

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION III** 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

Mr. D. Lee Currey, Director Science Services Administration Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Blvd., Suite 540 Baltimore, Maryland 21230-1718

Dear Mr. Currey:

SEP 2 4 2013

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region III, is pleased to approve the report, Total Maximum Daily Loads of Phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek Watershed, Frederick County, Maryland. The TMDL report was submitted by the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) to EPA for final review on September 21, 2012 and received on September 27, 2012. The TMDL was established and submitted in accordance with Section 303(d)(1)(c) and (2) of the Clean Water Act to address impairments of water quality as identified in Maryland's Section 303(d) List.

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) has identified the waters of Catoctin Creek (MD-02140305) on the State's 2010 Integrated Report as impaired by nutrients (1996 listing) and impacts to biological communities (2002) (MDE 2010a). All impairments are listed for non-tidal streams. Because the scientific research supports that phosphorus is generally the limiting nutrient in freshwater aquatic systems, the 1996 nutrient listing was refined in the 2008 Integrated Report to identify phosphorus as the specific impairing substance (MDE 2008). A TMDL for Sediment was approved by EPA in 2009. The listing for impacts to biological communities will be addressed separately at a future date.

In accordance with Federal regulations at 40 CFR §130.7, a TMDL must comply with the following requirements: (1) be designed to attain and maintain the applicable water quality standards; (2) include a total allowable loading and as appropriate, wasteload allocations for point sources and load allocations for nonpoint sources; (3) consider the impacts of background pollutant contributions; (4) take critical stream conditions into account (the conditions when water quality is most likely to be violated); (5) consider seasonal variations; (6) include a margin of safety (which accounts for uncertainties in the relationship between pollutant loads and instream water quality); and (7) be subject to public participation. In addition, these TMDLs

considered reasonable assurance that the TMDL allocations assigned to the nonpoint sources can be reasonably met. The enclosure to this letter describes how the Phosphorus TMDL for the Catoctin Creek Watershed satisfies each of these requirements.

As you know, any new or revised National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits must be consistent with the TMDL's wasteload allocation pursuant to 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1)(VII)(B). Please submit all such permits to EPA for review as per EPA's letter dated October 1, 1998.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this letter, please do not hesitate to contact Ms. Helene Drago, TMDL Program Manager at 215-814-5796.

Sincerely,

Jon M. Capacasa, Director Water Protection Division

Enclosure

cc: Melissa Chatham, MDE-SSA Jay Sakai, MDE-WMA



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

Decision Rationale Total Maximum Daily Load of Phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek Watershed Frederick County, Maryland

Jon M. Capacasa, Director Water Protection Division

)ate:

9/23/2013

Decision Rationale Total Maximum Daily Load of Phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek Watershed Frederick County, Maryland

I. Introduction

The Clean Water Act (CWA) requires a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) be developed for those waterbodies identified as impaired by the State where technology based and other controls will not provide for attainment of water quality standards. A TMDL is a determination of the amount of a pollutant from point, nonpoint, and natural background sources, including a Margin of Safety (MOS), that may be discharged to a water quality limited waterbody.

This document sets forth the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) rationale for approving the TMDL for Phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek watershed. The TMDL was established to address impairments of water quality, caused by phosphorus, as identified in Maryland's Section 303(d) List for water quality limited segments. The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) submitted the report, *Total Maximum Daily Load of Phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek Watershed, Frederick County, Maryland*, dated August 2012, to EPA for final review on September 21, 2012 and was received on September 27, 2012. The TMDL in this report addresses the Phosphorus impairment in the Catoctin Creek watershed as identified on Maryland's Section 303(d) List. The basin identification for the Catoctin Creek watershed is MD-02140305.

EPA's rationale is based on the TMDL Report and information in the computer files provided to EPA by MDE. EPA's review determined that the TMDL meets the following seven regulatory requirements pursuant to 40 CFR Part 130.

- 1. The TMDL is designed to implement applicable water quality standards.
- 2. The TMDL includes a total allowable load as well as individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) and load allocations (LAs).
- 3. The TMDL considers the impacts of background pollutant contributions.
- 4. The TMDL considers critical environmental conditions.
- 5. The TMDL considers seasonal environmental variations.
- 6. The TMDL includes a MOS.
- 7. The TMDL has been subject to public participation.

In addition, this TMDL considered reasonable assurance that the TMDL allocations assigned to nonpoint sources can be reasonably met.

