

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Watch	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 08-Oct-2008 at Noon, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage remaining at the end of February

[2] - Data has not yet been received from Cumberland as of 08-Oct-2008 at Noon, but Cumberland had 352 days of storage remaining at the end of July.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 05-Sep-2008 at 10:00 AM, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage at the end of February.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 06-Aug-2008 at Noon, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage at the end of February.

[2] - The most recent data we received from the City of Baltimore was for 7/7/2008. Based on this data, approximately 280 days of storage were available on 7/7/2008.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Watch [3]	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 07-Jul-2008 at 10:00 AM, but Frostburg had 686 day of storage at the end of February

[2] - Data has not yet been received from Cumberland as of 07-Jul-2008 at 10:00 AM, but Cumberland had 364 days of storage remaining at the end of May.

[3] - Data has not been received from one of the four wells used as of 7-July at 1:20 PM. Due to personel changes at USGS, WI Cg 20 was not measured until July 6 and this measurement is being used to represent the end of June. SO Cf 2 is being measured July 7.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 09-Jun-2008 at 10:45AM, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage at the end of February.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1][2]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 07-May-2008 at 9:45AM, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage at the end of February.

[2] - Data has not yet been received from Cumberland as of 07-May-2008 at 9:45 AM, but Cumberland had 381 days of storage remaining at the end of March.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not been received from Frostburg as of 02-Apr-2008 at 2:20PM, but Frostburg had 686 days of storage at the end of February.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 29, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Watch[1]
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Watch	N/A	Watch[1]
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Watch[1] - The previous status of these regions has been "Watch" for several months and the groundwater has still not recovered. A drought watch is being maintained for the next month.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31, 2008					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Watch
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not yet been received as of 8-Feb-2008 at 12:30PM, but Cumberland had 274 days of storage at the end of November and Frostburg had 584 days of storage at the end of November

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31, 2007					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Watch	Watch	Normal	Watch
Eastern	Normal	Watch	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal

Normal[1] - Data has not yet been received as of 4-Jan-2008 at 2:30PM, but Cumberland had 274 days of storage at the end of November and Frostburg had 584 days of storage at the end of November

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for November 30, 2007					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal
Central	Watch	Watch	Watch	Normal	Watch
Eastern	Watch	Warning	Warning	N/A	Watch
Southern	Watch	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for October 31, 2007					
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status
Western	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal[1]	Normal
Central	Normal	Normal	Watch	Normal	Watch[2]
Eastern	Watch	Normal	Watch	N/A	Watch
Southern	Normal	N/A	Watch	N/A	Normal



Normal[1] - Data for the end of October has not yet been received from Frostburg and Cumberland as of 01 November 2007 at 2:30 PM, but Frostburg had 484 days of storage remaining at the end of August and Cumberland had 319 days of storage remaining at the end of September.

Watch[2] - The effects of October's rainfall on streamflow may not have a lasting effect on streamflow status given that ground water levels have not recovered. Therefore the overall status for the central region remains in watch.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions 30-Sep-08						
	Since Jun 30, 2008		Since Mar 31, 2008		WY ¹ To Date	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	105%	Normal	123%	Normal	114%	Normal
Central	111%	Normal	118%	Normal	109%	Normal
Eastern	77%	Normal	108%	Normal	98%	Normal
Southern	97%	Normal	144%	Normal	119%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.



Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions August 31, 2008						
	Since Apr 30, 2008		WY ¹ To Date		Since Jul 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	106%	Normal	115%	Normal	111%	Normal
Central	78%	Normal	101%	Normal	94%	Normal
Eastern	79%	Normal	95%	Normal	90%	Normal
Southern	103%	Normal	116%	Normal	108%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions July 31, 2008						
	Since Apr 30, 2008		WY ¹ To Date		Since Jul 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	136%	Normal	118%	Normal	118%	Normal
Central	111%	Normal	107%	Normal	98%	Normal
Eastern	133%	Normal	104%	Normal	95%	Normal
Southern	175%	Normal	124%	Normal	112%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
June 30, 2008						
	Since Mar 31, 2008		WY ¹ To Date		Since Jun 30, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	140%	Normal	117%	Normal	114%	Normal
Central	125%	Normal	108%	Normal	96%	Normal
Eastern	146%	Normal	106%	Normal	90%	Normal
Southern	197%	Normal	127%	Normal	106%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
May 31, 2008						
	Since Feb 29, 2008		WY ¹ To Date		Since May 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	142%	Normal	119%	Normal	113%	Normal
Central	126%	Normal	111%	Normal	96%	Normal
Eastern	121%	Normal	103%	Normal	86%	Normal
Southern	158%	Normal	121%	Normal	98%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
April 30, 2008						
	Since Jan 31, 2008		WY ¹ To Date		Since Apr 30, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	124%	Normal	109%	Normal	100%	Normal
Central	120%	Normal	104%	Normal	84%	Watch
Eastern	96%	Normal	91%	Normal	74%	Warning
Southern	108%	Normal	98%	Normal	77%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-Mar-08						
	Since Dec 31, 2007		WY ¹ To Date		Since Mar 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	101%	Normal	103%	Normal	97%	Normal
Central	90%	Normal	98%	Normal	84%	Watch
Eastern	74%	Watch	86%	Normal	78%	Watch
Southern	75%	Normal	90%	Normal	76%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
29-Feb-08						
	WY ¹ To Date		Since Aug 31, 2007		Since Feb 28, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	101%	Normal	94%	Normal	96%	Normal
Central	101%	Normal	86%	Normal	86%	Normal
Eastern	90%	Normal	79%	Watch	79%	Watch
Southern	95%	Normal	83%	Normal	78%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
January 31, 2008						
	WY ¹ To Date		Since Jul 31, 2007		Since Jan 31, 2007	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	96%	Normal	103%	Normal	94%	Normal
Central	92%	Normal	80%	Normal	82%	Watch
Eastern	86%	Normal	75%	Watch	77%	Watch
Southern	91%	Normal	78%	Watch	76%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-Dec-07						
	WY ¹ To Date		Since Jun 30, 2007		Since Dec 31, 2006	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	105%	Normal	105%	Normal	93%	Normal
Central	106%	Normal	83%	Normal	85%	Watch
Eastern	99%	Normal	72%	Watch	81%	Watch
Southern	105%	Normal	75%	Watch	81%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
30-Nov-07						
	WY ¹ To Date		Since May 31, 2007		Since Nov 30, 2006	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	79%	Unknown	96%	Normal	86%	Normal
Central	91%	Unknown	74%	Watch	79%	Watch
Eastern	90%	Unknown	67%	Warning	76%	Watch
Southern	106%	Unknown	71%	Watch	77%	Watch

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions						
31-Oct-07						
	WY ¹ To Date		Since Apr 30, 2007		Since Oct 31, 2006	
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition
Western	108%	Unknown	93%	Normal	91%	Normal
Central	144%	Unknown	72%	Watch	88%	Normal
Eastern	135%	Unknown	65%	Warning	84%	Watch
Southern	166%	Unknown	67%	Warning	86%	Normal

¹WY or Water Year begins on October 1.

Stream Flow Status as of September 30, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 9/30/08	Flow (cfs) Reported on 09/30/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 09/30/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Sep 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 09/30/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	18	12[3]	38	10% - 15%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	12	9	6	60% - 65%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	41	37	39	45% - 50%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	152	144	125	60% - 65%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	840	461	171	80% - 85%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	11	Eqp[1]	13	>25%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	65	68.5[3]	59	60% - 65%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	15	16[3]	24	30% - 35%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	19,100	5,210	7,860	25% - 30%
Potomac (at Little Falls Corrected)		Unknown	6,820	Eqp[2]	2,830	Unknown

Eqp[1] - When data was retrieved on 6-Oct-2008, gaps in data and other indications of gage malfunction prevented the computation of a median. Sufficient measurements are available however, to conclude that conditions were normal.

Eqp[2] - When data was retrieved on 6-Oct-2008, there was a four day gap in measurements.

[3] - Some missing measurements were estimated.

