Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for September 30, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Watch	Warning	Normal	Normal	Watch			
Central	Normal	Watch	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Warning	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for August 31, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

- [1] No data is available as of 13 September 2005 but Cumberland had 380 days of storage available at the end of June.
- [2] Reservoir Levels were not available from the City of Baltimore but the city had 269 days of storage available at the end of June.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for July 31, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

- [1] No data is available as of 18 August 2005 but Cumberland had 380 days of storage available at the end of June.
- [2] Reservoir Levels were not available from the City of Baltimore but the city had 269 days of storage available at the end of June.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for June 30, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Watch	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for May 31, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

- [1] The City of Frostburg and the City of Cumberland did not report reservoir levels for this period
- [2] Reservoir levels were not available from the City of Baltimore for this period.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for April 30, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[2]	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

- [1] The City of Frostburg and the City of Cumberland did not report reservoir levels for this period
- [2] Reservoir levels were not available from the City of Baltimore for this period.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for March 31, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period

Summary o	Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for February 28, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status				
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal				
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal				
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal				
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal				

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for January 31, 2005								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for December 31, 2004								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period.

Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for November 30, 2004								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			


<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period.


Summary of Hydrologic Indicators for October 31, 2004								
Region	Rainfall	Stream Flow	Groundwater	Reservoirs	Overall Status			
Western	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal[1]	Normal			
Central	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Eastern	Normal	Normal	Normal	N/A	Normal			
Southern	Normal	N/A	Normal	N/A	Normal			

<sup>[1]</sup> The City of Frostburg did not report reservoir levels for this period.

# **Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions**

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions September 30, 2005									
	Three Month Six Month WY <sup>1</sup> to Da								
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	69%	Watch	68%	Warning	82%	Watch			
Central	83%	Normal	84%	Normal	90%	Normal			
Eastern	62%	Warning	89%	Normal	93%	Normal			
Southern	78%	Normal	94%	Normal	96%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.	_						





Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions  August 31, 2005									
	Three Month Six Month WY <sup>1</sup> to Date								
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	82%	Normal	84%	Normal	88%	Normal			
Central	106%	Normal	102%	Normal	98%	Normal			
Eastern	92%	Normal	102%	Normal	100%	Normal			
Southern	102%	Normal	112%	Normal	104%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water `	<sup>1</sup> WY or Water Year begins on October 1.								

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
July 31, 2005									
	Three	Month	Six N	/lonth	WY <sup>1</sup> t	o Date			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	80%	Normal	86%	Normal	90%	Normal			
Central	103%	Normal	106%	Normal	102%	Normal			
Eastern	117%	Normal	108%	Normal	106%	Normal			
Southern	110%	Normal	109%	Normal	105%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water '	<sup>1</sup> WY or Water Year begins on October 1.								

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
June 30, 2005										
	Three Month Six Month			WY <sup>1</sup> to	o Date					
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition				
Western	68%	Watch	87%	Normal	87%	Normal				
Central	85%	Normal	95%	Normal	93%	Normal				
Eastern	112%	Normal	112%	Normal	106%	Normal				
Southern	113%	Normal	108%	Normal	104%	Normal				
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.								

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
April 30, 2005									
	Three Month WY <sup>1</sup> to Date			Twelve	Month				
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	94%	Normal	96%	Normal	111%	Normal			
Central	109%	Normal	102%	Normal	117%	Normal			
Eastern	98%	Normal	101%	Normal	115%	Normal			
Southern	108%	Normal	103%	Normal	116%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.	-	-	-	-			

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
31-Mar-05										
	Three	Month	WY <sup>1</sup> to	o Date	Twelve	Month				
	Percent of		Percent of		Percent of					
Regions	Normal	Condition	Normal	Condition	Normal	Condition				
Western	109%	Normal	98%	Normal	116%	Normal				
Central	107%	Normal	97%	Normal	119%	Normal				
Eastern	102%	Normal	98%	Normal	119%	Normal				
Southern	103%	Normal	98%	Normal	119%	Normal				
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.								