II. Summary

The TMDL specifically allocates the allowable Phosphorus loading to the Catoctin Creek watershed. There are seventeen permitted point sources and an allocation for general permit for

Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) which are included in the WLA. The fact that the TMDL does not assign WLAs to any other sources in the watershed should not be construed as a determination by either EPA or MDE that there are no additional sources in the watershed that are subject to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program. In addition, the fact that EPA is approving this TMDL does not mean that EPA has determined whether some of the sources discussed in the TMDL, under appropriate conditions, might be subject to the NPDES program. The Phosphorus TMDL is presented as an average annual load in pounds per year because it was calculated so as to not cause any Phosphorus related impacts to aquatic life. The maximum daily Phosphorus Load is presented in pounds per day. The calculation of the maximum daily loads is explained in Appendix B of the TMDL report. The average annual Catoctin Creek watershed TMDL is summarized in Table 1 below. The TMDL is the sum of the LAs, CAFO WLA, NPDES Stormwater WLA, Process Water WLA, and MOS. The maximum daily load is presented in Table 2. Individual annual average and maximum daily WLAs for permitted point sources are provided in Table 3.

Table 1. Catoctin Creek Watershed Average Annual TMDL of
Phosphorus (lbs/yr)

TMDL (lbs/yr)		LA		spiloi us (i		WLA				MOS
91,098	=	68,207	+	CAFO WLA	+	NPDES Stormwater WLA	+	Process Water WLA	+	Implicit
				65		12,948		9,878		

Table 2. Catoctin Creek Watershed Maximum Daily Loads of Phosphorus (lbs/day)

MDL (lbs/day)	LA		WLA					MOS
751 =	560	+	CAFO WLA 1	NPDES Stormwater WLA 106	+	Process Water WLA 84	+	Implicit

Table 3. Wasteload Allocations for Point Sources in the Catoctin Creek Watershed

Facility	NPDES ID Number	WLA (lbs/yr)	MDL (lbs/day)		
Process Water Point Source		(/-)	(103/44)		
Middletown WWTP (Mainstem)	MD0024406				
The Jefferson School (Mainstem)	MD0067521				
Everett V. Moser, Inc.	MDG499792	****	400		
Farmers Cooperative Assoc, Inc.	MDG344132	**************************************			
Hollow Creek Golf Club	MD0070823				
Skycroft Baptist Conference Center	MDG766216	and the second s			
Fountaindale WWTP	MD0022721	9,878	84		
I-70 Rest Stop WWTP	MD0023680				
Jefferson WWTP	MD0020737	2			
Middletown East WWTP	MD0067628				
Myersville WWTP	MD0020699	· ·			
Old South Mountain Inn	MD0055425	The state of the s			

NPDES Regulated Stormwater Point Sources			
	MD0068357	7,374	60.5
Frederick County Phase I	MD0068276	1.876	15.4
SHA Phase I MS4	MDR055500	2,775	22.8
Municipal Phase II MS4	MDROSSOO	923	7.6
Other NPDES Regulated Stormwater		65	1
NPDES Regulated Animal Feeding Operations			

Table 4. NDES Regulated Stormwater Permits in the Catoctin Creek Watershed

Permit	DES Regulated Storing attention	NPDES Group
Number	Facility	
MD0068357	Frederick County MS4	County Phase-I
MD0068276	State Highway Administration MS4	SHA Phase I
MDR055500	Town of Middleton MS4	Municipal Phase-II
MDR055500	Town of Myersville MS4	Municipal Phase-II
MDK033300	MDE General Permit to Construct	Other NPDES Regulated SW

The TMDL is a written plan established to ensure that a waterbody will attain and maintain water quality standards. The TMDL is a scientifically based strategy that considers current and foreseeable conditions, the best available data, and accounts for uncertainty with the inclusion of a MOS value. The option is always available to refine the TMDL for resubmittal to EPA for approval if environmental conditions, new data, or the understanding of the natural processes change more than what was anticipated by the MOS.

III. Background

The Catoctin Creek watershed is located within the Middle Potomac River Sub-basin in Frederick County, Maryland. It encompasses the southwestern portion of Frederick County and is framed by Catoctin Mountain to the east and South Mountain to the west. The mainstem flows through the Middletown Valley and eventually empties into the Potomac River approximately three miles upstream from Point of Rocks. The Catoctin Creek watershed drains an area of 76,994 acres, which includes areas of forested mountain slopes, agricultural valleys, and small towns. The primary urban centers are the areas surrounding Middletown and Myersville, and the unincorporated residential areas along Highway 340 near Jefferson. The landuse distribution in the Catoctin Creek watershed consists of forest (44.3%), crop land (33.5%), pasture (7.3%), regulated urban (14.6%), water (0.2%), animal feeding operations (0.1%), and nurseries (0.1%). The population in the Catoctin Creek watershed, based on the 2000 U.S. Census, was 20,700 (MDE 2006).

The Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) has identified the waters of Catoctin Creek on the State's 2010 Integrated Report as impaired by nutrients (1996 listing) and impacts to biological communities (2002) (MDE 2010a). All impairments are listed for non-tidal streams. Because scientific research supports that phosphorus is generally the limiting nutrient in freshwater aquatic systems, the 1996 nutrient listing was refined in the 2008 Integrated Report to identify phosphorus as the specific impairing substance (MDE 2008). A TMDL for Sediment was approved by EPA in 2009. The listing for impacts to biological communities will be addressed separately at a future date.