Stream Flow Status as of August 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 8/31/08	Flow (cfs) Reported on 08/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 08/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Aug 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 08/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	29	27	43	30% - 35%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	17	15	6	80%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	44	42	40	50% - 55%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	139	134	133	50% - 55%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Warning	281	73	162	5% - 10%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	28	5[1]	12	5% - 10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	48	37	58	15% - 20%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Warning	7	7	24	5% - 10%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	5,630	5,710	7,480	30% - 35%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	2,790	1,930	2,960	20% - 25%

[1] - Gaps in data were filled in using liner interpolation

Stream Flow Status as of July 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 7/31/08	Flow (cfs) Reported on 07/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 07/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jul 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 07/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	136	121	66	65% - 70%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	22	20	9	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	67	45	59	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	185	196	165	65% - 70%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	159	189[1]	227	35% - 40%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	8	8	16	20% - 25%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	63	69	74	45% - 50%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	14	18	29	30% - 35%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	14,000	17,300	10,500	70% - 75%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	3,201	4,230	3,790	55% - 60%

Stream Flow Status as of June 30, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 6/30/08	Flow (cfs) Reported on 06/30/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 06/30/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jun 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 06/30/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	198	93	78	55% - 60%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	28	25	13	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	101	96	96	50% - 55%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	256	266	214	70% - 75%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	360	364	318	55% - 60%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	18	18[1]	22	40%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	71	73	94	25% - 30%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	25	28	40	30% - 35%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	11,377	12,000	16,600	30% - 35%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	4,632	5,230	5,190	50% - 55%

Stream Flow Status as of May 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 05/31/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 05/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 05/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending May 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 05/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	956	409	169	80% - 85%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	401	73[1]	43	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	412	367	204	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	468	475	290	80% - 85%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	749	888	563	70% - 75%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	40	36	32	55% - 60%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	127	123	117	50% - 55%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	99	119	75	65% - 70%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	25,548	33,000	32,100	50% - 55%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	11,800	14,000	9,320	75%

Stream Flow Status as of April 30, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 04/30/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 04/30/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 04/30/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Apr 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 04/30/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	571	270	261	50% - 55%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	140	135	69	75% - 80%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	898	876	330	80% - 85%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	921	929	364	>95%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	2,714	2,405	790	90% - 95%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	52	53	40	70%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	149	140	138	50% - 55%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	137	128	119	55% - 60%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	58,767	40,550	48,700	35% - 40%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	47,443	38,000	11,900	90% - 95%

Stream Flow Status as of March 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 03/31/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 03/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 03/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Mar 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 03/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	196	256	421	20% - 25%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Watch	35	58	128	10% - 15%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	264	395	589	25% - 30%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	343	380	428	40% - 45%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	600	772	1,180	25% - 30%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	24	25	50	5% - 10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	103	111	137	25% - 30%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	89	111	174	15% - 20%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	83,515	72,050	69,600	50% - 55%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	9,577	12,100	18,500	25% - 30%

Stream Flow Status as of February 29, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 02/29/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 02/29/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 02/29/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Feb 29	Historical Rank For Week Ending 02/29/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	436	454	362	55% - 60%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	103	84	86	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	379	432	396	50% - 55%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	294	269	314	35% - 40%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	927	858	974	40% - 45%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	22	23	42	10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	97	106	125	35%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	144	152	166	40% - 45%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	48,833	54,400	37,500	65% - 70%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	10,726	9,900	12,950	30% - 35%

Stream Flow Status as of January 31, 2008

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 01/31/2008	Flow (cfs) Reported on 01/31/2008	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 01/31/2008	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Jan 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 01/31/2008
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	712	165	293	25%-30%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Equ[1]	224	Equ[1]	66	Equ[1]
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	256	166	251	35%-40%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	185	160	258	35%-40%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Watch	447	279	711	10%-15%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Emergency	16	13	36	5%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	71	62	110	10%-15%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	58	61	140	10%-15%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	24,800	23,600	27,100	40%-45%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	4,700	4,830	10,900	15%-20%

Stream Flow Status as of December 31, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 12/31/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 12/31/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 12/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Dec 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 12/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	407	399	268	65% - 70%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	131	133	52	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	440	484	201	75% - 80%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	273	196	203	45% - 50%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,955	580	618	45% - 50%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Warning	23	13	26	10%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	119	77	91	35% - 40%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	93	37	101	10% - 15%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	69,400	89,750	27,100	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	9,470	8,470	8,095	50% - 55%