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
February 28, 2005									
	Thre	e Month	WY <sup>1</sup>	to Date	Twelve	e Month			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	96%	Normal	95%	Normal	113%	Normal			
Central	96%	Normal	92%	Normal	115%	Normal			
Eastern	96%	Normal	99%	Normal	116%	Normal			
Southern	119%	Normal	93%	Normal	114%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins or	October 1.	-						

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
January 31, 2005									
	Three Month		WY <sup>1</sup> to	o Date	Twelve	Month			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	106%	Normal	97%	Normal	114%	Normal			
Central	112%	Normal	96%	Normal	117%	Normal			
Eastern	119%	Normal	103%	Normal	115%	Normal			
Southern	119%	Normal	99%	Normal	114%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water `	Year begins on	October 1.							

Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions									
31-Dec-04									
	WY <sup>1</sup> to Dat	e (3 Months)	Six N	Month	Twelve	Month			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	88%	Normal	116%	Normal	112%	Normal			
Central	88%	Normal	120%	Normal	112%	Normal			
Eastern	94%	Normal	127%	Normal	110%	Normal			
Southern	93%	Normal	112%	Normal	110%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.							

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
30-Nov-04									
	WY <sup>1</sup> to Date	e (2 Months)	Three	Month	Six N	/lonth			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	94%	Not Defined	144%	Normal	119%	Normal			
Central	85%	Not Defined	114%	Normal	126%	Normal			
Eastern	102%	Not Defined	104%	Normal	131%	Normal			
Southern	97%	Not Devined	110%	Normal	126%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.							

	Precipitation Indicators for Maryland Drought Regions								
31-Oct-04									
	WY <sup>1</sup> to Dat	e (1 Month)	Three	Month	Six N	/lonth			
Regions	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition	Percent of Normal	Condition			
Western	69%	Not Defined	131%	Normal	120%	Normal			
Central	46%	Not Defined	107%	Normal	123%	Normal			
Eastern	51%	Not Defined	114%	Normal	121%	Normal			
Southern	38%	Not Devined	91%	Normal	119%	Normal			
<sup>1</sup> WY or Water	Year begins on	October 1.							

# Stream Flow Status as of October 2, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 10/02/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 10/03/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 10/02/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Oct 2	Historical Rank For Week Ending 10/02/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	13	17	41	20% - 25%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Warning	1.2	1.3	7	5% - 10%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Emergency	13	14	40	0.05
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Watch	91	93	127	0.2
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Watch	95	97	172	15% - 20%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	12	8	13	25% - 30%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Watch	37	39	60	15% - 20%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Warning	10	11	26	0.1
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Warning	3,770	3,550	8,190	5% - 10%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Watch	1,522	1,650	2,770	15% - 20%

Stream Flow Status as of September 1, 2005

Der Carri	ani flow status as of september 1, 2005					
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 9/01/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 9/02/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 9/01/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Sep 1	Historical Rank For Week Ending 9/01/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	54	60	41	60% - 65%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	4	4	6	30% - 35%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Watch	32	27	38	20% - 25%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	114	107	132	25% - 30%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	279	195	158	60% - 65%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	9	11	11	50%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	52	60	58	50% - 55%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	16	22	24	45% - 50%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	5,920	4,360	7370	10% - 15%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	3,080	2,620	2920	40% - 45%

# Stream Flow Status as of August 1, 2005

Region Western	Status as of 8/02/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 8/02/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 8/01/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Aug 1	Historical Rank For Week Ending
Western				2	8/01/2005
	Normal	50	90	64	55% - 60%
Western	Watch	2	3	9	10% - 15%
Western	Normal	47	57	55	50% - 55%
Western & Central	Watch	114	122	160	20% - 25%
Central	Normal	255	327	217	70% - 75%
Central	Normal	23	26	15	80%
Central	Unknown	85	Eqp[1.]	71	Unknown
Eastern	Normal	45	43	27	70% - 75%
	Normal	6,880	7,950	10,000	35% - 40%
	Normal	3,450	4,330	3,640	60% - 65%
	Western Western & Central Central Central Eastern	Western & Watch  Central Normal  Central Normal  Central Unknown  Eastern Normal  Normal	Western         Normal         47           Western & Central         Watch         114           Central         Normal         255           Central         Normal         23           Central         Unknown         85           Eastern         Normal         45           Normal         6,880           Normal         3,450	Western         Normal         47         57           Western & Central         Watch         114         122           Central         Normal         255         327           Central         Normal         23         26           Central         Unknown         85         Eqp[1.]           Eastern         Normal         45         43           Normal         6,880         7,950           Normal         3,450         4,330	Western         Normal         47         57         55           Western & Central         Watch         114         122         160           Central         Normal         255         327         217           Central         Normal         23         26         15           Central         Unknown         85         Eqp[1.]         71           Eastern         Normal         45         43         27           Normal         6,880         7,950         10,000