The Maryland Surface Water Use Designation in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) for the mainstem Catoctin Creek above alternate U.S. Route 40 is Use III-P (Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Nontidal Cold Water Aquatic Life and Public Water Supply) and below alternate U.S. Route 40 is Use IV-P (Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Aquatic Life, Recreational Trout Waters and Public Water Supply); tributaries to Catoctin Creek are designated Use III-P (COMAR 2012a,b,c,d).

Currently, there are no specific numeric criteria for nutrients in Maryland's water quality standards for the protection of aquatic life in free-flowing non-tidal waters. MDE has developed a biological stressor identification (BSID) analysis to identify potential stressors of aquatic life, including nutrients, in 1st through 4th order streams assessed by the Maryland Biological Stream Survey (MBSS). The impact of excess nutrients on smaller-order streams in the watershed will be evaluated on the basis of the BSID analysis, which provides necessary and sufficient conditions for determining whether phosphorus is a potential stressor of the biological community in smaller-order streams.

The Catoctin Creek watershed aquatic health scores, consisting of the Benthic Index of Biotic Integrity (BIBI) and Fish Index of Biotic Integrity (FIBI), indicate that the biological metrics for the watershed exhibit a significant negative deviation from reference conditions (Roth et al. 2005). The BSID analysis for the Catoctin Creek watershed identified both phosphorus and nitrogen as a potential stressors (MDE 2012a). Both total phosphorus and orthophosphate show a significant association with degraded biological conditions; as much as 54% of the biologically impacted stream miles in the watershed may be degraded due to high total phosphorus and 82% degraded due to high orthophosphate. Similarly, according to the BSID analysis, 78% of the biologically impacted stream miles in the Catoctin Creek watershed are associated with high total nitrogen concentrations. An analysis of observed TN:TP ratios, however, indicate that phosphorus is the limiting nutrient in the Catoctin Creek watershed. Because nitrogen generally exists in quantities greater than necessary to sustain algal growth, excess nitrogen per se is not the cause of the biological impairment in Catoctin Creek, and the reduction of nitrogen loads would not be an effective means of ensuring that the Catoctin Creek watershed is free from impacts on aquatic life from eutrophication. Therefore, load allocations for the Catoctin Creek Nutrient TMDL will apply only to total phosphorus.

A data solicitation for information pertaining to pollutants, including nutrients, in the Catoctin Creek watershed was conducted by MDE in November 2009 and all readily available data from the period of 1998 up to the time of the TMDL development have been considered. MDE conducted surveys along the Catoctin Creek from October 2000 through October 2002. The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) collected data in the watershed from January 1998 through June 2007. Data from MBSS sampling conducted in the spring of 2003 were also used. A total of 25 water quality monitoring stations were used to characterize the Catoctin Creek watershed. There were 14 biological/physical habitat monitoring stations from the MBSS program and 2 biological monitoring stations from the Maryland CORE/TREND monitoring network. MDE also sampled at one CORE/TREND Station and at nine additional locations.

Biological results from both the DNR CORE/TREND and MBSS stations along the mainstem of the MD 8-digit Catoctin Creek indicate that mainstem water quality can be classified as Good to Good/Very Good. Based on this information, MDE concluded that the nutrient impairment in the Maryland portion of the Catoctin Creek watershed is restricted to the lower order streams of the watershed.

CWA Section 303(d) and its implementing regulations require that TMDLs be developed for waterbodies identified as impaired by the State where technology based and other required controls do not provide for attainment of water quality standards. The objective of the phosphorus TMDL is to reduce phosphorus loads, and subsequent effects on aquatic health, in the Catoctin Creek watershed to levels that support the Use III-P/Use IV designations (COMAR 2012a,b,c).

The computational framework chosen for the Catoctin Creek watershed TMDL was the Chesapeake Bay Program Phase 5.3.2 (CBP P5.3.2) Watershed Model. The spatial domain of the CBP P5.3.2 Watershed Model segmentation aggregates to the Maryland 8-digit watersheds, which is consistent with the impairment listing.

In order to quantify the impact of phosphorus on the aquatic health of non-tidal stream systems, a reference watershed TMDL approach was used, that resulted in the establishment of a phosphorus loading threshold for watersheds within the Highland and Piedmont physiographic regions. Reference watersheds were determined based on Maryland's biocriteria methodology. The biocriteria methodology assesses biological impairment at the 8-digit watershed scale based on the percentage of MBSS monitoring stations, translated into watershed stream miles, which are degraded. Individual monitoring station impairment is determined based on BIBI/FIBI scores lower than the Minimum Allowable IBI Limit (MAL), which is calculated based on the average annual allowable IBI value of 3.0 (on a scale of 1 to 5). Applying the MAL threshold helps avoid classification errors when assessing biological impairment (Roth et al. 1998, 2000, Stribling et al. 1998, MDE 2010).

