Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1] - Data from Cumberland has not yet been received as of 08-Sep-2009, but Cumberland had 366 days of storage available at the end of July.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1] - Data from Frostburg has not yet been received as of 06-Aug-2009, but Frostburg had 737 days of storage available at the end of May.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1] - Data from Cumberland has not yet been received as of 06-Jul-2009, but Cumberland had 381 days of storage available at the end of April.

[2] - Data from Frostburg has not yet been received as of 06-Jul-2009, but Frostburg had 737 days of storage available at the end of May.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

[1] - Data from Cumberland has not yet been received as of 02-Jun-2009, but Cumberland had 381 days of storage available at the end of April.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Watch	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Watch	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Watch	Emergency	Warning	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Warning	Emergency	Emergency	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Warning	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Watch	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 28, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Watch	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Watch	Watch	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Emergency	Watch	N/A	Normal
Southern	Watch	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31, 2009					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 07-Jan-2009 at Noon, but Frostburg had 544 days of storage remaining at the end of October

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for October 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions 30-Sep-09						
	Since Jun 30, 2009		Since Mar 31, 2009		WY to Date	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	72%	Watch	100%	Normal	87%	Normal
Central	119%	Normal	133%	Normal	103%	Normal
Eastern	126%	Normal	142%	Normal	113%	Normal
Southern	106%	Normal	132%	Normal	105%	Normal
[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.						





Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions August 31, 2009						
	Since May 31, 2009		WY to Date		Since Aug 31, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	95%	Normal	90%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	127%	Normal	102%	Normal	109%	Normal
Eastern	133%	Normal	110%	Normal	111%	Normal
Southern	124%	Normal	105%	Normal	109%	Normal
[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.						

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions July 31, 2009						
	Since Apr 30, 2009		WY to Date		Since Jul 31, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	123%	Normal	93%	Normal	93%	Normal
Central	133%	Normal	97%	Normal	101%	Normal
Eastern	126%	Normal	104%	Normal	97%	Normal
Southern	134%	Normal	101%	Normal	100%	Normal
[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.						

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

June 30, 2009

	Since Mar 31, 2009		WY to Date		Since Jun 30, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	126%	Normal	92%	Normal	96%	Normal
Central	147%	Normal	98%	Normal	101%	Normal
Eastern	161%	Normal	108%	Normal	99%	Normal
Southern	161%	Normal	104%	Normal	102%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

31-May-09

	Since Feb 28, 2009		WY to Date		Since May 31, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	98%	Normal	87%	Normal	94%	Normal
Central	117%	Normal	91%	Normal	96%	Normal
Eastern	125%	Normal	100%	Normal	96%	Normal
Southern	128%	Normal	96%	Normal	103%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

April 30, 2009

	Since Jan 31, 2009		WY to Date		Since Apr 30, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	64%	Warning	77%	Watch	97%	Normal
Central	72%	Watch	79%	Watch	95%	Normal
Eastern	83%	Normal	93%	Normal	99%	Normal
Southern	79%	Normal	84%	Normal	111%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

March 31, 2009

	Since Dec 31, 2008		WY to Date		Since Mar 31, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	56%	Warning	72%	Watch	100%	Normal
Central	52%	Emergency	69%	Warning	95%	Normal
Eastern	53%	Emergency	82%	Normal	96%	Normal
Southern	59%	Warning	73%	Watch	111%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

28-Feb-09

	Since Nov 30, 2008		WY to Date		Since Feb 29, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	98%	Normal	79%	Watch	106%	Normal
Central	74%	Watch	73%	Watch	98%	Normal
Eastern	76%	Normal	84%	Normal	95%	Normal
Southern	75%	Watch	74%	Watch	111%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

January 31, 2009

	WY to Date		Since Jul 31, 2008		Since Jan 31, 2009	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	88%	Normal	90%	Normal	111%	Normal
Central	85%	Normal	97%	Normal	107%	Normal
Eastern	101%	Normal	89%	Normal	102%	Normal
Southern	87%	Normal	90%	Normal	118%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