# Stream Flow Status as of July 4, 2005

Stream from Status as or july 1, 2005						
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 7/04/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 7/05/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 7/04/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending July 4	Historical Rank For Week Ending 7/04/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Warning	16	20	66	10%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	7	9	12	35% - 40%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	54	63	80	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Unknown	Eqp[1.]	Eqp[1.]	206	Eqp[1.]
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	175	226	299	30% - 35%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	15	16	21	35% - 40%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	73	97 Est[2.]	86	60% - 65%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	39	49	33	65% - 70%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Watch	8,300	8,500	14,700	15% - 20%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	2,860	3,470	4,520	25% - 30%

Eqp[1.] - Data not availble due to equipment failure

Est[2.] - The Deer Creek gage did not report between 18:45 on June 28 and 11:00 on the 29th

# Stream Flow Status as of May 3, 2005

		ttus us t	or inay 3	, – • • •		
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 5/03/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 5/04/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 5/03/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending May 3	Historical Rank For Week Ending 5/03/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	268	377	242	65% - 70%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	52	68	70	45% - 50%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	214	265	320	35% - 40%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	368	389	347	55% - 60%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	743	851	743	55% - 60%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	41	42	39	55%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	181	192	134	75% - 80%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	159	142	106	65%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	31,000	37,000	46,700	35% - 40%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	12,700	12,000	11,600	50% - 55%

# Stream Flow Status as of April 3, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 4/03/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 4/04/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 4/03/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending April 3	Historical Rank For Week Ending 4/03/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	746	925	392	85% - 90%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	218	269	121	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	1,070	1,501	567	85% - 90%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	1,340	1,335	437	>95%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	4,320	4,140	1,180	90% - 95%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	134	104	51	85% - 90%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	508	321	141	90% - 95%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	1,490	435	166	85% - 90%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	429,000	232,000	69,700	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	79,100	76,000	17,700	>95%

# Stream Flow Status as of Arpril 3, 2005

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 4/03/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 4/04/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 4/03/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending April 3	Historical Rank For Week Ending 4/03/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	746	925	392	85% - 90%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	218	269	121	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	1,070	1,501	567	85% - 90%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	1,340	1,335	437	>95%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	4,320	4,140	1,180	90% - 95%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	134	104	51	85% - 90%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	508	321	141	90% - 95%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	1,490	435	166	85% - 90%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	429,000	232,000	69,700	90% - 95%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	79,100	76,000	17,700	>95%

### Stream Flow Status as of March 3, 2005

	Stream from Status as of March 5, 2005						
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 3/03/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 3/04/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 3/03/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending March 3	Historical Rank For Week Ending 3/03/2005	
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	291	237	336	30% - 35%	
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	105	65	86	35% - 40%	
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	175	337	416	40% - 45%	
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	288	303	320	45% - 50%	
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	790	952	928	50% - 55%	
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Watch	27	31	40	20% - 25%	
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	135	148	122	60%	
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	196	179	159	55% - 60%	
Susquehanna (at Marietta) Potomac (at		Normal	32,200	37,650	41,000	45% - 50%	
Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	10,480	10,570	13,600	35% - 40%	