Comparison of watershed phosphorus loads to loads from reference watersheds requires that the watersheds be similar in physical and hydrological characteristics. To satisfy this requirement, Currey *et al.* (2006) selected reference watersheds only from the Highland and Piedmont physiographic regions (see Appendix A of the TMDL report for the list of reference watersheds). This region is consistent with the non-coastal region that was identified in the 1998 development of FIBI and subsequently used in the development of BIBI (Roth *et al.* 1998; Stribling *et al.* 1998).

To reduce the effect of the variability within the Highland and Piedmont physiographic regions, the watershed phosphorus loads were then normalized by a constant background condition: the all forested watershed condition. This new normalized term, defined as the *forest normalized phosphorus load*, represents how many times greater the current watershed phosphorus load is than the *all forested phosphorus load*. The *forest normalized phosphorus load* for this TMDL is calculated as the current watershed phosphorus load (calculated using the CBP P5.3.2 2009 Progress Scenario) divided by the *all forested phosphorus load*. Based on this, the *forest normalized phosphorus load* for the Catoctin Creek watershed is 7.59.

Twelve reference watersheds were selected from the Highland/Piedmont region. Reference watershed *forest normalized phosphorus loads* were calculated using CBP P5.3.2 2009 Progress Scenario landuse and phosphorus loads. Table A-1 in Appendix A shows the annual forest normalized phosphorus loads for reference watersheds, averaged over the simulation period 1991-2000 from the CBP P5.3.2 Progress 2009 Scenario. The median and 75th percentile of the reference watershed *forest phosphorus loads* were calculated and found to be 7.18 and 8.71 respectively. The median value of 7.18 was established as the *phosphorus loading threshold* as an environmentally conservative approach to develop this TMDL. Catoctin Creek's forest normalized load exceeds the *forest normalized reference phosphorus load* (also referred to as the *phosphorus loading threshold*), indicating that Catoctin Creek is receiving loads above the maximum allowable load the watershed can sustain without causing any phosphorus related impacts to aquatic health.

The Catoctin Creek watershed baseline nutrient loads are estimated using the landuse and EOS phosphorus loading rates from the CBP P5.3.2 2009 Progress Scenario. The 2009 Progress Scenario represents current land-use, loading rates, and BMP implementation simulated using precipitation and other meteorological inputs from the period 1991-2000 to represent variable hydrological conditions, thereby addressing annual changes in hydrology and capturing wet, average and dry years. The period 1991-2000 is the baseline hydrological period for the Chesapeake Bay TMDL. Watershed loading calculations, based on the CBP P5.3.2 segmentation scheme, are represented by multiple CBP P5.3.2 model segments within each MD 8-digit watershed. The nutrient loads from these segments are combined to represent the baseline condition. The Maryland point source nutrient loads are estimated based on the existing discharge monitoring data and permit information. The total baseline phosphorus load for the Catoctin Creek watershed is 95,013 lbs per year, of which mainstem point sources account for 1,175 lbs per year of phosphorus.

The allowable load for the impaired watershed is calculated as the product of the *phosphorus loading threshold* (determined from watersheds with healthy biological communities) and the Catoctin Creek *all forested phosphorus load*. The resulting load is considered the maximum allowable load the watershed can sustain without causing any nutrient related impacts to aquatic health. The Catoctin Creek watershed average annual TMDL of Phosphorus is 91,098 lbs/yr. The Catoctin Creek TMDL is further subdivided into point and nonpoint source allocations and is comprised of a LA of 68,207 lbs/yr, a CAFO WLA of 65 lbs/yr, an NPDES Stormwater WLA of 12,948 lbs/yr, and a NPDES Process Water WLA of 9,878 lbs/yr.

IV. Discussion of Regulatory Conditions

EPA finds that MDE has provided sufficient information to meet all seven of the basic requirements for establishing a Phosphorus TMDL for the Catoctin Creek watershed. EPA, therefore, approves this Phosphorus TMDL for the Catoctin Creek watershed. This approval is outlined below according to the seven regulatory requirements.

1) The TMDLs are designed to implement applicable water quality standards.

Water Quality Standards consist of three components: designated and existing uses; narrative and/or numerical water quality criteria necessary to support those uses; and an anti-degradation Statement. The Maryland Surface Water Use Designation in the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) for the mainstem Catoctin Creek above alternate U.S. Route 40 is Use III-P (Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Nontidal Cold Water Aquatic Life and Public Water Supply) and below alternate U.S. Route 40 is Use IV-P (Water Contact Recreation, Protection of Aquatic Life, Recreational Trout Waters and Public Water Supply); tributaries to Catoctin Creek are designated Use III-P (COMAR 2012a,b,c,d).

