MARYLAND WATER QUALITY TRADING ADVISORY COMMITTEE FEBRUARY 22, 2016

MS4 Trading:

Mechanisms for Annual Trading & Crediting with WWTPs presented by Chris Pomeroy, AquaLaw (MAMWA / MAMSA Representative)

[The following notes accompany the related 2/22/16 Powerpoint Presentation by the same title.]

A. WWTP Example Notes

- 1. Pick a useful forecast period and update annually (Columns 2016 2021)
- 2. Owners forecast loads (later measure/report on DMR) (Rows 8 12)
- 3. Owners forecast credits (later demonstrate from DMR) (Rows 14 16)
- 4. Owners specify their credit use / trading activity (Rows 17 19) (Item C below)

B. MS4 Example Notes

- 1. Owners calculate potential restoration using credits from MS4 Permit (Rows 7 10)
- 2. Owners calculate and specify their credit demand (Rows 11 15)
- 3. Owners specify their credit use / trading activity (Rows 16 18)

C. Trading Region Example

- 1. All transactions planned in advance of the "compliance year"
- 2. Address by trading region (3), parameter (N, P, S), by year (Cell L2)
- 3. Include multiple owner / facility types (Column A)
- 4. Note common owner of facilities (shaded rows) for internal credit use (Ex: Rows 8-9)
- 5. Full DMR-based transparency / accountability on credit generation (Columns C D)
- 6. Use owners forecasts of credit supply and demand (Columns D E)
- 7. Account for internal credit use within owner bubble (Column F)
- 8. Account for private bilateral trades (WWTP, Ag, Mitigation Banks) (Column G)
- 9. Exchange would have a mechanism for setting price in advance (Cell J4)
- 10. Exchange buys credits from selling WWTPs for pro rata revenue share (Column K)
- 10. Exchange sells credits to meet remaining needs of buyers (Column J)
- 12. All transactions reconciled in true-up process promptly following close of compliance year using actual data from DMRs and/or official reports
- 13. Exchange can also meet unanticipated compliance credit needs MS4s (construction delays) or WWTPs (upset) during true-up process to meet permit