Stream Flow Status as of Februay 3, 2005

Stream flow Status as of February 5, 2005						
Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 2/03/2005	Flow (cfs) Reported on 2/04/2005	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 2/03/2005	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Februa ry 3	Historical Rank For Week Ending 2/03/2005
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Watch	95	106	283	10% - 15%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Unknown	39	Frozen[1.]	68	Unknown
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	164	172	260	30% - 35%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	315	Eqp[2.]	256	Unknown
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	648	709	757	45% - 50%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	23	24	37	30% - 35%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	133	148	107	70% - 75%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	103	119	138	35% - 40%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	59,500	81,400	29,500	85% - 90%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	15,060	9,190	11,200	40% - 45%

# Stream Flow Status as of January 5, 2005

			Janaai	, ,, = 0		
					Historical Median Flow	Historical
			Flow (cfs)	7-Day Median		Rank For
Stream Gage		Status as of	Reported on	(cfs) Ending	Ending Januar	Week Ending
Location	Region	1/05/2005	1/06/2005	1/05/2005	y 5	1/05/2005
Youghiogheny						
(near						
Oakland)	Western	Normal	2,340	182	262	30% - 35%
Savage River			000	0.0	F.0	050/ 400/
(near Barton)	Western	Normal	833	38	53	35% - 40%
Wills Creek						
(near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	2,460	222	225	45% - 50%
Antietam	western	NUITIAI	2,460	222	223	4376 - 3076
Creek (near	Western &					
Sharpsburg)	Central	Normal	355	296	218	65% -70%
Monocacy	Central	NOTITIAL	355	290	210	03 /6 - 70 /6
(near						
Frederick)	Central	Normal	2,510	857	680	55% - 60%
Patuxent	Certiful	Norman	2,510	037	000	3370 - 0070
(near Unity)	Central	Normal	32	23	30	35% - 40%
Deer Cr (at	Contrai	Norman		20	00	0070 1070
Rocks)	Central	Normal	165	123	96	65% - 70%
Choptank						
(near						
Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	93	88	104	40% - 45%
Í						
Susquehanna						
(at Marietta)		Normal	84,300	38,100	26,800	65% - 70%
Potomac (at						
Little Falls)						
Corrected)		Normal	9,330	9,817	8,560	55% - 60%

# Stream Flow Status as of December 2, 2004

		ttus us t	T D C C C II	, ,	11!-4!1	
					Historical Median Flow	Historical
			Flow (cfs)	7-Day Median		Rank For
Stream Gage		Status as of	Reported on	(cfs) Ending	Ending Decem	-
Location	Region	12/2/2004	12/03/2004	12/2/2004	ber 2	12/02/2004
Youghiogheny						,,,
(near						
Oakland)	Western	Normal	233	587	222	80% - 85%
Savage River						
(near Barton)	Western	Normal	49	174	35	85% - 90%
Wills Creek						
(near						
(near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	135	1,050	132	>95%
Antietam	Western	Normal	133	1,030	132	7 7 3 7 0
Creek (near	Western &					
Sharpsburg)	Central	Normal	147	303	153	80% - 85%
Monocacy						
(near						
Frederick)	Central	Normal	1,590	Eqp[1]	405	Normal[1]
Patuxent						
(near Unity)	Central	Normal	27	20	21	45%
Deer Cr (at						
Rocks)	Central	Normal	156	158	80	80% - 85%
Choptank (near						
(near Greensboro)	Eastern	Normal	132	128	64	75% - 80%
Greensboro)	Eastern	INUITITAL	132	128	04	1370 - 0070
Susquehanna						
(at Marietta)		Normal	155,000	87,900	27,100	90% - 95%
Potomac (at				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	
Little Falls)						
Corrected)		Normal	28,050	23,360	5,255	90% - 95%

# Stream Flow Status as of November 04, 2004

Stream Gage Location	Region	Status as of 11/04/2004	Flow (cfs) Reported on 11/05/2004	7-Day Median (cfs) Ending 11/04/2004	Historical Median Flow in cfs Ending Novem ber 4	Historical Rank For Week Ending 11/04/2004
Youghiogheny (near Oakland)	Western	Normal	1470	410	76	90% - 95%
Savage River (near Barton)	Western	Normal	105	52	11	80% - 85%
Wills Creek (near Cumberland)	Western	Normal	345	208	58	80% - 85%
Antietam Creek (near Sharpsburg)	Western & Central	Normal	273	199	127	75% - 80%
Monocacy (near Frederick)	Central	Normal	802	374	244	65% - 70%
Patuxent (near Unity)	Central	Normal	48	13	16	35%
Deer Cr (at Rocks)	Central	Normal	169	87	65	65% - 70%
Choptank (near Greensboro)	Eastern	Watch	54	20	31	25%
Susquehanna (at Marietta)		Normal	27,400	28,300	11,400	75% - 80%
Potomac (at Little Falls) Corrected)		Normal	8,020	6,010	3,285	70% - 75%