Currently in Maryland, there are no specific numeric criteria that quantify the impact of nutrients on the aquatic health of non-tidal stream systems; therefore, a reference watershed TMDL approach was used. Phosphorus loads compatible with water quality standards are determined by comparing current phosphorus loading rates (lbs/ac/yr) in the Catoctin Creek watershed with the nutrient loading rates in unimpaired watersheds in the Piedmont and Highland ecoregions of Maryland. The Chesapeake Bay Program's Phase 5.3.2 Watershed Model was used to determine the phosphorus loads in both Catoctin Creek and the unimpaired watersheds that were be used to set the phosphorus TMDL for Catoctin Creek.

Low levels of dissolved oxygen are sometimes associated with the decay of excess primary production and therefore nutrient over-enrichment. The DO concentration to protect Use I-P waters "may not be less than 5 milligrams per liter (mg/l) at any time" and to protect Use III-P waters "may not be less than 5 mg/l at any time, with a minimum daily average of not less than 6 mg/l" (COMAR 2012e). The BSID analysis indicates that none of the biologically impacted stream miles are associated with low DO concentrations. The analysis of DO monitoring data confirms that DO criteria are currently met in the watershed.

This TMDL will establish phosphorus loads that will be protective of the Use III-P/IV-P designations for the Catoctin Creek watershed, and more specifically, these loads will be at a level the watershed can sustain without causing nutrient related impacts to aquatic health. The TMDL, however, will not completely resolve the impairment to biological communities within the watershed. Because the BSID watershed analysis identifies other possible stressors (*i.e.*, riparian habitat) as impacting the biological conditions, this impairment remains to be fully addressed through the Integrated Report listing process and the TMDL development process, such that all impairing substances identified as impacting biological communities in the watershed are reduced to levels that will meet water quality standards, as established in future TMDLs for those substances (MDE 2009a).

The objective of the Phosphorus TMDL established herein is to reduce phosphorus loads, and subsequent effects on aquatic health, in the Catoctin Creek watershed to levels that support the Use III-P/Use IV-P. EPA believes these are reasonable and appropriate water quality goals.

2) The TMDLs include a total allowable load as well as individual wasteload allocations and load allocations.

Total Allowable Load

EPA regulations at 40 CFR §130.2(i) state that the total allowable load shall be the sum of individual WLAs for point sources, LAs for nonpoint sources, and natural background concentrations. The TMDL for Phosphorus for the Catoctin Creek watershed is consistent with 40 CFR §130.2(i) because the total loads provided by MDE equal the sum of the individual WLAs for point sources and the land based LAs for nonpoint sources.

As discussed above, the allowable load for the impaired watershed is calculated as the product of the *phosphorus loading threshold* (determined from watersheds with healthy biological communities) and the Catoctin Creek *all forested phosphorus load*. The Phosphorus TMDL for the Catoctin Creek watershed was calculated to be 91,098 lbs/yr. This load is considered the maximum allowable load the watershed can sustain and support aquatic life. The Phosphorus TMDL and allocations are presented as mass loading rates of pounds per year for the average annual load and pounds per day for the maximum daily load. Expressing TMDLs as annual average and maximum daily mass loading rates is consistent with Federal regulations at 40 CFR §130.2(i), which states that *TMDLs can be expressed in terms of either mass per time, toxicity, or other appropriate measure*. The annual average and maximum daily Phosphorus loads are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

In order to attain the TMDL loading cap calculated for the watershed, reductions to phosphorus baseline loads will be applied to the controllable sources. Significant phosphorus reductions will be required in the Catoctin Creek watershed to meet the phosphorus allocations assigned to the Potomac Tidal Fresh Bay Water Quality Segment by the Chesapeake Bay TMDL, established by the EPA on December 29, 2010. To ensure consistency with the Bay TMDL, and therefore efficiency in the reduction of phosphorus loads, reductions were applied to the same controllable sources identified in Maryland's Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) for the Bay TMDL. The controllable sources include: (1) regulated developed land; (2) high till crops, low till crops, hay, and pasture; (3) harvested forest; (4) unregulated animal feeding operations and CAFOs; and (5) industrial process sources and municipal wastewater treatment plants. Additional sources might need to be controlled in order to ensure that the water quality standards are attained in Chesapeake Bay as well as Catoctin Creek.

The baseline and TMDL scenarios for Catoctin Creek watershed are presented in Table 5.

Table 5: Catoctin Watershed TMDL for Phosphorus

	Baseline Load (lbs/yr)	TMDL Scenario Load (lbs/yr)	Reduction (%)
MD 8-digit	93,839	88,776	5%
Mainstem	1,175	2,322	270
Total	95,013	91,098	4%

¹Mainstem comprises WWTPs discharging directly to Catoctin Creek. TMDL is for informational purposes only.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding

Load Allocations

According to Federal regulations at 40 CFR §130.2(g), LAs are best estimates of the loading, which may range from reasonably accurate estimates to gross allotments, depending on the availability of data and appropriate techniques for predicting the loading. Wherever possible, natural and nonpoint source loadings should be distinguished. The LA for the Catoctin Creek watershed is 68,207 lbs/yr.

