

MDEStat Meeting

August 30, 2010



Shari T. Wilson, Secretary

Sue Battle-McDonald, Stat Director

Table 1: CAFO/MAFO Permitting Activity, Updated 8/23/10 (continued)

	3/29/10	5/10/10	6/14/10	7/26/10	8/23/10
1. Number of CAFO NOIs received	518	524	526	529	531
2. Number of CAFO NOIs received that changed from CAFO to MAFO	83	98	102	104	103
3. Number of CAFO NOIs received that were subsequently withdrawn, if any	14	15	16	16	15
4. Number of CAFO NOIs received and still classified as CAFOs	421	411	408	409	418
5. Number of CAFO sites for which a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans (CNMP) has been received (total, including both those that have completed initial public review and those that have not)	72	91	107	121	130
6. Number of CAFO sites that have passed initial review, prior to public review	NR	8	9	15	27

Table 1: CAFO/MAFO Permitting Activity, Updated 8/23/10

	3/29/10	5/10/10	6/14/10	7/26/10	8/23/10
7. Number of CAFO sites that have completed the public review process	0	0	0	9	15
8. Number of CAFO sites for which a compliance schedule has been received (initial deadline 1/4/10; followup deadline 3/12/10)	254	279	292	306	314
9. Number of CAFO sites with compliance schedules executed and in force	NR	99	166	243	266
10. Number of CAFO sites for which neither a CNMP nor a compliance schedule has been received*	95	60	61	33	29
11. Number of CAFO sites that have submitted both a CNMP AND a compliance schedule	NR	21	26	36	46
12. Number of CAFO sites for which neither a CNMP nor a compliance schedule has been received but have sent in the supplementary form or CNMP status form*	NR	17	27	14	18
13. Number of CAFO sites that have not responded since original submittal of NOIs in February 2009*	NR	49	42 (8 of the 42 came in after 11/2009)	19 (of which 8 came in after 11/2009)	11 (of which 4 came in after 11/2009)

Shari T. Wilson, Secretary



Sue Battle-McDonald, Stat Director

**Table 2: CAFO Inspection Tracking, Updated
8/23/10 (continued)**

1. Category	2. Total Number	3. Number Inspected to Date	4. Number Found to be CAFOs**	5. Total Number of Violations Found (including minor ones)	6. Number of Significant Violations Found
Categorized by Permit Status					
A. Suspected CAFOs (farms that never sent NOIs but appear to be CAFOs based on “drive-by” or other information)	52	34	31	5	0
B. Farms That Sent NOIs but Have Subsequently Been Nonresponsive	0	19	14	14	0
C. Farms That Registered as CAFOs but Subsequently Withdrew	14	18	11	4	0
D. Farms on Compliance Schedules	266	20	NA	6	0
E. Farms with CNMPs	128	2	NA	0	0
F. Totals	460	93	56	29	0

Table 2: CAFO Inspection Tracking, Updated 8/23/10

1. Category	2. Total Number	3. Number Inspected to Date	4. Number Found to be CAFOs**	5. Total Number of Violations Found (including minor ones)	6. Number of Significant Violations Found
Categorized by Farm Type					
G. Poultry Farms	518	101	NA	0	0
H. Dairy Farms	9	1	NA	0	0
I. Cattle (including Heifers)	2	0	NA	0	0
J. Swine	2	0	NA	0	0
K. Totals	531	102	NA	0?	0?
L. Add'l Sites Inspected*	14	14	NA	5	0

** Several CAFOs are composed of several separate farms. They count as one inspection of an AI, but several sites compose that one inspection or AI. Each site takes an effort equal to one inspection, so should be credited as such. Some of the poultry farm inspections include inspections of several farms, which count as one inspection on the list of categories, but are counted as separate farms under the number of poultry farms inspected.*

*** Sites found to be CAFOs are given 30 days to send in the required NOI.*

Table 3: LMA Use of ARRA Funds for LUST Cleanups, Updated 8/23/10

	3/26/10	5/10/10	7/26/10	8/23/10
Percent obligated	37%	38%	41%	41.14
Percent expended – target is 100% by 9/20/11*	13%	15%	20%	21.11
Number of sites	77	77	76	82
Number of sites identified for file review	NR	NR	64	68
Number of file reviews performed	35	35	35	38
Number of draft file reviews submitted to MDE	NR	10	23	23
Number of final file reviews submitted to MDE	10	10	16	20
Number of UST closures performed	2	3	4	4
Number of draft UST closures reports received	NR	1	4	4
Number of final UST closures received	1	1	3	4
Number of site assessments initiated	NR	NR	NR	37
Number of site assessments completed	NR	NR	NR	7
Number of cleanups initiated	NR	NR	NR	5
Number of cleanups completed	NR	NR	NR	4
Total number of cases closed	NR	0	0	2

* Grant runs until 9/20/11; can be extended until 9/20/17 with EPA approval.

Table 4: Hazardous Waste Program Inspections

1. Inspection Type	2. Universe of Sites to be Inspected	3. Number of Sites HWP to Inspect per RCRA Grant Commitment During FFY10 (10/1/09 through 9/30/10)	4. Number of Sites Inspected FFY10 through August 23
Inspections of TSDs	21	Minimum 21	18
Inspections of non-bridge LQGs	375	Minimum 80	123
Inspections of bridges registered as LQGs	187	Minimum 5 (depending on whether active CHS generation; in communication with SHA)	7 (includes 1 audit of Francis Scott Key Bridge)
Inspections of CESQGs	4,736	Minimum 5	8
Inspections of SQGs	4,956	Minimum 60	56
Inspections of non-notifiers (NNs)	Unknown	Unknown	1
Totals	10,333	171	213

Table 5: Backlogged LQG Inspections, Updated 8/23/10

	7/26	8/23
Number of "Backlogged"* LQG Inspections	47	25

* An LQG site is in the backlog if it was registered prior to 12/1/05 and has not been inspected since 12/1/05.

Table 6: Backlogged Lead Renewal Registration

	5/15	6/14	7/1	7/26	8/23
Number of Forms Left to Process	23,000	18,125	15,277	7,123	0 (23,800 entered)

Table 7: Addressing Unregistered Pre-1950 Residential Rental Properties

Task	Original/Updated Target Completion Date	Previous Status	8/23 Status
Use information from City to reduce target universe of pre-1950 rentals.	Orig 4/30/10 Now 6/30/10	Obtained the number of vacant units. Requested a listing of the 16,594 units. Will use data to identify Baltimore City unregistered units. Will do remainder of State next. Working on targeted zip codes in City; targeting based on EBL data.	Received the listing of vacant units from Baltimore City. Working on comparing vacancy list with universe of pre-1950 rental units in Baltimore City.
Compare Lead Rental Registry and SDAT Registry and send letters to unmatched addresses.	Orig 6/15/10 Now 8/1/10	Not yet underway.	Not yet underway.
Review responses to letter to determine number of units still unregistered.	Orig 8/1/10 Now 9/1/10	Not yet underway.	Not yet underway.

Table 8: Manufacturers Required to Submit e-cycling Registrations

	6/14/10	7/26/10	8/23/10
Number of electronics manufacturers required to register	228	224	225
Number of electronics manufacturers currently registered and in good standing	47	62	60
Number of electronics manufacturers required to register but not currently registered	181	162	165
Number of enforcement letters mailed	Not requested		0