#### **Ground Water - End Sept 2005**

				D
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
	WA Be 2	47.4	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	34.75	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	21.53	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	14.01	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	15.14	Watch	Normal
	QA Ec 1	4.95	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	7.41	Normal	
	MC51-01[2]	13.03	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	5.06	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	14.61	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	16.07	Watch	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	48.07	On Trend[3]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	182.17	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	133.59	On Trend	
	PG De 21 (confined)	62.12	On Trend	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	92.87	On Trend	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface MC51-01[2] - Data from the end of August was not available as of 04 October. value was estimated from real time well MC51-01a.

Ground Water - End Aug 2005

	TT CC		9 2005	
				Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	WA Be 2	34.22	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	46.15	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	20.45	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	12.02	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	14.03	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1		Not Available	
	WI Cg 20		Not Available	
	MC51-01[2]	11.87	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2		Not Available	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	14.15	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	15.56	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	47.67	On Trend[3]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	179.76	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface MC51-01[2] - Data from the end of July was not available as of 13 September. value was estimated from real time well MC51-01a.

#### **Ground Water Status - End July 2005**

<b></b>	Water 5		Liid Jaiy	2005
Region	USGS Well ID	Mall   aval[1]	Status	Regional Status
Region				Status
	WA Be 2	33.59	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	44.45	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	19.37	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	10.48	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	13.14	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1		Not Available	
	WI Cg 20		Not Available	
	MC51-01[2]	10.2	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2		Not Available	Normal
	AA Bf 3			
	(unconfined)	13.78	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un			
	confined)	15	Normal	
	AA Cc 40			
	(confined)	47.27	On Trend[3]	
	CA Bb 27			1
	(confined)	179.17	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Fg 45			
Southern	(confined)		Not Available	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface MC51-01[2] - Data from the end of July was not available as of 18 August. value was estimated from real time well MC51-01a.

### **Ground Water - End of June 2005**

				Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	WA Be 2	33.52	Watch	
Western	WA Bk 25	43.81	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	19.17	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	10.06	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	13.35	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	3.28	Normal	
	WI Cg 20		Not Available	
	MC51-01	11.14	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	2.52	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	13.25	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	14.32	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	47	On Trend[2]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	176.27	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface
On Trend[2] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and
response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as
a departure from long term trend.

Ground Water - End April 2005

<b></b>	Macci		111 2005	
		•		Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Status
	WA Be 2	25.45	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	30.98	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	17.86	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	5.08	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	11.32	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	0.55	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	5.28	Emergency	
	MC51-01 (est)[2]	9.74	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	0.98	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	11.53	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	13	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	46.21	On Trend[2]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	172.5	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)	132.74	On Trend	
	PG De 21 (confined)	59.51	On Trend	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)	179.72	On Trend	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)	91.97	On Trend	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

### **Ground Water - End March 2005**

Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
	WA Be 2	21.66	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	26.92	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	19.94	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	5.41	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	10	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	0.58	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	4.33	Normal	
	MC51-01 (est)[2]	10.73	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.01	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	12.38	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	13.8	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	46.79	On Trend[3]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	172.11	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
Southern	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	Normal

Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface

est[2] - Estimated from real time well MC51-01a

**Ground Water – End February 2005** 

diodila	vvacci	LIIG I C	Diddiy L	.003
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
_	WA Be 2	40.65	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	28.65	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	19.89	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	6.02	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	11.55	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1		Not Available	
	WI Cg 20	4.44	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.2	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	0.98	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)	13.41	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	13.94	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)		Not Avalible	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	172.71	On Trend[2]	
	CH Dd 33 (confined) PG De 21		Not Available	
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	
	Well Level[1] - land surface	ell Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below and surface		
Southern	monitoring and	In accordance was response plan ers is analyzed a	, the impact of	drought upon