The nonpoint source nutrient loads generated within the Catoctin Creek watershed are calculated as the sum of corresponding land-use edge-of-stream (EOS) loads within the watershed and represent a long-term average loading rate. Individual land-use EOS loads are calculated as a product of the land-use acreage and the average annual simulated phosphorus loading rates (lbs/ac/yr) from the 2009 Progress Scenario (US EPA 2010). The 2009 Scenario represents current land-use, loading rates, and BMP implementation, simulated using precipitation and other meteorological inputs from the period 1991-2000 to represent variable hydrological conditions. The 1991-2000 simulation period represents the baseline loading rates in the TMDL for Chesapeake Bay segments.

There are no CSOs in the Catoctin Creek watershed, and phosphorus loads from septic systems are considered insignificant. Equal reductions were applied to the controllable loads from predominant sources. Controllable loads were determined, in accordance with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL (US EPA 2010a), as the difference between the CBP 2010 "No Action" Scenario and the "E3" Scenario, where the No Action Scenario represents current land uses and point sources without nutrient controls, while the E3 Scenario represents application of all possible BMPs and control technologies to current land uses and point sources. This allocation methodology provides credit for existing BMPs in place, which is one the reasons the resulting reduction vary among source sectors.

In this watershed, crop, pasture, nurseries, developed land, and municipal WWTPs were identified as the largest controllable sources. Forest is the primary non-controllable source, as it represents the most natural condition in the watershed. Direct atmospheric deposition on water is a minor source that to a large extent originates outside the watershed. Atmospheric deposition will be reduced by existing state and federal programs and thus is not addressed in this TMDL. The Catoctin Creek Phosphorus TMDL requires a 5% reduction in phosphorus loads from nonpoint sources (See Table 6).

Table 6: Catoctin Creek Phosphorus TMDL Allocation by Nonpoint Source Category

	N. Garage Cotegowy	Baseline Load (lbs/yr)	TMDL (lbs/yr)	Reduction (%)
General Category	Nonpoint Source Category			
	Forest	5,419		
Forest	Harvested Forest	215	215	0%
AFOs	Animal Feeding Operations	4,227	3,907	8%
	Pasture	10,491	9,815	6%
Pasture	Crop	44,683	42,050	6%
Crop		6,904	6,726	3%
Nursery	Nursery	1 0	0	0%
Septic	Septic		1	<u> </u>

General Category	Nonpoint Source Category	Baseline Load	TMDL (lbs/vr)	
Atmospheric Deposition	Non-tidal Atmospheric Deposition ¹	(103/y1)	(IUS/yr)	(%)
Total	Topostion	/3	/5	0%
No reduction - based on	2025 federal atmospheric deposition of	72,014	68,207	5%

No reduction – based on 2025 federal atmospheric deposition strategies.

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Wasteload Allocations

WLAs have been calculated for NPDES regulated individual industrial, individual municipal, individual municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), general industrial stormwater, and general MS4 permits in the Catoctin Creek watershed. The permits can be grouped into two categories, process water and stormwater.

The NPDES process water category includes those loads generated by the following continuous discharge sources: (1) major publically-owned wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (facilities with flow of 0.5 MGD or more) that are slated for Enhanced Nutrient Removal (ENR); (2) minor municipal WWTP (facilities discharging less than 0.5 MGD) and industrial facilities whose permits have total phosphorus (TP) limits; (3) minor municipal WWTPs with no phosphorus permit limits; and (4) industrial facilities which, based on the process involved, are expected to discharge nutrients. There are four industrial and eight municipal facilities capable of discharging phosphorus in the Catoctin Creek watershed. All are minor facilities not slated for ENR.

The WLAs for process water sources are based on the WLAs assigned to each facility under the Chesapeake Bay TMDL (EPA, 2010) and Maryland's Phase I and Phase II Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) (MDE 2010 and 2012, respectively). These WLAs are designed to meet the Phase II 2025 final implementation goal for the Bay TMDL. The WLAs are loading caps which are designed to accommodate future growth after full implementation of the Bay TMDL in 2025. The WLAs for major and minor municipal facilities with nutrient permit limits are calculated based on their phosphorus limits and design flow. The WLAs for the remainder of the minor municipal facilities are calculated based on their design flow or their projected 2020 flow, whichever is less, and expected maximum phosphorus concentrations of 3 mg/l. Four industrial facilities discharging process water in the Catoctin Creek watershed have the capacity to discharge TP in their process water. Under the Chesapeake Bay TMDL, industrial facilities capable of discharging phosphorus in their process water were given WLAs based on the results of monitoring required by their permits and professional judgment. These WLAs were adopted for the Catoctin Creek Phosphorus TMDL. Based on the WLAs assigned to WWTPs, and industrial facilities under the Chesapeake Bay TMDL, the Catoctin Creek Phosphorus TMDL does not require a reduction in phosphorus loads from process water sources.