Stream Flow Status as of November 30, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/30/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 11/30/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 11/30/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Nov 30	Historical Rank For Week Ending 11/30/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	229	220	229	40% - 45%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	38	35	36	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	110	85	133	35% - 40%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	117	117	150	30% - 35%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Watch	238	178	426	15% - 20%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Emergency	8	8	22	<5%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	49	50	81	10% - 15%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Warning	17	17	64	5% - 10%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	79,900	37,200	28,100	65% - 70%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	2,810	2,810	5,783	20% - 25%

Stream Flow Status as of October 31, 2007

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 10/31/2007	Flow (cfs) Reported on 10/31/2007	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 10/31/2007	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Oct 31	Historical Rank For Week Ending 10/31/2007
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	66	145	66	70% - 75%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	17	30	9	70% - 75%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	57	90	50	65% - 70%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	119	150	130	65% - 70%
Monocacy (Jug Bridge near Frederick)	Central	Normal	148	219	238	45% - 50%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	7	9 Eqp[1]	16	10% - 15%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	52	60	64	40% - 45%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	24	32	31	50% - 55%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	24,100	24,000	10,600	70% - 75%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	6,530	4,470	3,170	60% - 65%

Est[1]: 10 of the 672 values used to compute the median were missing from the reported data and were filled in via liner interpolation.

Ground Water – 30 Sept, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.95	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.2	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	45.83	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.12	Warning	Normal
	HA Bd 31	13.32	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	36.64	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	15.02	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	6.7	Normal	Watch
	WI Cg 20	8.24	Watch	
	MC51-01	14.36	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.19	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	9.23	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	48.5	On Trend[4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	183.14	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	138.44	Warning	
	PG De 21 (confined)	62.6	On Trend[4]	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	93.45	On Trend[4]	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
NA[2] - Not Available as of 8-Oct-2008 at Noon				
[3] value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 Aug, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.6	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	33.25	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	44.7	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	24.49[3]	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	NA[2]	Unknown	
	MO Cc 14	36.17	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	15.18	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	NA[2]	Unknown	Watch
	WI Cg 20	8.24	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.08	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.18	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	8.84	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	183.71[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
NA[2] - Not Available as of 5 Sep 2008 at 9:55 AM				
[3] value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 July, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.25	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	30.6	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	45.73	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	23.58	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	10.19	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	33.7	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.18	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.35	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	7.28	Watch	
	MC51-01	13.23	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	4.5	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	7.54	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	180.19[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
NA[2] - Not Available as of 6 Aug 2008 at Noon				
[3] value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 30 Jun, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.65	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	21.02	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	39.00	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	23.1	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	9.61	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	29.91	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.15	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	2.47	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	6.33[5]	Normal	
	MC51-01	13.35	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	NA[2]	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	5.12	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.81[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
NA[2] -Not Available as of 7 July 2008 at 11:30 AM				
[3] value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 May, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.16	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	19.61	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	17.92	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	23.34[3]	Watch	Normal
	HA Bd 31	8.12	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	21.88	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.1	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	1.27	Normal	Normal
	WI Cg 20	4.71	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.28[3]	Normal	
	SO Cf 2	1.47	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	3.62	Normal	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.78[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 06-Jun-2008 at 8:00 AM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 30 April, 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.3	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	23.6	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	21.94	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	24.93	Warning	Watch
	HA Bd 31	8.99	Watch	
	MO Cc 14	25.35	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	12.35	Normal	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	0.52	Normal	Watch
	WI Cg 20	5.45	Warning	
	MC51-01	12.74	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.88	Normal	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	3.34	Emergency	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	47.85	On Trend [4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	137.8	Watch	
	PG De 21 (confined)	61	On Trend [4]	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 06-May-2008 at Noon				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 Mar 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.95	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	24.22	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	35.88	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.12	Watch	Watch
	HA Bd 31	7.89	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	29.18	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13	Emergency	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	3.36	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	5.45	Emergency	
	MC51-01	13.35	Warning	
	SO Cf 2	1.79	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	3.12	Watch	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.46[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 07-Apr-2008 at 1:30 PM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 29 Feb 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	3.85	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	29.1	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	43.4	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.6	Watch	Watch
	HA Bd 31	8.72	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	30.91	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	13.63	Warning	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.63	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	5.79	Emergency	
	MC51-01	13.65	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	1.56	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	4.12	Watch	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	178.06[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 10-Mar-2008 at 12:00 PM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 Jan 2008