**Ground Water - End January 2005** 

Ground	TT CC	Lilu jai	iddi y E o	03
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
	WA Be 2	40.97	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	25.92	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	20.08	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	3.26	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	11.85	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1		Not Available	
	WI Cg 20	4.58	Normal	
	MC51-01	12.81	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.24	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)		Not Available	
	CH Bg 12 (un confined)	13.62	Normal	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)	46.99	On Trend[2.]	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	173.36	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	
	Well Level[1] - Measurement of water level as feet below land surface  On Trend[2] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long term.  Southern			s feet below
Southern				drought upon

#### Ground Water - End Nov 2004

Ground	water -	Ella No	V 200 <del>4</del>	
D	LICCC Well ID	\\/.         [4.7]	Chatana	Regional
Region	USGS Well ID	well reveil []	Status	Status
	WA Be 2	30.01	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	43.86	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	21.05	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	8.78	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	12.84	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1		Not Available	
	WI Cg 20	4.81	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.2	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	1.05	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3			
	(unconfined)	14.4	Normal	
	CH Bg 12 (un			
	confined)	3.73	Normal	
	AA Ad 102			
	(confined)	7.72	On Trend	
	CA Bb 27			
	(confined)	173.92	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	PG De 21			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Fg 45			
	(confined)		Not Available	
	Well Level[1] -	Measurement	of water level a	s feet below
	land surface			
	On Trend[2] -	In accordance v	with Maryland's	drought
			, the impact of	
			as a departure f	
Southern	trend.			

## **Ground Water – End Oct 2004**

Ground	Macci	Lila Oc	C 200 1	
Region	USGS Well ID	Well Level[1]	Status	Regional Status
Region				Status
	WA Be 2	42.85	Normal	
Western	WA Bk 25	26.87	Normal	Normal
	BA Ea 18	20.92	Normal	
	HA Bd 31	9.55	Normal	
Central	MO Eh 20	13.5	Normal	Normal
	QA Ec 1	4.77	Normal	
	WI Cg 20	5.02	Normal	
	MC51-01	14.17	Normal	
Eastern	SO Cf 2	3.04	Normal	Normal
	AA Bf 3 (unconfined)		Not Available	
	CH Ee 16 (unconfined)		Not Available	
	AA Cc 40 (confined)		Not Available	
	CA Bb 27 (confined)	174.95	On Trend	
	CH Dd 33 (confined) PG De 21		Not Available	
	(confined)		Not Available	
	SM Dd 50 (confined)		Not Available	
	SM Fg 45 (confined)		Not Available	
	Well Level[1] - land surface	- Measurement of water level as feet below		
Southern	On Trend[2] - In accordance with Maryland's drought monitoring and response plan, the impact of drought upon confined aquifers is analyzed as a departure from long tern trend.			drought upon

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of September, 2005

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	72%	281
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon	100%	
***	Lake Koon	74%	334
	Liberty		
City of	Loch Raven		
Baltimore	Prettyboy	87%	258
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	72%	165
WSSC	Seneca Creek Reserve	93%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>values for Cumberland and Frostburg are approximate

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of August, 2005

1010111101	ing as c	<u> </u>	, <u> </u>
Water		Percent	Days of
System	Reservoir	Full*	Storage**
City of			
Frostburg	Piney		Not Available
City of			
Cumberland	Lake Gordon		
****	Lake Koon		Not Available
City of			
Baltimore	Liberty		
***	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		Not Available
MCCO	Triadelphia		
WSSC	Reservoir		
	Rocky		
****	Gorge/Ducket t	000/	170
	ı	82%	178
	Seneca Creek		
	Reserve	100%	NA
	Jennings-		
All Potomac	Randolph		
River Plants	Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Data from Baltimore was not available for August, but 269 day of storage were available at the end of June 2005.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Source - ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of July, 2005

101011110	ing as c	n July, E	003
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg	Piney		Not Available
J.	J		
City of Cumberland	Lake Gordon		
*****	Lake Koon		Not Available
City of Baltimore	Liberty		
***	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy		Not Available
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
****	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	92%	198
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Data from Baltimore was not available for July, but 269 day of storage were available at the end of June 2005.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup> Source - ICPRB

<sup>\*\*\*\*\*\*</sup>Data from Cumberland was not available for July, but 280 days of stroage were available at the end of June.