The stormwater category includes all NPDES regulated stormwater discharges. There are five NPDES Phase I and Phase II stormwater permits identified throughout the Catoctin Creek watershed. These include both general Phase I and II stormwater permits. These stormwater permits are regulated based on Best Management Practices (BMPs) and do not include nutrient limits. In the absence of nutrient limits, the baseline loads for these NPDES regulated stormwater discharges are calculated using phosphorus loading rates and acreages from

developed land-uses within the watershed. Individual WLAs have been calculated for each of the Phase I county MS4 permits and the SHA Phase I MS4 permit. An aggregate WLA has been calculated for the general municipal Phase II NPDES stormwater permits for the towns of Middletown and Myersville.

The Catoctin Creek NPDES stormwater WLA is based on reductions applied to the controllable phosphorus loads from the regulated developed landuse in the watershed, with credit provided to existing BMPs in place. The Catoctin NPDES stormwater WLA requires an overall reduction of 9% for phosphorus.

In January 2009, Maryland implemented new regulations governing CAFOs (COMAR 26.08.01, 26.08.03, and 26.08.04), which were approved by the EPA in January, 2010. Under these regulations, CAFOs are required to fulfill the conditions of a general permit. These conditions include instituting a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) which meets the Nine Minimum Standards to Protect Water Quality (MDE 2009b). The general permit also prohibits the discharge of pollutants, including nutrients, from CAFO production areas except as a result of event greater than the 25-year, 24-hour storm. Based on the TMDL methodology approach of applying an equal percent reduction to all controllable loads, the Catoctin Creek Phosphorus TMDL does not require a reduction in phosphorus loads from CAFOs. Table 3 provides the WLA for CAFOs.

Federal regulations at 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B) require that, for an NPDES permit for an individual point source, the effluent limitations must be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of any available WLA for the discharge prepared by the State and approved by EPA. There is no express or implied statutory requirement that effluent limitations in NPDES permits necessarily be expressed in daily terms. The CWA definition of "effluent limitation" is quite broad (effluent limitation is "any restriction on quantities, rates, and concentrations of chemical, physical, biological, and other constituents which are discharged from point sources ...)." See CWA 502(11). Unlike the CWA's definition of TMDL, the CWA definition of "effluent limitation" does not contain a "daily" temporal restriction. NPDES permit regulations do not require that effluent limits in permits be expressed as maximum daily limits or even as numeric limitations in all circumstances, and such discretion exists regardless of the time increment chosen to express the TMDL. For further guidance, refer to Benjamin H. Grumbles memo (November 15, 2006) titled Establishing TMDL Daily Loads in Light of the Decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. EPA, et al., No. 05-5015 (April 25, 2006) and implications for NPDES Permits.

EPA has authority to object to the issuance of an NPDES permit that is inconsistent with WLAs established for that point source. It is expected that MDE will require periodic monitoring of the point source(s), through the NPDES permit process, in order to monitor and determine compliance with the TMDL's WLAs. Based on the foregoing, EPA has determined that the TMDLs are consistent with the regulations and requirements of 40 CFR Part 130.

3) The TMDLs consider the impacts of background pollutant contributions.

The TMDLs consider the impact of background pollutants by considering the Phosphorus

load from natural sources such as forested land. The CBP P5.3.2 model also considers background pollutant contributions by incorporating all land uses.

4) The TMDLs consider critical environmental conditions.

EPA regulations at 40 CFR §130.7(c)(1) require TMDLs to account for critical conditions for stream flow, loading, and water quality parameters. The intent of the regulations is to ensure that: (1) the TMDLs are protective of human health, and (2) the water quality of the waterbodies is protected during the times when they are most vulnerable. Critical conditions are important because they describe the factors that combine to cause a violation of water quality standards and will help in identifying the actions that may have to be undertaken to meet water quality standards¹. Critical conditions are a combination of environmental factors (e.g., flow, temperature, etc.), which have an acceptably low frequency of occurrence. In specifying critical conditions in the waterbody, an attempt is made to use a reasonable worst-case scenario condition.

Since the premise of the reference watershed approach is that the reference watershed is meeting water quality standards even under critical conditions, then the phosphorus loading rates derived from the reference watershed protects water quality standards under critical conditions. Moreover, the loading rates used in the TMDL were determined using the HSPF model, which is a continuous simulation model with a simulation period 1991-2000. The ten year simulation period encompasses seasonal variations and a range of hydrological and meteorological conditions. Also, the biological monitoring data used to determine the reference watersheds also integrates the stress effects over the course of time and thus inherently addresses critical conditions.

5) The TMDLs consider seasonal environmental variations.

In the Catoctin Creek watershed Phosphorus TMDL, seasonality is captured in two respects. First, it is implicitly included through the use of the biological monitoring data. Second, the MBSS dataset included benthic sampling collected in the spring and fish sampling collected in the summer. Thus, this analysis has captured both spring and summer flow conditions.

6) The TMDLs include a Margin of Safety.

