Effective Date: THRESHOLD

   Fee-in-Lieu: THRESHOLD
   - Available or not; under what circumstances: THRESHOLD
   - Payable to whom: Dependent
   - Setting the cost: Dependent

   Which Pollutants: THRESHOLD

   Calculating the Post-Development Load: THRESHOLD

   What allocation should be given to the post development load: THRESHOLD

   How can the post-development load be permanently offset: THRESHOLD

   When do the post-development loads have to be in place: Dependent

   Encouraging Sustainability Development Patterns: Dependent

   Trading and Credits: Dependent

   Ratios to increase margin of safety and accelerate Bay restoration: Dependent
3. Review "threshold" issues and begin developing scenarios based on constituency interests
4. Develop three to four alternatives for Work Group consideration
   - Two alternatives were created initially and a third alternative was developed as a "middle ground" alternative for the other two

5. Develop general rationale for each alternative
6. Present alternatives to the Work Group
   • Three subcommittee members are selected to present each alternative to the Work Group
8. Modify and add alternatives based on Work Group discussion
7. Run alternatives in the "Calculator Tool" using three or four development scenarios to understand impact