December 31, 2008

	WY to Date		Since Jun 30, 2008		Since Dec 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	88%	Normal	97%	Normal	110%	Normal
Central	86%	Normal	99%	Normal	104%	Normal
Eastern	112%	Normal	93%	Normal	101%	Normal
Southern	88%	Normal	93%	Normal	115%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

31-Oct-08

	Since Jul 31, 2008		Since Apr 31, 2008		Since Oct 31, 2008	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	76%	Normal	108%	Normal	109%	Normal
Central	101%	Normal	106%	Normal	102%	Normal
Eastern	63%	Normal	97%	Normal	91%	Normal
Southern	79%	Normal	129%	Normal	109%	Normal

[1]WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of September 30, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		15	10% - 15%	Watch
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		4	20% - 25%	Watch
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		31	30% - 35%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		123	40% - 45%	Normal
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	1	266	60% -65%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		17	55% - 60%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		123	80% - 85%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		184	90% - 95%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		37	80% - 85%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			12,943	60% - 65%	Normal
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		2.	2,309	30% - 35%	Normal

Notes:

1. Five missing values were ignored
2. One missing value was estimated using liner interpolation

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of August 31, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		42	25% - 30%	Normal
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		7	30% - 35%	Normal
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		45	30% - 35%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		170	65% - 70%	Normal
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central		465	80% - 85%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		22	70% - 75%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		85	60% - 65%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		270	>95%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		25	60% -65%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			27,490	90% - 95%	Normal
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)			3,720	45% - 50%	Normal

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of July 31, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		47	20% - 25%	Watch
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		20	65% - 70%	Normal
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		79	50% - 55%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		182	45% - 50%	Normal
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	1	328	50% - 55%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		24	55% - 60%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		76	35% - 40%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		47	55% - 60%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		5	25% - 30%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		2.	19,260	70% - 75%	Normal
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)			3,896	35% - 40%	Normal

Notes:

1. Five missing values were estimated using real time data.
2. One missing value was estimated using real time data.

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of June 30, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		285	75% - 80%	Normal
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		108	90%	Normal
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		371	80% - 85%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		304	65% - 70%	Normal
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	1	1,223	80% - 85%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		65	85% - 90%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		111	50% - 55%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		264	90% - 95%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		68	>95%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			35,657	75% - 80%	Normal
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)			16,701	90% - 95%	Normal

Notes:

1. Five missing days were ignored as status is clearly normal.

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of May 31, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		537	85% - 90%	Normal
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		161	80% - 85%	Normal
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		803	85% - 90%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		447	70% - 75%	Normal
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	1	1,699	60% - 65%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		60	75% - 80%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		127	45% - 50%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		187	75% - 80%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		56	80% - 85%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			39,973	40% - 45%	Normal
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)			28,216	90% - 95%	Normal

Notes:

1. - Eight values were estimated from incomplete realtime information using liner interpolation

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of April 30, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Average	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		485	55% - 60%	Normal
Savage River (near Barton)	Western		136	50% - 55%	Normal
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		675	55% 60%	Normal
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	1.	271	15% - 20%	Watch
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	2.	1,459	55% - 60%	Normal
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		41	30% - 35%	Normal
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		124	25% - 30%	Normal
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		213	55% - 60%	Normal
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		114	80% - 85%	Normal
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			45,647	10% - 15%	Watch
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)			17,959	45% - 50%	Normal

Notes:

1. - One missing day filled in via liner interpolation

2. - value for 30 Apr estimated from real time data

Stream Flow Status Based on 30 Day Average as of March 31, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Notes	30 Day Avg	Percentage	Status
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western		191	<5%	Emergency
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	1	56	<5%	Emergency
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western		180	<5%	Emergency
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central		159	<5%	Emergency
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central		424	<5%	Emergency
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central		22	<5%	Emergency
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central		71	<5%	Emergency
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern		84	5% - 10%	Warning
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern		32	<5%	Emergency
Susquehanna (at Marietta)			51,857	20% - 25%	Watch
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		1	5,048	<5%	Emergency

Notes:

1. – One missing day filled in via liner interpolation

Stream Flow Status as of February 28, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 02/28/2009	Flow (cfs) Reported on 02/28/2009	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 02/28/2009	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Feb 28	Historical Rank For Week Ending 02/28/2009
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	333	233	369	25% - 30%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	63	84	86	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	216	207	389	25% - 30%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Watch	173	174	314	15% - 20%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Watch	385	378	988	10% - 15%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Emergency	18	18	43	<5%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	71	69	126	15% - 20%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Emergency	60	60	167	<5%
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern	Emergency	16	17	67	<5%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	24,700	28,300	36,800	35% - 40%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	5,440	5,960	13,000	10% - 15%

Stream Flow Status as of January 31, 2009

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 01/31/2009	Flow (cfs) Reported on 01/31/2009	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 01/31/2009	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jan 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 01/31/2009
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	319	209[1]	287	35% - 40%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	144	147	66	75% - 80%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	133	142[1]	247	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	191	196	253	40% - 45%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	424	414[ice]	705	25% - 30%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	22	20[ice]	36	20%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	87	90[ice]	110	40%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	111	75	140	15% - 20%
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern	Normal	52	25	61	15% - 20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	15,650	17,300	27,400	30% - 35%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	5,270	6,030[1]	10,500	25% - 30%

[1] - Some missing values were filled in via liner interpolation

[ice] - Ice effects affected measurements during the week ending 31-Jan-2009

Stream Flow Status as of December 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 12/31/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 12/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 12/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Dec 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 12/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	342	705	268	80% - 85%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	115	210	54	90% - 95%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	430	776	201	85% - 90%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	269	311	203	70% - 75%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	899	1,210	620	75% - 90%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	18	20	27	35%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	90	104	92	55% - 60%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	79	88	102	40% - 45%
Nassawango Creek (near Snow Hill)	Eastern	Normal	37	49	44	50% - 55%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	111,000	87,200	27,100	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	11,000	13,200	8,095	70% - 75%

Stream Flow Status as of October 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 10/31/08	Flow (cfs) Reported on 10/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 10/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Oct 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 10/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	26	25	66	15% - 20%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	8	8	9	40% - 45%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Watch	24	26	50	20%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	112	122[2]	130	40% - 45%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	266	329	238	60% - 65%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	8	9	16	10% - 15%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	56	67	64	55%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	15	15	31	10% - 15%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Unknown	Eqp[1]	Eqp[1]	10,600	
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	3,070	3,310	3,170	50% - 55%

Ground Water – End of Sep 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
	AL Ah 1	5.63	Watch	
	WA Be 2	34.4	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	47.8	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	23.78	Normal	
	CL Ec 75	3.71	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	9.89	Normal	
	HA Ca 23	6.87	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	36.74	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.13	Normal	
Central	PG Bc 16	21.48	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	4.79	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.78	Watch	
	MC51-01	10.88	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.48	Normal	Normal
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	7.74	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	48.11	On Trend[4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	180.27[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	140.22	On Trend[4]	
	PG De 21 (confined)	62.45	On Trend[4]	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	93.47	On Trend[4]	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 07-Oct-2009 at 3:00 PM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of Aug 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.42	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	33.64	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	47.01	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	23.27	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	11.69	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	34.78	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	5.6	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	5.56	Normal	
	MC51-01	9.9	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	1.52	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	7.71	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	181.50[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 08-Sep-2009 at Noon

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of Jul 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.13	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	31.64	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	46.97	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	22.87	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	10.12	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	31.3	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.23	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.71	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	6.56	Normal	
	MC51-01	11.65	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	4.35	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	7.54	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	184.94[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 05-Aug-2009 at Noon