The requirement for a MOS is intended to add a level of conservatism to the modeling process in order to account for uncertainty. Based on EPA guidance, the MOS can be achieved through two approaches. One approach is to reserve a portion of the loading capacity as a separate term, and the other approach is to incorporate the MOS as part of the design conditions. MDE has adopted an implicit MOS for this TMDL.

¹ EPA memorandum regarding EPA Actions to Support High Quality TMDLs from Robert H. Wayland III, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds to the Regional Management Division Directors, August 9, 1999.

It is proposed that the estimated variability around the reference watershed group used in this analysis already accounts for such uncertainty. Analysis of the reference watershed group forest normalized phosphorus loads indicates that approximately 75% of the reference watersheds have a value less than 8.71. Also, 50% of the reference watersheds have a value less than 7.18. Based on this analysis the forest normalized reference phosphorus load (also referred to as the phosphorus loading threshold) was set at the median value of 7.18. This is considered an environmentally conservative estimate, since 50% of the reference watersheds have a load above this value (7.18), which when compared to the 75% value (8.71), results in an implicit MOS of approximately 18%.

7) The TMDLs have been subject to public participation.

MDE provided an opportunity for public review and comment on the Phosphorus TMDL for the Catoctin Creek watershed. The public review and comment period was open from July 16, 2012 through August 15, 2012. MDE received two sets of written comments. The comments were considered and addressed appropriately.

A letter was sent to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (US FWS) pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Endangered Species Act, requesting the Service's concurrence with EPA's findings that approval of this TMDL does not adversely affect any listed endangered and threatened species, and their critical habitats.

US FWS's response to EPA's letter stated that except for occasional transient individuals, no federally proposed or listed endangered or threatened species are known to exist within the project impact area and therefore, no biological assessment or further Section 7 consultation with US FWS was required.

V. Discussion of Reasonable Assurance

EPA requires that there be a reasonable assurance that the TMDLs can be implemented. WLAs will be implemented through the NPDES permit process. According to 40 CFR §122.44(d)(1)(vii)(B), the effluent limitations for an NPDES permit must be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of any available WLA for the discharge prepared by the State and approved by EPA. Furthermore, EPA has the authority to object to issuance of an NPDES permit that is inconsistent with WLAs established for that point source.

The Catoctin Creek phosphorus TMDL is expected to be implemented as part of a staged process recently developed by Maryland. This staged process is designed to achieve both the nutrient reductions needed within the Lower Monocacy watershed and to meet target loads consistent with the Chesapeake Bay TMDL, established by EPA in 2010 (US EPA 2010a) and scheduled for full implementation by 2025. The Bay TMDL requires reductions of nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment loads throughout the Bay watershed to meet water quality standards that protect the designated uses in the Bay and its tidal tributaries. The nutrient reductions for the Bay TMDL are independent of those needed to implement any TMDLs developed to address nutrient-related impairments in Maryland's non-tidal waterbodies, although their reduction goals and strategies do overlap. For example, the implementation planning framework, developed by

the Bay watershed jurisdictions in partnership with EPA, provides a staged approach to achieving Bay TMDL nutrient reduction goals that is also applicable to implementation of nutrient TMDLs in local non-tidal watersheds. In short, nutrient reductions required to meet the Chesapeake Bay TMDL will also support the restoration and protection of local water quality.

Once the Bay TMDL nutrient target loads for the Catoctin Creek watershed have been met, MDE will revisit the status of nutrient impacts on aquatic life in Catoctin Creek, based on any additional monitoring data available and any improvements in the scientific understanding of the impacts of nutrients on aquatic life in free-flowing streams. The results of this reassessment will determine whether additional phosphorus reductions are needed in the watershed, or whether the Catoctin Creek phosphorus TMDL goals have in fact been met.

In addition, MDE plans to use a series of legislative actions and funding programs to support TMDL implementation. Some of these include:

- Maryland recently enacted significant new legislation that requires Phase I MS4 jurisdictions to establish, by July 1, 2013, an annual stormwater remediation fee and a local watershed protection and restoration fund to support implementation of local stormwater management plans. Maryland has made a commitment to include provisions in Phase I and II MS4 permits, due for issuance in 2012, to implement the State's WIP strategies to reduce nutrient and sediment loads from urban stormwater sources.
- Maryland has also enacted significant new legislation to increase the Bay Restoration
 Fund to provide financing for wastewater treatment plant upgrades and on-site septic
 system improvements, as well as legislation to guide growth of central sewer and septic
 systems.
- In response to the WIP and the increased burden on local governments to achieve nutrient reduction goals, Maryland has continued to increase funding in the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Trust Fund.
- Additional potential funding sources for implementation include Maryland's Agricultural Cost Share Program (MACS) which provides grants to farmers to help protect natural resources, and the Environmental Quality and Incentives Program, which focuses on implementing conservation practices and BMPs on land involved with livestock and production.

For more details about these and other legislative actions and funding programs, refer to Section 5.0 of the TMDL report.

				€/ * * * ~
,				