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of June, 2005

10/		D	D £
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	Not Available	Not Available
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	379
City of	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
***	Prettyboy	98%	269
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
WSSC	Rocky		
****	Gorge/Ducket		_
****	t	91%	197
	Seneca Creek		
	Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac	Jennings- Randolph		
River Plants	Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - ICPRB

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of April, 2005

Water		Percent	Days of
System	Reservoir	Full*	Storage**
City of Frostburg ****	Piney	Not Available	Not Available
City of	Lake Gordon	Not Available	
Cumberland *****	Lake Koon	Not Available	Not Available
City of Baltimore	Liberty		
***	Loch Raven		
	Prettyboy	Not Available	Not Available
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
***	Rocky Gorge/Ducket	1000/	221
^^^	t	100%	226
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- \* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- \*\* Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- \*\*\* Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- \*\*\*\*Data from Baltimore is not available for April 2005
- \*\*\*\*Data from Frostburg is not availble for April 2005.
- \*\*\*\*\*\*Data from Cumberland is not available for April 2005

Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of March, 2005

Monitoring as of March, 2005			
Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage * *
City of Frostburg ****	Piney	Not Available	Not Available
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	401
	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
****	Prettyboy	100%	289
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
***	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	100%	227
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Data from Frostburg is not availble for March 2005.

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of February, 2005

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg			
****	Piney	Not Available	Not Available
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	414
City of	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
***	Prettyboy	100%	298
W000	Triadelphia		
WSSC	Reservoir Rocky		
	Gorge/Ducket		
***	t	96%	210
	Seneca Creek		
	Reserve	100%	NA
A.U. D	Jennings-		
All Potomac River Plants	Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Data from Frostburg is not availble for January 2005.

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of January, 2005

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg	Piney	Not Available	Not Available
	riioy	TVOT / TVAIIABIO	TVOT / TVAIIGBIO
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	419
011	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
***	Prettyboy	100%	294
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky		
***	Gorge/Ducket t	100%	219
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- \* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- \*\* Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- \*\*\* Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.
- \*\*\*\*Source USGS

\*\*\*\*Data from Frostburg is not availble for January 2005.

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of December, 2004

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg ****	Piney		Not Available
	3		
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	415
City of	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
* * * *	Prettyboy	99%	291
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir		
***	Rocky Gorge/Ducket t	93%	208
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of November, 2004

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg ****	Piney		Not Available
	3		
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	406
City of	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
***	Prettyboy	98%	288
WSSC	Triadelphia Reservoir Rocky Gorge/Ducket		
***	t	82%	194
	Seneca Creek Reserve	100%	NA
All Potomac River Plants	Jennings- Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

- \* Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.
- \*\* Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.
- \*\*\* Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

### Reservoir Volumes and Storage for Drought Monitoring as of October, 2004

Water System	Reservoir	Percent Full*	Days of Storage**
City of Frostburg		1 dii	
****	Piney		Not Available
City of	Lake Gordon	100%	
Cumberland	Lake Koon	100%	415
- C	Liberty		
City of Baltimore	Loch Raven		
***	Prettyboy	98%	290
	Triadelphia Reservoir		
	Rocky		
	Gorge/Ducket		
	t	80%	184
	Seneca Creek		
WSSC	Reserve	100%	NA
	Jennings-		
All Potomac River Plants	Randolph Reserve***	100%	NA

<sup>\*</sup> Percent Fullis the ratio of current volume to the maximum usable volume in each reservoir at the end of the month.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Days of Storageis the amount of days it would take to use current volume of reservoir (w/o recharge) based on average raw water withdrawals from similar time frame from previous two years.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Percent full for Jennings-Randolph Reservoir is based on alloted amount of water in reservoir used to supplement Potomac River flow for drinking water purposes.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Source - USGS