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.5	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	32.45	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	46.86	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	26.18	Emergency	Watch
	HA Bd 31	11.34	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	35.65	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.62	Warning	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	6.28	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	7.31	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.38	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	3.47	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	4.55	Warning	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	180.33[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 01-Feb-2008 at 12:41 PM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 Dec 2007

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.15	Normal	Normal
	WA Be 2	34.44	Normal	
	WA Bk 25	45.34	Normal	
Central	BA Ea 18	25.84[3]	Watch	Watch
	HA Bd 31	12.9	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	35.78	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	14.44	Watch	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	4.96	Normal	Watch
	WI Cg 20	8.83	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.80[3]	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.31	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	8.63	Emergency	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	180.43[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 02-Jan-2008 at 9:30 AM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 30 Nov 2007

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	4.65	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	35.65	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	48.5	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	26.41[3]	Warning	Watch
	HA Bd 31	14.86	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	39.11	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	15.5	Emergency	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	NA[2]	Unknown	Warning
	WI Cg 20	9.21	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.95[3]	Watch	
	SO Cf 2	5.87	Warning	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	7.33	Watch	Normal
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	182.47[3]	On Trend[4]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	PG De 21 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 06 December 2007 at 9:30 AM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Ground Water – 31 Oct 2007

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Western	AL Ah 1	5.53	Normal	Watch
	WA Be 2	35.7	Watch	
	WA Bk 25	48.93	Watch	
Central	BA Ea 18	24.67[3]	Watch	Watch
	HA Bd 31	8.61	Normal	
	MO Cc 14	39.99	Normal	
	MO Eh 20	16.5	Emergency	
Eastern	QA Ec 1	7.14	Watch	Watch
	WI Cg 20	9.17	Emergency	
	MC51-01	14.67	Warning	
	SO Cf 2	5.8	Watch	
Southern	CH Bg 12 (unconfined)	10.31	Emergency	Watch
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	49.67	On Trend [4]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	185.26[3]	Watch	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	137.05	Watch	
	PG De 21 (confined)	64.8	On Trend	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	NA[2]	Unknown	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)	95.43	Emergency	
Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface				
Well NA[2] - Not Available as of 02 November 2007 at 1:30 PM				
[3] - value computed from real time measurement				
On Trend[4] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term trend.				

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon		****
	Lake Koon		
City of Baltimore	Liberty	91%	288
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	62%	171
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	99%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 08 Oct 2008 at Noon

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of August 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	94%	325
	Lake Koon	85%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	87%	266
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	72%	199
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	97%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 05 Sep 2008 at 10:00 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	97%	352
	Lake Koon	95%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty		****
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	86%	210
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	98%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 06 Aug 2008 at Noon

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of May 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	%	***
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	365
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	99%	284
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	100%	233
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	99%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 09 Jun 2008 at 10:45 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	%	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	%	****
	Lake Koon	%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	91%	282
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	88%	205
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	99%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 07 May 2008 at 09:45 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	%	****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	381
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	84%	251
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	76%	185
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 07 Apr 2008 at 02:00 PM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	686
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	390
	Lake Koon	100%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	78%	234
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	69%	172
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 12 Mar 2008 at 10:00 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January 2008

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon		****
	Lake Koon		
City of Baltimore	Liberty	67%	210
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	59%	143
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 04 Feb 2008 at 10:00 AM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December 2007

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon		****
	Lake Koon		
City of Baltimore	Liberty	68%	217
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	57%	143
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 03 Jan 2007 at 12:30 PM

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of November 2007

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	99%	585
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	99%	274
	Lake Koon	66%	
City of Baltimore	Liberty	67%	213
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	48%	125
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October 2007

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney		****
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon		****
	Lake Koon		
City of Baltimore	Liberty	71%	230
	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir	50%	132
	Rocky Gorge/Duckett		
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings-Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA


* Percent Full is the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

** Days of Storage is the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.


*** Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on allotted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

**** Data has not yet been received as of 02 Nov 2007 at 8:00 AM


Drought Status in Maryland As of 30 September, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 31 August, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 31 July, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 30 June, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 31 May, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 30 April, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 2 April, 2008




Drought Status in Maryland As of 31 January, 2008



Drought Status in Maryland As of 31 December, 2007



Drought Status in Maryland As of 30 November, 2007



Drought Status in Maryland

As of 31 October, 2007