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of Jun 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.99	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	28.28	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	44.3	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	22.78	Normal	Normal
	HA Bd 31	7.13	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	24.89	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	11.25	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.63	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	3.99	Normal	
	MC51-01	10.09	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	2.01	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.6	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.07[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 06-Jul-2009 at 9:00 AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of May 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.64	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	26.21	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	43.28	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	23.92	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	8.18	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	25.22	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.68	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	2.22	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.46	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.16	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	2.44	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.46	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	177.40[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 02-Jun-2009 at 1:15AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of Apr 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.5	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	31.63	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	44.87	Warning	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.14	Warning	Watch
	HA Bd 31	8.31	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	27.4	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.78	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.18	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.37	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.84	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.15	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.1	Watch	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	176.84[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 04-May-2009 at 9:15AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – End of Mar 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.85	Watch	Warning
	WA Be 2	32.92	Warning	
	WA Bk 25	47.19	Warning	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.86	Emergency	Emergency
	HA Bd 31	11.3	Watch	
	MO Cc 14	34.97	Emergency	
	MO Eh 20	14.59	Emergency	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.34	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.76	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.11	Emergency	
	SO Cf 2	0.9	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	3.8	Emergency	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	177.41[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 03-Apr-2009 at 8:00AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – 28 Feb 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.19	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	31.62	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	46.06	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.8	Watch	Watch
	HA Bd 31	7.53	Watch	
	MO Cc 14	33.67	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.25	Emergency	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	51.11	Watch	
	MC51-01	14.04	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.87	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	4.32	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	177.41[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 04-Mar-2009 at 9:30AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – 31 Jan 2009

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.65	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	30.48	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	45.41	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.86	Warning	Watch
	HA Bd 31	9.91	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	30.95	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14	Warning	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.03	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.9	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.06	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	1.62	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	4.51	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.03[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 10-Feb-2009 at Noon

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water - 31 Dec 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.74	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	32.96	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	42.44	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	26.17	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.64	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	31.79	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.2	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	3.47	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.52	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.63	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.12	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	5.42	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.12	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 8-Jan-2009 at 10AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water – 31 Oct 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.25	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	35.09	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	47.5	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.85	Warning	Normal
	HA Bd 31	13.63	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	38.22	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	15.45	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	7.27	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	8.67	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.93	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.6	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	10.15	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	181.56	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

NA[2] - Not Available as of 5-Nov-2008 at 10AM

[3] value computed from real time measurement

On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	602
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	95%	323
	Lake Koon	82%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	99%	315
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	99%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	87%	238
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	99%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not been received as of 13 Oct 2009 at 9:30

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of August 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	567
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	****	****
	Lake Koon	****	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	100%	304
	Loch Raven	98%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	99%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	95%	263
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	98%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not been received as of 08 Sep 2009 at Noon

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	98%	366
	Lake Koon	98%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	98%	280
	Loch Raven	94%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	97%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	98%	265
	Seneca Creek Reserve	99%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not been received as of 06 Aug 2009 at Noon

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of June 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	****	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	****	
	Lake Koon	****	****
City of Baltimore	Liberty	99%	
	Loch Raven	99%	
	Prettyboy	99%	
	Total	99%	277
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	100%	245
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not been received as of 06 Jul 2009 at 9 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	737
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	****	****
	Lake Koon	****	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	95%	270
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	97%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	100%	233
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	98%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not been received as of 02 Jun 2009 at 1 PM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	757
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	381
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	91%	273
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	96%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	77%	185
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	98%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	100%	757
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	388
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	84%	273
	Loch Raven	98%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	91%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	61%	148
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Data has not yet been received as of 03 Apr 2009

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	386
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	390
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	84%	287
	Loch Raven	99%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	92%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	56%	140
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Data has not yet been received as of 04 Mar 2009

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January 2009

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	621
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	371
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	84%	292
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	92%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	56%	136
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	322
	Lake Koon	88%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	82%	292
	Loch Raven	100%	
	Prettyboy	100%	
	Total	91%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	53%	134
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

****Data has not yet been recieved as of 07-Jan-2009 at Noon

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	544
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	286
	Lake Koon	69%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	81%	288
	Loch Raven	98%	
	Prettyboy	97%	
	Total	89%	
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	50%	131
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	98%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.


Drought Status in Maryland
As of 30 September, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of August 31 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of July 31 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of June 30, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of May 31, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of April 30, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of 31 March, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of 28 February, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of 31 January, 2009
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of 31 December, 2008
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE


Drought Status in Maryland
As of October 2008
Western Region
Central Region
Baltimore Service Area
WSSC Service Area
Eastern Region
Southern Region
N
Drought Status
Emergency
Warning
Watch
Normal
MDE